

BIJECTIVE COUNTING

BEIFANG CHEN
EMAIL: MABFCHEN@UST.HK

1. BINOMIAL AND MULTINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

Definition 1.1. An r -**permutation** of n objects is a linearly ordered selection of r objects from an n -set. The number of r -permutations of n objects is denoted by

$$P(n, r).$$

An n -permutation of n objects is just called a **permutation** of the n objects. The number of permutations of n objects is denoted by $n!$, read “ n factorial”.

Definition 1.2. An r -**combination** of n objects is a selection of r objects from a set of n objects without order. The number of r -combinations of n objects is denoted by

$$\binom{n}{r},$$

read “ n choose r .” These numbers are called **binomial coefficients**.

Definition 1.3. An r -**combination with repetition of n objects** is a selection of r objects from a set of n objects without order and objects can be selected repeatedly. The number of r -combinations of n objects with repetition allowed is denoted by

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ r \end{matrix} \right\rangle,$$

read “ n choose r with repetition.”

For sake of brevity, we frequently call a set with n objects an n -set, and a subset with r objects of any set an r -subset. Elements of a set are always considered to be distinct. When considering indistinguishable objects we need the concept of multisets. By a **multiset** we mean a collection of objects such that some of them may be identically same, said to be **indistinguishable**. Given a set S ; by a **multiset** M over S we mean a function $v : S \rightarrow \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, written $M = (S, v)$; the **cardinality** of $M = (S, v)$ is

$$|M| = \sum_{x \in S} v(x);$$

if $|M| = n$, we call M an n -multiset. For example, $M = \{a, a, b, b, b, c, c, e\}$ is an 8-multiset over $S = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $v(a) = 2$, $v(b) = 3$, $v(c) = 2$, $v(d) = 0$, $v(e) = 1$. An n -multiset M over a k -set S is said to be of **type** (r_1, \dots, r_k) or an (r_1, \dots, r_k) -**multiset**, if the i th object of S appears r_i times in M , $1 \leq i \leq k$. A **submultiset** of $M = (S, v)$ is a multiset $L = (S, u)$ such that $u(x) \leq v(x)$ for all $x \in S$.

The number of permutations of an n -multiset of type (r_1, \dots, r_k) is denoted by

$$\binom{n}{r_1, \dots, r_k},$$

called a **multinomial coefficient** of type $(n; r_1, \dots, r_k)$. See (5) of Proposition 1.5.

Proposition 1.4. (1) *The number of r -permutations of n objects is given by*

$$P(n, r) = n(n-1) \cdots (n-r+1).$$

(2) *The number of r -combinations of n objects is given by*

$$\binom{n}{r} = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}.$$

(3) *The number of permutations of an n -multiset of type (r_1, \dots, r_k) is the same as the number of ways to partition an n -set into k subsets of cardinalities r_1, \dots, r_k , and is given by*

$$\binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_k} = \frac{n!}{r_1! \cdots r_k!}.$$

(4) *The number of n -combinations of r objects with repetition allowed equals the number of non-negative integer solutions of $x_1 + \cdots + x_r = n$, and is given by*

$$\left\langle r \right\rangle_n = \binom{n+r-1}{n}.$$

Proposition 1.5. (1) *The Pascal identity: $\binom{n}{r} = \binom{n-1}{r} + \binom{n-1}{r-1}$.*

(2) *An recurrence relation: $\binom{n+1}{r+1} = \sum_{k=r}^n \binom{k}{r}$.*

(3) *The Vandermonde convolution: $\binom{m+n}{r} = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{m}{i} \binom{n}{r-i}$.*

(4) *The binomial expansion: $(x+y)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i y^{n-i}$.*

(5) *The multinomial expansion: $(x_1 + \cdots + x_k)^n = \sum_{i_1 + \cdots + i_k = n, i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 0} \binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_k} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_k^{i_k}$.*

Proof. (1) Let $A_n = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ be an n -set and $A_{n-1} = \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$. The r -subsets of A_n are divided into two types: (i) r -subsets of A_{n-1} ; and (ii) r -subsets of A_n , but not subsets of A_{n-1} . There are $\binom{n-1}{r}$ r -subsets of type (i). Each r -subset of type (ii) must contain the element a_n ; and each such r -subset can be obtained by selecting an $(r-1)$ -subsets of A_{n-1} first then adding the element a_n to it. Thus there are $\binom{n-1}{r-1}$ r -subsets of type (ii). Adding the number of r -subsets of two types, we have $\binom{n}{r} = \binom{n-1}{r} + \binom{n-1}{r-1}$.

(2) Let $A_i = \{a_1, \dots, a_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Then $A_1 \subsetneq A_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq A_{n+1}$. For each $(r+1)$ -subset $S \subseteq A_{n+1}$, there exists a unique k ($r \leq k \leq n$) such that $S \not\subseteq A_k$ and $S \subseteq A_{k+1}$. Thus $a_{k+1} \in S$ and $S' = S - \{a_{k+1}\}$ is an r -subset of A_k . Of course, each such r -subset $S' \subseteq A_k$ ($r \leq k \leq n$) produces a unique $(r+1)$ -subset $S = S' \cup \{a_{k+1}\}$ of A_{n+1} . Therefore $\binom{n+1}{r+1} = \sum_{k=r}^n \binom{k}{r}$.

(3) Let A be a set of m black balls and B a set of n white balls. Let $S = A \cup B$. Each r -subset of S is divided into a unique i -subset of A and a unique $(r-i)$ -subset of B , and vice versa. The identity follows from the counting in two different ways. \square

Proposition 1.6. (1) $\left\langle n \right\rangle_m = \left\langle n \right\rangle_{m-1} + \left\langle n-1 \right\rangle_m$.

(2) $\left\langle n+1 \right\rangle_m = \sum_{k=0}^m \left\langle n \right\rangle_k$.

Proof. (1) Let $A_n = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. Each m -multiset M of A_n either contains the element a_n or does not contain a_n . If M contains a_n , then $M \setminus \{a_n\}$ is an $(m-1)$ -multiset over A_n , and there are $\binom{n}{m-1}$ such m -multisets. If M does not contain a_n , then M is an m -multiset of A_{n-1} , and there are $\binom{n-1}{m}$ such m -multisets.

(2) For each m -multiset M of $A_{n+1} = \{a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}\}$, let k be the number of times that the element a_n appears in M . Clearly, $0 \leq k \leq m$. Deleting all multiple copies of a_n in M we obtain an $(m-k)$ -multiset of A_n . Thus $\binom{n+1}{m} = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{m-k}$. \square

2. COUNTING OF FUNCTIONS

Given sets M and N , we have the following classes of functions from M to N .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}(M, N) &= \{f : M \rightarrow N\}, \\ \text{Inj}(M, N) &= \{f : M \rightarrow N \mid f \text{ is injective}\}, \\ \text{Sur}(M, N) &= \{f : M \rightarrow N \mid f \text{ is surjective}\}, \\ \text{Bij}(M, N) &= \{f : M \rightarrow N \mid f \text{ is bijective}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Whenever M, N are linearly ordered sets, we say that a function $f : M \rightarrow N$ is **monotonic** provided that $x \leq y$ in M implies $f(x) \leq f(y)$ in N . We have the class of functions

$$\text{Mon}(M, N) = \{f : M \rightarrow N \mid f \text{ is monotonic}\}.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let M and N be finite sets with cardinalities $|M| = m$ and $|N| = n$. Then*

- (1) $|\text{Map}(M, N)| = n^m$;
- (2) $|\text{Inj}(M, N)| = n(n-1) \cdots (n-m+1)$;
- (3) $|\text{Sur}(M, N)| = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} k^m$;
- (4) $|\text{Bij}(M, N)| = \begin{cases} n! & \text{if } m = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq n. \end{cases}$

Proof. The cases (1), (2), (4) are obvious. The case (3) follows from the inclusion-exclusion principle. In fact, let $N = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have

$$|\text{Map}(M, \{b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_k}\})| = k^m \quad \text{for } i_1 < \dots < i_k.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Sur}(M, N)| &= \left| \text{Map}(M, N) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Map}(M, N \setminus \{b_i\}) \right| \\ &= n^m - \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} |\text{Map}(M, N \setminus \{b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_k}\})| \\ &= n^m + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} (n-k)^m = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} k^m. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Note: The power set $\mathcal{P}(N)$ of N is a poset under the partial order of inclusion. Then

$$|\text{Map}(M, T)| = \sum_{S \subseteq T} |\text{Sur}(M, S)|, \quad T \subseteq N.$$

By the Möbius inversion, we have

$$|\text{Sur}(M, T)| = \sum_{S \subseteq T} (-1)^{|T \setminus S|} |\text{Map}(M, S)|, \quad T \subseteq N.$$

Definition 2.2. *The falling factorial of length n is*

$$[x]_{(n)} = x(x-1) \cdots (x-n+1), \quad n \geq 1$$

and $[x]_{(0)} = 1$. *The rising factorial of length n is expression*

$$[x]^{(n)} = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1), \quad n \geq 1$$

and $[x]^{(0)} = 1$.

Proposition 2.3. (Reciprocity Law) *For integers $n \geq 1$,*

$$[-x]_{(n)} = (-1)^n [x]^{(n)}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$[-x]^{(n)} = (-1)^n [x]_{(n)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proposition 2.4. *Let N and X be linearly ordered finite sets with cardinalities $|N| = n$ and $|X| = x$. Then*

$$|\text{Mon}(N, X)| = \frac{[x]^{(n)}}{n!}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Let $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $X = \{1, 2, \dots, x\}$, $Y = \{1, 2, \dots, x+n-1\}$, and be linearly ordered by the natural order of numbers. Consider the map $\Phi : \text{Mon}(N, X) \rightarrow \binom{Y}{n}$, defined for $f \in \text{Mon}(N, X)$ by

$$\Phi(f) = \{f(1), f(2) + 1, \dots, f(n) + n - 1\},$$

where $\binom{Y}{n}$ is the set of all n -subsets of Y . It is easy to see that Φ is a bijection. The inverse of Φ is given by

$$\Phi^{-1}(\{y_1, \dots, y_n\})(i) = y_i - i + 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n;$$

where $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ is an n -subset of Y with $y_1 < \dots < y_n$. Thus

$$|\text{Mon}(N, X)| = \binom{x+n-1}{n} = \frac{(x+n-1)(x+n-2) \cdots (x+1)x}{n!},$$

which is the form $[x]^{(n)}/n!$. \square

Let M, N be either sets whose objects are distinguishable or multisets whose objects are indistinguishable, having cardinalities $|M| = m$, $|N| = n$. We use ‘ D ’ and ‘ I ’ to indicate distinguishability and indistinguishability respectively. A function from N to M can be considered as distributing objects of N into boxes indexed by the members of M . A function from N to M can be also considered as selecting $|N|$ objects from M , with repetition allowed, and put them into boxes indexed by members of N so that each box contains exactly one object.

If N is indistinguishable and M is distinguishable, then there are $\binom{m}{n}$ ways to select n objects from M with repetition allowed, and there is only one way to put them into boxes indexed by the members of N ; so $|\text{Map}(N, M)| = \binom{m}{n}$.

If N is distinguishable and M is indistinguishable, then each function from N to M is a distribution of N into identical boxes, which induces a partition of N , and the number of parts ranges from 1 to m .

If both N, M are indistinguishable, then a function from N to M is a partition of n identical objects into some nonempty parts, which is a partition of the integer n , and the number of parts ranges from 1 to m .

Let $S_{n,k}$ denote the number of partitions of an n -set into k parts. Let $P_k(n)$ denote the number of partitions of the integer n with k parts. We have the following table.

| N | M | Map | Inj ($n \leq m$) | Sur ($n \geq m$) | Bij ($n = m$) |
|-----|-----|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| D | D | m^n | $[m]_{(n)}$ | $m!S_{n,m}$ | $n!$ |
| I | D | $\langle \frac{m}{n} \rangle$ | $\binom{m}{n}$ | $\langle \frac{m}{n-m} \rangle$ | 1 |
| D | I | $\sum_{k=1}^m S_{n,k}$ | $S_{n,n} = 1$ | $S_{n,m}$ | 1 |
| I | I | $\sum_{k=1}^m P_k(n)$ | $P_n(n) = 1$ | $P_m(n)$ | 1 |

3. COUNTING OF PERMUTATIONS

A **permutation** of an n -set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a bijection $\sigma : N \rightarrow N$, written

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \sigma(1) & \sigma(2) & \cdots & \sigma(n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For simplicity, we frequently write σ as a word $s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$, where $s_i = \sigma(i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. For each $i \in [n]$, the sequence $i, \sigma(i), \sigma^2(i), \sigma^3(i), \dots$ must return to i for some terms. Let $\ell_i = \ell_i(\sigma)$ be the smallest integer such that $\sigma^{\ell_i}(i) = i$. We call the sequence

$$(i \ \sigma(i) \ \sigma^2(i) \ \dots \ \sigma^{\ell_i-1}(i))$$

a **cycle** of the permutation σ and ℓ_i (the number of elements in the cycle) the **cycle length**. Since $\sigma^{\ell_i}(\sigma^j(i)) = \sigma^{j+\ell_i}(i) = \sigma^j(i)$, one can write the above cycle by starting any element $\sigma^j(i)$ with $0 \leq j \leq \ell_i - 1$. We require to write the cycle so that the leading element is largest, and to write the whole permutation σ in increasing order of the leading elements of its cycles; such a writing is called the **standard cycle notation** of σ , denoted $\text{cyc}(\sigma)$. For instance, the standard cycle notation of the permutation 857162394 of $\{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$ is

$$\text{cyc}(857162394) = (625)(73)(9418).$$

If we delete the parenthesis in $\text{cyc}(\sigma)$, we obtain a permutation $\hat{\sigma} = \widehat{\text{cyc}}(\sigma)$. For instance,

$$\widehat{\text{cyc}}(857162394) = 625739418,$$

whose standard cycle notation is (2)(53)(74)(9816). We denote by \mathfrak{S}_n the **symmetric group** of all permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Proposition 3.1. *The map $\widehat{\text{cyc}} : \mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n$ is a bijection.*

Proof. It is clear that the map $\widehat{\text{cyc}}$ is well-defined. We claim that $\widehat{\text{cyc}}$ is surjective. For each permutation $t_1 t_2 \dots t_n$, we construct a permutation σ such that $\widehat{\text{cyc}}(\sigma) = t_1 t_2 \dots t_n$. In fact, the standard representation of σ can be obtained by inserting parentheses into $t_1 t_2 \dots t_n$ as follows: First write a left parenthesis to the left of t_1 and a right parenthesis to the right of t_n . If $t_i < t_j$ for all $i < j$, where $j \neq 1$, write a right and a left parentheses $) ($ between t_{j-1} and t_j to have $(t_1 \dots t_{j-1})(t_j \dots t_n)$. Continue this procedure for $(t_j \dots t_n)$. Alternatively, one can define the map σ as follows:

$$\sigma(t_j) = \begin{cases} t_{j+1} & \text{if there exists an } i \leq j \text{ s.t. } t_i > t_{j+1}, \\ t & \text{if } t_i \leq t_{j+1} \text{ for all } i \leq j, \end{cases}$$

where t is the unique element of the singleton $\{t_1, \dots, t_j\} \setminus \{\sigma(t_1), \dots, \sigma(t_{j-1})\}$. Now it forces that the surjective map $\widehat{\text{cyc}}$ is bijective, for \mathfrak{S}_n is finite. \square

A permutation σ of $[n]$ is said to be of **cycle-type** $1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots n^{\lambda_n}$, if it has

$\lambda_1(\sigma)$ cycles of length 1,

$\lambda_2(\sigma)$ cycles of length 2,

.....

$\lambda_n(\sigma)$ cycles of length n ;

the cycle-type of σ is denoted by

$$\text{type}(\sigma) = 1^{\lambda_1(\sigma)} 2^{\lambda_2(\sigma)} \dots n^{\lambda_n(\sigma)}.$$

Clearly,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i \lambda_i(\sigma) = n.$$

Proposition 3.2. *The number of permutations of an n -set of type $1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots n^{\lambda_n}$, where $\sum_{i=1}^n i \lambda_i = n$, is given by*

$$\frac{n!}{1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots n^{\lambda_n} (\lambda_1!) (\lambda_2!) \dots (\lambda_n!)}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{S}(1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots n^{\lambda_n})$ denote the set of permutations of type $1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots n^{\lambda_n}$. Let P_i denote a linearly ordered λ_i pairs of parentheses, each pair of parentheses contains i linearly ordered positions. Then there are n linearly ordered positions in the arrangement $P = P_1 P_2 \dots P_n$. For each permutation $\sigma = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$, let $\Phi(\sigma)$ denote the placement of the n elements s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n placed into the n positions of P in the same order. Then $\Phi(\sigma)$ defines a permutation of type $1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots n^{\lambda_n}$, which may not be in standard cycle representations. Thus $\Phi : \mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots n^{\lambda_n})$ defines a surjective map.

Notice that each filled pair of parentheses with i positions has i representations; and there are λ_i such pairs of parentheses. Then there are $i^{\lambda_i} (\lambda_i!)$ ways to rearrange the elements in P_i to have the same λ_i cycles of length i . Since the rearrangements in P_1, \dots, P_n , respectively, are independent, it follows that the fiber of Φ over each member of $\mathfrak{S}(1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots n^{\lambda_n})$ has the cardinality

$$1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots n^{\lambda_n} (\lambda_1!) (\lambda_2!) \dots (\lambda_n!).$$

The formula (3.1) follows immediately. \square

A **partition** of a set S is a collection of disjoint nonempty subsets whose union is S . For an n -set S , a partition of S is said to be of **type** $1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\dots n^{\lambda_n}$ if the number of i -subsets of the partition is λ_i . Clearly, we have $\sum_{i=1}^n i\lambda_i = n$.

Proposition 3.3. *The number of partitions of an n -set of type $1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\dots n^{\lambda_n}$ is*

$$\frac{n!}{(1!)^{\lambda_1}(2!)^{\lambda_2}\dots(n!)^{\lambda_n}(\lambda_1!(\lambda_2!) \dots (\lambda_n!))}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Let $\text{Par}(1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\dots n^{\lambda_n})$ be the set of all partitions of an n -set N of type $1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\dots n^{\lambda_n}$. Let B_i denote a linearly ordered λ_i boxes, each box contains i linearly ordered positions. There are total n positions in the arrangement $B = B_1B_2\dots B_n$. For each permutation $\sigma = s_1s_2\dots s_n$, let $\Psi(\sigma)$ denote the placement of the n elements s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n placed into the n positions of B in the same order. Then $\Psi(\sigma)$ defines a partition of type $1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\dots n^{\lambda_n}$. Thus $\Psi : \mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \text{Par}(1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\dots n^{\lambda_n})$ defines a surjective map. Notice that the i elements in each box with i positions can be arranged in $i!$ ways; and there are λ_i such boxes. Then there are $(i!)^{\lambda_i}(\lambda_i!)$ ways to rearrange the elements in B_i to have the same λ_i i -subsets. Since the rearrangements in B_1, \dots, B_n , respectively, are independent, it follows that the fiber of Ψ over any element of $\text{Par}(1^{\lambda_1}2^{\lambda_2}\dots n^{\lambda_n})$ has the cardinality

$$(1!)^{\lambda_1}(2!)^{\lambda_2}\dots(n!)^{\lambda_n}(\lambda_1!(\lambda_2!) \dots (\lambda_n!)).$$

The formula (3.2) follows immediately. \square

Definition 3.4. *The number of permutations of an n -set with exactly k cycles is denoted by $c_{n,k}$, where $n \geq k \geq 0$ and $c_{0,0} = 1$.*

Proposition 3.5. *The numbers $c_{n,k}$ satisfy the recurrence relation*

$$\begin{cases} c_{0,0} = c_{n,n} = 1 & \text{for } n \geq 0 \\ c_{n,0} = 0 & \text{for } n \geq 1 \\ c_{n+1,k} = c_{n,k-1} + nc_{n,k} & \text{for } n \geq k \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. The initial conditions are obvious.

We consider the set of $c_{n+1,k}$ permutations of an $(n+1)$ -set N with k cycles. Fix an element $w \in N$ and divide permutations of N into two kinds:

(i) Permutations where (w) is a cycle of length 1. There are $c_{n,k-1}$ such permutations.

(ii) Permutations where w is contained in a cycle of length at least 2. Such permutations can be obtained from permutations of $N \setminus \{w\}$ with k cycles by inserting the element w into one of the k cycles, and there are exactly n independent ways of making the insertion. So there are $nc_{n,k}$ such permutations. \square

Theorem 3.6. $\sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k}x^k = x(x+1)(x+2)\dots(x+n-1)$.

Proof. Let x be a positive integer and let $C(\sigma)$ denote the set of cycles of a permutation σ of $[n]$ in standard cycle notation. The left-hand side counts all pairs (σ, f) , where σ is a permutation of $[n]$ and f is a function from $C(\sigma)$ to $[x]$. The right-hand side counts the integer sequences (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) , where $1 \leq a_i \leq x+n-i$. We define a map $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (\sigma, f)$ as follows:

- (1) Write down the number n and regard it as a cycle $C = (n)$. Let $\sigma = C$ and define $f(C) = a_n$.

- (2) Whenever $i+1, i+2, \dots, n$ have been inserted into the cycles of σ , consider to insert i into σ . There are two situations:
- (a) If $a_i \in [1, x]$, start a new cycle $C' = (i)$ with the element i to the left of existing cycles of σ , and define $f(C') = a_i$.
 - (b) If $a_i = x+k \in [x+1, x+n-i]$ with $1 \leq k \leq n-i$, insert i into a cycle of σ so that it appears to the right of exactly k previously inserted elements. (The $n-i$ numbers a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n were inserted previously.)

It follows that a_i is the leading element in a cycle C_i of σ iff $a_i \in [1, x]$, $f(C_i) = a_i$, and if $a_i \in [x+1, x+n-i]$, then i is placed in σ such that there are exactly k elements larger than and to the right of i .

For example, for $n=9, x=5, (a_1, \dots, a_9) = (6, 9, 10, 1, 6, 8, 4, 6, 3)$, the permutation σ and the function f can be constructed as the following:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
(9) & a_9 = 3 \in [1, 5] & f(9) = a_9 = 3 \\
(98) & a_8 = 6 = 5 + 1 \\ & \quad \in [6, 5 + 1] = [6, 6] & f(98) = 3 \\
(7)(98) & a_7 = 4 \in [1, 5] & f(7) = a_7 = 4 \\ & & f(98) = 3 \\
(7)(986) & a_6 = 8 = 5 + 3 \\ & \quad \in [6, 5 + 3] = [6, 8] & f(7) = 4 \\ & & f(986) = 3 \\
(75)(986) & a_5 = 6 = 5 + 1 \\ & \quad \in [6, 9] & f(75) = 4 \\ & & f(986) = 3 \\
(4)(75)(986) & a_4 = 1 \in [1, 5] & f(4) = a_4 = 1 \\ & & f(75) = 4 \\ & & f(986) = 3 \\
(4)(75)(9836) & a_3 = 10 = 5 + 5 \\ & \quad \in [6, 5 + 6] = [6, 11] & f(4) = 1 \\ & & f(75) = 4 \\ & & f(9836) = 3 \\
(4)(75)(92836) & a_2 = 9 = 5 + 4 \\ & \quad \in [6, 5 + 7] = [6, 12] & f(4) = 1 \\ & & f(75) = 4 \\ & & f(92836) = 3 \\
(41)(75)(92836) & a_1 = 6 = 5 + 1 \\ & \quad \in [6, 5 + 8] = [6, 13] & f(41) = 1 \\ & & f(75) = 4 \\ & & f(92836) = 3
\end{array}$$

It is clear that the map is injective. In fact, for $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq (a'_1, \dots, a'_n)$, there exists an index j such that $a_j \neq a'_j$ and $a_i = a'_i$ for all $i < j$. If both $a_j, a'_j \in [1, x]$, then $f \neq f'$, since the values of f, f' at the cycle of σ, σ' with the leading term j are a_j, a'_j respectively; otherwise, $\sigma \neq \sigma'$, since the numbers of terms on the left side of and larger than j in σ, σ' respectively are distinct.

For surjectivity, for a pair (σ, f) of permutation σ and function $f : C(\sigma) \rightarrow [1, x]$, let $(\sigma, f) \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be defined by

$$a_i = \begin{cases} f(C) & \text{if } i \text{ is the leading term of a cycle } C \text{ of } \sigma, \\ x+k & \text{otherwise, where } k \text{ is the number of terms} \\ & \text{on the left-side of and larger than } i. \end{cases}$$

□

Exercise 1. Find the inverse map $(\sigma, f) \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ explicitly, letting that σ be written in the standard cycle notation and the values of f be given on cycles.

Let $\sigma = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ be a permutation of $[n]$. An **inversion** of σ is a pair (s_i, s_j) such that $i < j$ but $s_i > s_j$. For each $k \in [n]$, let a_k denote the number of terms that precede k in $s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ and are greater than k , i.e.,

$$a_k := \#\{s_i \mid s_i > s_j = k, i < j\} = \#\{\sigma(i) \mid \sigma(i) > \sigma(j) = k, i < j\}.$$

It measures how much k is out of order by counting number of integers larger than k but located before k . The tuple $(\sigma) := (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is called the **inversion sequence** (or **inversion table**) of σ , and the sum

$$\text{inv}(\sigma) := a_1 + \dots + a_n$$

is called the **inversion number** of σ , measuring the total disorder of σ . Clearly, $0 \leq a_i \leq n - i$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $n \geq k \geq 1$. Then $c_{n,k}$ counts the number of integer sequences (a_1, \dots, a_n) such that $0 \leq a_i \leq n - i$ and exactly k values of a_i equal to 0.*

Proof. Let $x = 1$ in Theorem 3.6. The function f in the pair (σ, f) is a constant function. Then $1 \leq a_i \leq x + n - i$ becomes $1 \leq a_i \leq n - i + 1$, which can be equivalently reduced to $0 \leq a_i \leq n - i$ by shifting the values by 1 unit. Note that a_i produces a cycle if and only if $a_i \in [1, x] = [1, 1]$, i.e., $a_i = 1$, equivalently, $a_i = 0$ after shifting by 1. Hence, permutations with k cycles correspond to inversion sequences (a_1, \dots, a_n) having exactly k values of a_i equal to 0. \square

Corollary 3.8. *The map $\mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n [0, n - i] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, sending each permutation σ to its inversion sequence, is a bijection.*

Proposition 3.9. *The inversion generating polynomial has the factorization*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + q + \dots + q^i).$$

Proof. Note that $\text{inv}(\sigma) = a_1 + \dots + a_n$ for each permutation σ with inversion table (a_1, \dots, a_n) . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} &= \sum_{a_1=0}^{n-1} \sum_{a_2=0}^{n-2} \dots \sum_{a_n=0}^0 q^{a_1+a_2+\dots+a_n} \\ &= \left(\sum_{a_1=0}^{n-1} q^{a_1} \right) \left(\sum_{a_2=0}^{n-2} q^{a_2} \right) \dots \left(\sum_{a_n=0}^0 q^{a_n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Given a permutation $\sigma = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ of $[n]$. The **descent set** of σ is the set

$$\text{Des}(\sigma) := \{i \in [n] \mid s_i > s_{i+1}\}; \quad (3.4)$$

its cardinality $\text{des}(\sigma) := |\text{Des}(\sigma)|$ is called the **descent** of σ . Some authors include n into the set $\text{Des}(\sigma)$ by saying that σ goes down from s_n to zero at position n . We do not include n in $\text{Des}(\sigma)$. Likewise, the **ascent set** of σ is the set

$$\text{Asc}(\sigma) := \{i \in [n] \mid s_i < s_{i+1}\}; \quad (3.5)$$

its cardinality $\text{asc}(\sigma) := |\text{Asc}(\sigma)|$ is called the **ascent** of σ . Clearly, we have

$$0 \leq \text{des}(\sigma) \leq n-1, \quad 0 \leq \text{asc}(\sigma) \leq n-1.$$

We introduce two integer-valued functions α, β on the power set $2^{[n]}$ of $[n]$ as follows: For each subset $S \subseteq [n]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(S) &= \#\{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \text{Des}(\sigma) \subseteq S\}, \\ \beta(S) &= \#\{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \text{Des}(\sigma) = S\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we have

$$\alpha(T) = \sum_{S \subseteq T} \beta(S), \quad T \subseteq [n].$$

It is equivalent to (by the Möbius inversion)

$$\beta(T) = \sum_{S \subseteq T} (-1)^{|T \setminus S|} \alpha(S), \quad T \subseteq [n].$$

Proposition 3.10. *Let a_1, \dots, a_k be nonnegative integers such that $a_1 + \dots + a_k = n$ and $S = \{a_1, a_1 + a_2, \dots, a_1 + \dots + a_k\}$. Then*

$$\alpha(S) = \binom{n}{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k}.$$

Proof. For simplicity we may assume $a_i \geq 1$. We count all permutations $\sigma = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ such that $\text{Des}(\sigma) \subseteq S$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &< s_2 < \dots < s_{a_1} > s_{a_1+1}, \\ s_{a_1+1} &< s_{a_1+a_2+2} < \dots < s_{a_1+a_2} > s_{a_1+a_2+1}, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

$$s_{a_1+\dots+a_{k-1}+1} < s_{a_1+\dots+a_{k-1}+2} < \dots < s_{a_1+\dots+a_k} = s_n.$$

We choose $s_1 < \dots < s_{a_1}$ in $\binom{n}{a_1}$ ways; then choose $s_{a_1+1} < \dots < s_{a_1+a_2}$ in $\binom{n-a_1}{a_2}$ ways; and so on. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(S) &= \binom{n}{a_1} \binom{n-a_1}{a_2} \dots \binom{n-a_1-\dots-a_{k-1}}{a_k} \\ &= \binom{n}{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily modified to the case of some $a_i = 0$. □

Definition 3.11. *The **Eulerian polynomial** is the generating polynomial*

$$A_n(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\text{des}(\sigma)} = \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n,k} x^k, \quad (3.6)$$

whose coefficients $A_{n,k}$ are **Eulerian numbers**, counting the number of n -permutations with k descents. We assume $A_{0,0} = 1$.

Proposition 3.12. *The Eulerian numbers satisfy the symmetric property:*

$$A_{n,k} = A_{n,n-k-1}$$

and the recurrence relation:

$$\begin{cases} A_{0,0} = 1, \\ A_{n,n} = 0, \quad A_{n,0} = A_{n,n-1} = 1 & \text{for } n \geq 1 \\ A_{n,k} = (k+1)A_{n-1,k} + (n-k)A_{n-1,k-1} & \text{for } n > k \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. The map $\mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n$, $s_1 \dots s_n \mapsto t_1 \dots t_n$ with $t_i = n - s_i$, is a bijection, sending an n -permutation with exactly k descents to an n -permutation with exactly k ascents. The number of n -permutations with k descents is the same as the number of n -permutations with exactly k ascents.

Given a permutation $\sigma = s_1 \dots s_n$ and $i \in [n - 1]$, we have either a descent $s_i > s_{i+1}$ or an ascent $s_i < s_{i+1}$. So σ has exactly k descent iff it has exactly $(n - 1) - k$ ascents. It follows that $A_{n,k} = A_{n,n-k-1}$.

Permutations of $[n]$ with k descending positions can be obtained as follows: (i) each permutation σ of $[n - 1]$ with k descending positions produces exactly k permutations of $[n]$ with k descending positions by inserting n behind each of the k descending positions of σ , plus one more by placing n rightmost; (ii) each permutation σ of $[n - 1]$ with $k - 1$ descending positions produces $(n - 1) - (k - 1)$ permutations of $[n]$ with k descending positions by inserting n anywhere (total $n - 1$ positions, left sides of members of $[n - 1]$) but not behind each of the $k - 1$ descending positions of σ . It is clear that permutations of $[n]$ obtained in (i) and (ii) are distinct; and each permutation of $[n]$ with k descending positions can be obtained in this way. \square

Proposition 3.13 (Worpitzky Identity). *The Euler numbers $A_{n,k}$ satisfy the relation:*

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_{n,k} \binom{x+k}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{A_{n,k}}{n!} [x+k]_{(n)}. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. Let I_n denote the right-hand side of (3.7). We show the identity by induction on n . For $n = 0, 1$, it is easily verified to be true. Now for $n + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n+1} &= A_{n,0} \binom{x}{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left((k+1)A_{n,k} + (n+1-k)A_{n,k-1} \right) \binom{x+k}{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n,k} \binom{x+k}{n} \cdot \frac{(k+1)(x+k-n)}{n+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n A_{n,k-1} \binom{x+k-1}{n} \cdot \frac{(n+1-k)(x+k)}{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_{n,k} \binom{x+k}{n} \cdot \frac{(k+1)(x+k-n) + (n-k)(x+k+1)}{n+1} \\ &= x \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_{n,k} \binom{x+k}{n} = x^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Exercise 2. For $n \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^n x^k = \frac{1}{(1-x)^{n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_{n,j} x^{j+1}.$$

For $n = 0$, we have

$$\text{LHS} = \sum_{k \geq 1} x^k = \frac{x}{1-x}, \quad \text{RHS} = \frac{A_{0,0}x}{1-x} = \frac{x}{1-x}.$$

For $n = 1$, we have

$$\text{LHS} = \sum_{k \geq 1} kx^k = x \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{k \geq 1} x^k = x \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{x}{1-x} \right) = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2},$$

$$\text{RHS} = \frac{A_{1,0}x}{(1-x)^2} = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}.$$

For $n = 2$, $\text{LHS} = \sum_{k \geq 1} k^2 x^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= \frac{A_{2,0}x + A_{2,1}x^2}{(1-x)^3} = \frac{x + x^2}{(1-x)^3} \\ &= (x + x^2) \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{-3}{k} (-x)^k \\ &= (x + x^2) \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{k+2}{k} x^k \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \binom{k+1}{k-1} x^k + \sum_{k \geq 2} \binom{k}{k-2} x^k \\ &= x + \sum_{k \geq 2} x^k \left[\binom{k+1}{k-1} + \binom{k}{k-2} \right] \\ &= x + \sum_{k \geq 2} k^2 x^k = \text{LHS}. \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 3$, $\text{LHS} = \sum_{k \geq 1} k^3 x^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= \frac{A_{3,0}x + A_{3,1}x^2 + A_{3,2}x^3}{(1-x)^4} \\ &= \frac{x + 4x^2 + x^3}{(1-x)^4} = (x + 4x^2 + x^3) \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{k+3}{k} x^k \\ &= x + 4x^2 + \sum_{k \geq 3} x^k \left[\binom{k+2}{k-1} + 4 \binom{k+1}{k-2} + \binom{k}{k-3} \right] \\ &= x + 4x^2 + \sum_{k \geq 3} k^3 x^k. \end{aligned}$$

For arbitrary n , recall $k^n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_{n,j} \binom{k+j}{n} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_{n,j} \cdot \frac{[k+j]_{(n)}}{n!}$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^n x^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_{n,j} \binom{k+j}{n} x^k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_{n,j} \cdot \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k+j]_{(n)} x^k.$$

Note that $j < n$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k+j]_{(n)} x^k \\
&= \frac{x^{n-j}}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (x^{k+j}) \\
&= \frac{x^{n-j}}{n!} \cdot \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{k+j} \\
&= \frac{x^{n-j}}{n!} \cdot \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left(\frac{x^{j+1}}{1-x} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Leibliz rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \frac{x^{n-j}}{n!} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \frac{d^i}{dx^i} (x^{j+1}) \frac{d^{n-i}}{dx^{n-i}} (1-x)^{-1} \\
&= \frac{x^{n-j}}{n!} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} [j+1]_{(i)} x^{j-i+1} [-1]_{(n-i)} (1-x)^{i-n-1} (-1)^{n-i} \\
&= \frac{x^{n-j}}{n!} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{n!(j+1)!(n-i)!}{i!(n-i)!(j-i+1)!} \cdot \frac{x^{j-i+1}}{(1-x)^{n-i+1}} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(j+1)!}{i!(j-i+1)!} \left(\frac{x}{1-x} \right)^{n-i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now S becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \left(\frac{x}{1-x} \right)^{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{j+1}{i} \left(\frac{1-x}{x} \right)^i \\
&= \left(\frac{x}{1-x} \right)^{n+1} \left(\frac{1-x}{x} + 1 \right)^{j+1} \\
&= \left(\frac{x}{1-x} \right)^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)^{j+1} = \frac{x^{n-j}}{(1-x)^{n+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^n x^k &= \frac{1}{(1-x)^{n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_{n,j} x^{n-j} \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-x)^{n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_{n,n-j-1} x^{n-j} \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-x)^{n+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_{n,i} x^{i+1}
\end{aligned}$$

Given a permutation $\sigma = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. An **exceedance** of σ is a number i such that $\sigma(i) > i$. The set of all exceedances of σ is

$$\text{Exc}(\sigma) = \{i \in [n] : s_i > i\} = \{i \in [n] : \sigma(i) > i\}. \quad (3.8)$$

The number of exceedances of σ is the cardinality $\text{exc}(\sigma) := |\text{Exc}(\sigma)|$. A weak exceedance of σ is a number $i \in [n]$ such that $\sigma(i) \geq i$. The set of weak exceedances is

$$\text{w-Exc}(\sigma) = \{i \in [n] : s_i \geq i\} = \{i \in [n] : \sigma(i) \geq i\}. \quad (3.9)$$

Proposition 3.14. *The Eulerian number $A_{n,k}$ counts the number of n -permutations with k exceedances, i.e.,*

$$A_{n,k} = \#\{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \text{exc}(\sigma) = k\} = \#\{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \text{w-exc}(\sigma) = k + 1\}.$$

Proof. The bijection $\sigma \mapsto \text{cyc}(\sigma)$ gives another description of the Eulerian numbers.

Given a permutation $\sigma = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ having the standard cycle notation

$$\text{cyc}(\sigma) = (t_1 t_2 \dots t_{\ell_1})(t_{\ell_1+1} t_{\ell_1+2} \dots t_{\ell_2}) \dots (t_{\ell_{m-1}+1} t_{\ell_{m-1}+2} \dots t_n),$$

where $t_1 = t_{\ell_0+1}$, $t_n = t_{\ell_m}$, and $t_{\ell_0+1}, t_{\ell_1+1}, \dots, t_{\ell_{m-1}+1}$ are the m largest elements in the corresponding k cycles of σ and are arranged in increasing order. Then $\hat{\sigma} := \text{cyc}(\sigma) = t_1 t_2 \dots t_n$ is a permutation. Note that $\sigma(t_j) = t_{j+1}$ for $\ell_{i-1} + 1 \leq j < \ell_i$, and $\sigma(t_{\ell_i}) = t_{\ell_{i-1}+1} \geq t_{\ell_i}$ (the equality holds for cycles of length 1, i.e., $\ell_i = \ell_{i-1} + 1$).

Assume that $t_j < t_{j+1}$, where $j < n$, which is automatically true when $j = \ell_i$ for some i . There exists an i such that either $\ell_{i-1} + 1 \leq j < \ell_i$ or $j = \ell_i$. Then either $\sigma(t_j) = t_{j+1} > t_j$ or $\sigma(t_{\ell_i}) = t_{\ell_{i-1}+1} > t_{\ell_i}$ if $\ell_i > \ell_{i-1} + 1$, or $\sigma(t_{\ell_i}) = t_{\ell_i}$ if $\ell_i = \ell_{i-1} + 1$. So $\sigma(t_j) \geq t_j$ for all $j < n$ (it is also true for $j = n$). Conversely, assume that $\sigma(t_j) \geq t_j$. There exists an i such that either $\ell_{i-1} + 1 \leq j < \ell_i$ or $j = \ell_i$. Then either $\sigma(t_j) = t_{j+1} \neq t_j$, i.e., $t_j < t_{j+1}$, or $t_{\ell_i} < t_{\ell_{i-1}+1}$ if $\ell_i \neq n$. It then follows that $\sigma(t_j) \geq t_j$ iff $j = n$ or $t_j < t_{j+1}$ for $j < n$.

Recall that $\text{Asc}(\hat{\sigma}) = \{j \in [n-1] : t_j < t_{j+1}\} = [n-1] \setminus \text{Des}(\hat{\sigma})$. Then

$$[n] \setminus \text{Des}(\hat{\sigma}) = \text{Asc}(\hat{\sigma}) \cup \{n\} = \{j \in [n] : \sigma(t_j) \geq t_j\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} n - \text{des}(\hat{\sigma}) &= |\{j \in [n] : \sigma(t_j) \geq t_j\}| \\ &= |\{t_j \in [n] : \sigma(t_j) \geq t_j\}| \\ &= \text{w-exc}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma \mapsto \text{cyc}(\sigma)$ is a bijection, we see that

$$A_{n,k} = |\{\hat{\sigma} : \text{des}(\hat{\sigma}) = k\}| = |\{\sigma : \text{w-exc}(\sigma) = n - k\}|.$$

Moreover, for each permutation $\pi = u_1 u_2 \dots u_n$, let $\tilde{\pi} = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n$, where $v_i = n + 1 - u_{n+1-i}$. Note that π has $n - k$ weak exceedances iff π has k indices i such that $u_i < i$. Since $u_i < i$ iff $v_{n+1-i} > n + 1 - i$, we see that π has $n - k$ weak exceedances iff $\tilde{\pi}$ has k exceedances. Thus

$$A_{n,k} = |\{\sigma : \text{w-exc}(\sigma) = n - k\}| = |\{\tilde{\sigma} : \text{exc}(\tilde{\sigma}) = k\}|.$$

Applying the formula above to $A_{n,n-k-1}$, we have

$$A_{n,k} = A_{n,n-k-1} = |\{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \text{w-exc}(\sigma) = k + 1\}|.$$

□

4. q -ANALOGS

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of q elements. Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be the n -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_q . Given nonnegative integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m such that

$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m = n.$$

We denote by $\text{Fl}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ the set of flags

$$\{0\} \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_m \subseteq V$$

of length m such that $\dim(V_i/V_{i-1}) = a_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, called the **flag space of V of type (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)** . The set of all flags of length m is denoted by Fl_m , called the **flag space of V of length m** . For $m = 1$ and $a_1 = k$, the set $\text{Fl}(k)$ can be identified as the collection of all k -subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n , called the **Grassmannian of k -subspaces of V** , denoted $\text{Gr}(V, k)$. The cardinality of $\text{Gr}(V, k)$ is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^n - q) \dots (q^n - q^{k-1})}{(q^k - 1)(q^k - q) \dots (q^k - q^{k-1})},$$

which is actually a polynomial, called the **Gaussian polynomial** of the q -analog of binomial coefficients. In general, we introduce the notations

$$[n]_q := 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1},$$

$$[n]_q! := [n]_q [n-1]_q \dots [2]_q [1]_q.$$

For nonnegative integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m such that $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m = n$, we define the **q -analog of multinomial coefficient** (or just **q -multinomial coefficient**)

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[a_1]_q! [a_2]_q! \dots [a_m]_q!}. \quad (4.1)$$

Let \mathcal{M} denote the vector space of all $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{F}_q . We denote by \mathcal{M}^n be the set of $n \times n$ matrices of rank n . For each $M \in \mathcal{M}$, we divide M into a block matrix of the form

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_m \end{pmatrix},$$

where M_i is an $a_i \times n$ matrix, $1 \leq i \leq m$. For sake of convenience, we write $M = (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_m)$ in the row form. There is a canonical projection

$$\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Fl}(a_1, \dots, a_m),$$

defined by

$$\pi(M) = \{0\} \subseteq V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_m \subseteq V,$$

where V_i is the row space of the submatrix (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_i) , $1 \leq i \leq m$. The restriction

$$\pi : \mathcal{M}^n \rightarrow \text{Fl}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$$

is surjective. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \#(\mathcal{M}^n) &= (q^n - 1)(q^n - q) \dots (q^n - q^{n-1}) \\ &= q^{n(n-1)/2} (q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \dots (q - 1) \\ &= q^{n(n-1)/2} (q - 1)^n [n]_q [n-1]_q \dots [2]_q [1]_q \\ &= q^{n(n-1)/2} (q - 1)^n [n]_q!. \end{aligned}$$

For each $F \in \text{Fl}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ in \mathcal{M}^n , the fiber $\pi^{-1}(F)$ in \mathcal{M}^n has the cardinality

$$\begin{aligned} \#(\pi^{-1}(F)) &= \underbrace{(q^{a_1} - 1) \cdots (q^{a_1} - q^{a_1-1})}_{a_1} \times \\ &\quad \underbrace{(q^{a_1+a_2} - q^{a_1}) \cdots (q^{a_1+a_2} - q^{a_1+a_2-1})}_{a_2} \times \\ &\quad \cdots \times \underbrace{(q^n - q^{a_1+\cdots+a_{m-1}}) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1})}_{a_m}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \#(\pi^{-1}(F)) &= q^e \underbrace{(q^{a_1} - 1)(q^{a_1-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}_{a_1} \times \\ &\quad \underbrace{(q^{a_2} - 1)(q^{a_2-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}_{a_2} \times \\ &\quad \cdots \times \underbrace{(q^{a_m} - 1)(q^{a_m-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}_{a_m}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} e &= [1 + 2 + \cdots + (a_1 - 1)] + [a_1 + (a_1 + 1) + 2 + \cdots + (a_1 + a_2 - 1)] \\ &\quad + \cdots + [(a_1 + \cdots + a_{m-1}) + (a_1 + \cdots + a_{m-1} + 1) + \cdots + (n - 1)] \\ &= n(n - 1)/2. \end{aligned}$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \#(\pi^{-1}(F)) &= q^e \prod_{i=1}^m (q - 1)^{a_i} [a_i]_q [a_i - 1]_q \cdots [2]_q [1]_q \\ &= q^e (q - 1)^{\sum_{i=1}^m a_i} \prod_{i=1}^m [a_i]_q! \\ &= q^e (q - 1)^n [a_1]_q! [a_2]_q! \cdots [a_m]_q!; \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\#(\mathcal{M}^n) = \#(\text{Fl}(a_1, \dots, a_m)) \cdot \#(\pi^{-1}(F)),$$

we obtain

$$\#(\text{Fl}(a_1, \dots, a_m)) = \frac{[n]_q!}{[a_1]_q! [a_2]_q! \cdots [a_m]_q!} = \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ a_1, \dots, a_m \end{matrix} \right]_q.$$

We shall see that $\left[\begin{matrix} n \\ a_1, \dots, a_m \end{matrix} \right]_q$ is a polynomial of q .

Let $B(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ denote a subgroup of the **general linear group** $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ of $n \times n$ invertible matrices over \mathbb{F}_q , consisting of the block lower triangular matrices of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m1} & A_{m2} & \cdots & A_{mm} \end{pmatrix},$$

where A_{kl} are $a_k \times a_l$ matrices and A_{kk} are invertible. Then $B(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ is a subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$, acting on \mathcal{M}^n on the left by multiplication, i.e.,

$$AM = A \begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M'_1 \\ \vdots \\ M'_m \end{pmatrix} = M',$$

where $M'_k = A_{k1}M_1 + \dots + A_{kk}M_k$, $1 \leq k \leq m$. The projection $\pi : \mathcal{M}^n \rightarrow \text{Fl}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ induces a quotient bijection

$$\pi : \mathcal{M}^n / B(a_1, \dots, a_m) \rightarrow \text{Fl}(a_1, \dots, a_m).$$

Let $\text{Row}(M_1, \dots, M_k), \text{Row}(M'_1, \dots, M'_k)$ denote the row spaces of the submatrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} M'_1 \\ \vdots \\ M'_k \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. It is clear that $\text{Row}(M'_1, \dots, M'_k) \subseteq \text{Row}(M_1, \dots, M_k)$. Since A is invertible and $A^{-1} \in B(a_1, \dots, a_m)$, we see that $\text{Row}(M'_1, \dots, M'_k) = \text{Row}(M_1, \dots, M_k)$. So the quotient map is well-defined.

The surjectivity is trivial. For injectivity, assume that $\pi(M) = \pi(M')$ for two matrices M and M' , i.e.,

$$\text{Row}(M_1, \dots, M_k) = \text{Row}(M'_1, \dots, M'_k), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m. \quad (4.4)$$

We need to show that there exists a matrix $A \in B(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ such that $AM = M'$.

Since $\text{Row}(M_1) = \text{Row}(M'_1)$, there exists an invertible matrix A_{11} such that $A_{11}M_1 = M'_1$. Next, since $\text{Row}(M_1, M_2) = \text{Row}(M'_1, M'_2)$, then each row of M'_2 is a linear combination of rows of M_1 and M_2 . This means that there exist matrices A_{21} and A_{22} such that $M'_2 = A_{21}M_1 + A_{22}M_2$. We then have

$$\begin{pmatrix} M'_1 \\ M'_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where A_{22} must be invertible. Continue this procedure, one obtains matrices A_{kl} ($1 \leq l \leq k$) such that $M'_k = \sum_{l=1}^k A_{kl}M_l$ and A_{kk} are invertible, $1 \leq k \leq m$. Set $A = [A_{kl}]$, where $A_{kl} = 0$ for $k < l$. Then $A \in B(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and $AM = M'$. This means that $\pi : \mathcal{M}^n / B(a_1, \dots, a_m) \rightarrow \text{Fl}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ is a bijection.

A **reduced block echelon matrix** of type (a_1, \dots, a_m) is a block $n \times n$ matrix

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ \vdots \\ E_m \end{pmatrix},$$

where each E_k is a reduced row echelon matrix justified from right and bottom, pivot positions are in different rows and different columns, and all entries below a pivot position are zero.

Each non-singular $n \times n$ block matrix M of type (a_1, \dots, a_m) can be converted into a reduced block echelon matrix of the same type by multiplying a matrix $A \in B(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ to the left of M . In other words, each orbit of $\mathcal{M}^n / B(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ has a representative of reduced block echelon matrix, which can be obtained as follows.

Step 1: Find the rightmost nonzero column of M_1 , called the **pivot column** of Block 1; the bottom position of the pivot column is called a **pivot position**. If the entry of the pivot position is zero, interchange the bottom row of M_1 and one row of M_1 whose entry in the pivot column is nonzero; now the pivot entry is nonzero. Reduce the nonzero pivot entry to 1 and the entries above it in M_1 to zero by row operations. Next, cover the the bottom row of Block 1 to obtain a matrix M'_1 ; repeat the procedure until all rows of Block 1 are covered. We then obtain a reduced row echelon matrix E_1 of M_1 . There exists an invertible matrix A_{11} such that $E_1 = A_{11}M_1$.

Step 2: Reduce all entries of M_k ($2 \leq k \leq m$) below the pivot positions of E_1, \dots, E_{k-1} to zero by multiplying matrices $A_{k1}, \dots, A_{k(k-1)}$ to E_1, \dots, E_{k-1} respectively. We then obtain a block matrix

$$M' = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ \vdots \\ E_{k-1} \\ M'_k \\ \vdots \\ M'_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Cover the blocks E_1, \dots, E_{k-1} of M' and apply Step 1 to the block M'_k .

Step 3: Repeat until every block becomes reduced row echelon matrix. Finally, a reduced block echelon matrix $E = (E_1, \dots, E_m)$ is obtained.

There are n pivot positions in a block row echelon form E , located in distinct rows and distinct columns. Entries beyond each pivot position on the right and below are zero. Entries of a pivot column in the block of the pivot position are zero, except the pivot entry, which is 1.

Let the pivot position of the i th row be located in (i, s_i) . Then the reduced block echelon matrix E can be indexed by a permutation

$$\sigma = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n, \quad \sigma(i) = s_i.$$

Let $b_k = a_1 + \dots + a_k$, $1 \leq k \leq m$. Recall that $\text{Des}(\sigma)$ is the set of indices where σ decreases. Note that σ increases strictly at integers inside intervals (b_{k-1}, b_k) for each block M_k of M . The descents of σ can only occur at the indices b_k of each block. So we have

$$\text{Des}(\sigma) \subseteq \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}, \quad b_k = a_1 + \dots + a_k. \quad (4.5)$$

Conversely, each permutation σ satisfying (4.5) determines a block echelon form.

Next we show the injectivity of π on the reduced block echelon matrices of type (a_1, \dots, a_m) . Given two reduced block echelon matrices E, E' . If $\pi(E) = \pi(E')$, i.e.,

$$\text{Row}(E_1, \dots, E_k) = \text{Row}(E'_1, \dots, E'_k), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m, \quad (4.6)$$

we claim that $E = E'$. Suppose $E \neq E'$. We may assume that $E_1 = E'_1, \dots, E_{k-1} = E'_{k-1}$, and $E_k \neq E'_k$.

Let F, F' be matrices obtained from E, E' respectively by row operations to reduce all entries above each pivot position in the first b_k rows. And let v_i, v'_i denote the i th rows of F, F' respectively.

Suppose that E_k, E'_k have distinct pivot positions. Let l be the largest row index of E_k, E'_k such that $s_l \neq s'_l$. Assume $s_l < s'_l$. Then $s_i < s'_l$ for $i \in (b_{k-1}, l]$; and

$s_i = s'_i$ for $i \in [1, b_k - 1] \cup (l, b_k]$. In particular, $s_i \neq s'_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, b_k$. Since (4.6), we have $\mathbf{v}'_l = \sum_{i=1}^{b_k} c_i \mathbf{v}_i$, i.e.,

$$v'_{lj} = \sum_{i=1}^{b_k} c_i v_{ij}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.7)$$

Note that $v'_{lj} = 0$ for all $j > s'_l$ by the echelon property of F' . If $s_{i_0} > s'_l$ for a row index $i_0 \in [1, b_k]$, then $s'_{i_0} = s_{i_0} > s'_l$, consequently, $v'_{l s_{i_0}} = 0$ by the echelon property of F' . Note that $v_{i s_{i_0}} = \delta_{i i_0}$ for $i \in [1, b_k]$ by the echelon property of F . Set $j = s_{i_0}$ in (4.7), we see that

$$0 = v'_{l s_{i_0}} = \sum_{i=1}^{b_k} c_i v_{i s_{i_0}} = c_{i_0}.$$

It follows that (4.7) becomes

$$v'_{lj} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq b_k, s_i < s'_l} c_i v_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n. \quad (4.8)$$

Note that $v'_{l s'_l} = 1$ by the echelon property of F' , and $v_{i s'_l} = 0$ for all i such that $s_i < s'_l$ by the echelon property of F . Set $j = s'_l$ in (4.8); we obtain

$$1 = v'_{l s'_l} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq b_k, s_i < s'_l} c_i v_{i s'_l} = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. We must have $s_l \geq s'_l$. Likewise, $s'_l \geq s_l$. Hence $s_l = s'_l$, contradicting to the previous assumption. This shows that E, E' have the same pivot positions in the first b_k rows.

Now let l be the largest row index of E_k, E'_k such that their l th rows are distinct. Recall (4.6) again; there exists a $b_k \times b_k$ matrix $A_k \in B(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} E'_1 \\ \vdots \\ E'_k \end{pmatrix} = A_k \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ \vdots \\ E_k \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{The two linear systems}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ \vdots \\ E_k \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} E'_1 \\ \vdots \\ E'_k \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$

have the same solution space. So do the two linear systems

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ \vdots \\ F_k \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} F'_1 \\ \vdots \\ F'_k \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \quad (4.9)$$

We construct a particular solution \mathbf{x} of the first system in (4.9), which is not a solution of the second system in (4.9), resulting a contradiction.

Since $v_l \neq v'_l$ and $v_{l s_l} = v'_{l s_l} = 1$, let $j_0 \in [1, s_l)$ be the first column index such that $v_{l j_0} \neq v'_{l j_0}$. Notice that $j_0 \neq s_i$ for all $i \in [1, b_k]$ by the echelon property of F, F' . A typical solution \mathbf{x} of the first system in (4.9) is given by

$$x_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = j_0 \\ -v_{i j_0} & \text{if } j = s_i, 1 \leq i \leq b_k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

It is clear that such an \mathbf{x} is not a solution of the second system in (4.9), for the l th equation of the second system is not satisfied. This is a contradiction.

Now each flag $\{0\} \subseteq V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_m = V$ of type (a_1, \dots, a_m) is identified as one and only one of a reduced block echelon matrix of type (a_1, \dots, a_m) with certain values for each $*$. The space $\text{Fl}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ is then decomposed into a disjoint union of affine subspaces corresponding to reduced block echelon forms.

Each reduced block echelon form E can be indexed by a permutation $\sigma = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where (i, s_i) is the pivot position of the i th row in E . For each star position (i, j) of E , we have $j < s_i$, and there exists a unique $k > i$ such (k, j) is a pivot position; so $s_k := j < s_i$, i.e., (s_i, s_k) is an inversion of σ . Conversely, if (s_i, s_k) is an inversion, i.e., $i < k$ and $s_i > s_k$, then the row i and the row k of E cannot be in the same block, thus (i, s_k) must be a star position of E . So the number of inversions of the permutation σ equals the number of star positions of the reduced block echelon form E .

For example, given type $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (3, 2, 2, 2)$, its reduced block echelon form is

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ E_3 \\ E_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & * & * & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & * & * & 0 & * & 1 & 0 \\ \hline * & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where each $*$ position can be filled with arbitrary values of \mathbb{F}_q . Notice that there is no star position in the last block. The affine subspace with the reduced block echelon form above is indexed by the permutation $\sigma = 368245917$, whose inversion table is $(a_1, \dots, a_9) = (2, 4, 5, 1, 1, 1, 2, 0, 0)$. The number of star positions in its reduced block echelon form is the number of inversions of σ , i.e.,

$$\text{inv}(\sigma) = \text{inv}(368245917) = 16.$$

We have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Given nonnegative integers a_1, \dots, a_m such that $a_1 + \cdots + a_m = n$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_n(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ denote the set of permutations σ of $[n]$ whose descent set satisfies*

$$\text{Des}(\sigma) \subseteq \{a_1, a_1 + a_2, \dots, a_1 + \cdots + a_m\}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(a_1, \dots, a_m)} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ a_1, \dots, a_m \end{bmatrix}_q. \quad (4.10)$$

Let \mathbb{Z}_+ be the set of positive integers. Let \mathbb{F}_q^∞ denote the vector space of all functions from \mathbb{Z}_+ to \mathbb{F}_q with finite support. We write each vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^\infty$ as an infinite tuple

$$\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, 0, 0, \dots).$$

Given nonnegative integers a_1, \dots, a_m . Denote by $\text{Fl}_\infty(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ the set of flags

$$\{0\} = V_0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_m \subsetneq \mathbb{F}_q^\infty$$

of length m , such that $\dim(V_i/V_{i-1}) = a_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{n,\infty}$ denote the vector space of $n \times \infty$ matrices over \mathbb{F}_q , having only finitely many nonzero entries. Let $\mathcal{M}_{n,\infty}^n$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{M}_{n,\infty}$, consisting of matrices of rank n . Each member of $\mathcal{M}_{n,\infty}$ can be written as a block matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \\ \vdots \\ M_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

where M_i is an $a_i \times \infty$ submatrix. There is canonical projection

$$\pi : \mathcal{M}_{n,\infty}^n \rightarrow \text{Fl}_\infty(a_1, \dots, a_m), \quad M \mapsto V_0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_m,$$

where $V_0 = \{0\}$, $V_i = \text{Row}(M_1, \dots, M_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. The parabolic group $B(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ acts on $\mathcal{M}_{n,\infty}^n$ on the left by multiplication. We shall see that the orbit space $\mathcal{M}_{n,\infty}^n/B(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ is isomorphic to the flag space $\text{Fl}_\infty(a_1, \dots, a_m)$.

We denote by \mathfrak{S}_∞ the group of all bijections $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\sigma(k) = k$ for large enough $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, i.e., there exists an integer N such that $\sigma(k) = k$ for all $k > N$. For each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty$, the **inversion set** of σ is the collection

$$\text{Inv}(\sigma) = \{(s_i, s_j) : i < j \text{ and } s_i > s_j\}$$

and $\text{inv}(\sigma) = |\text{Inv}(\sigma)|$. Given nonnegative integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m ; we denote by $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ the set of permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty$ such that

$$\text{Des}(\sigma) \subseteq \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\},$$

where $b_i = a_1 + \dots + a_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$.

For example, for $(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (3, 2, 2)$ we have $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (3, 5, 7)$. For the permutation $\sigma = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n \dots$ with $s_1 s_2 \dots s_9 = 368472915$ and $s_i = i$ for $i \geq 10$, we have

$$\text{Inv}(\sigma) = \#\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2 : i < j, s_i > s_j\},$$

$\text{inv}(\sigma) = 19$, and $\text{Des}(\sigma) = \{3, 5, 7\}$.

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc|cccc} * & * & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ * & * & 0 & * & * & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ * & * & 0 & * & * & 0 & * & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \hline * & * & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ * & * & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ * & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ * & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & I_\infty \end{array} \right) \quad (4.11)$$

Definition 4.2. For nonnegative integers a_1, \dots, a_m , the q -analog of multinomial coefficient of infinite type $(\infty; a_1, \dots, a_m)$ is

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \infty \\ a_1, \dots, a_m \end{array} \right]_q := \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\dots(1-q^{a_i})}.$$

Theorem 4.3. For non-negative integers a_1, \dots, a_m , let $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ denote the set of bijections $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\sigma(k) = k$ for k large enough. Then

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty(a_1, \dots, a_m)} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} = \left[\begin{matrix} \infty \\ a_1, \dots, a_m \end{matrix} \right]_q. \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. Fix a permutation $\sigma = s_1 s_2 \cdots \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty(a_1, \dots, a_m)$. Let $(1, s_1), \dots, (a_1, s_{a_1})$ denote the pivot positions of the echelon form E_1 for the first block. The number of stars in E_1 on the left of the s_1 th column is $k_1 a_1$, where $k_1 \geq 0$. The number of stars in E_1 between the columns s_1 and s_2 is $k_2(a_1 - 1)$, where $k_2 \geq 0$. And the number of stars in E_1 between the columns s_{a_1-1} and s_{a_1} is $k_{a_1} \geq 0$. So the total number of stars in E_1 is $k_1 a_1 + k_2(a_1 - 1) + \cdots + k_{a_1-1} \cdot 2 + k_{a_1} \cdot 1$. Likewise, the total number of stars in the echelon form E_i of the i th block is

$$k_{i1} a_i + k_{i2}(a_i - 1) + \cdots + k_{i(a_i-1)} \cdot 2 + k_{ia_i} \cdot 1.$$

The left-hand side of (4.12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= \sum_{[k_{ij_i} \geq 0]_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j_i \leq a_i}} \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j_i=1}^{a_i} q^{k_{ij_i}(a_i - j_i + 1)} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j_i=1}^{a_i} \sum_{k_{ij_i}=0}^{\infty} q^{k_{ij_i}(a_i - j_i + 1)} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j_i=1}^{a_i} \frac{1}{1 - q^{a_i - j_i + 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

5. STIRLING NUMBERS

5.1. Stirling numbers of the first kind.

Definition 5.1. The Stirling numbers of the first kind are the numbers $s_{n,k}$ determined by the expansion

$$[x]_{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n s_{n,k} x^k, \quad n \geq k \geq 0$$

for $n \geq 1$ and with $s_{0,0} \equiv 1$.

Proposition 5.2. The Stirling numbers of the first kind $s_{n,k}$ satisfy the recurrence relation:

$$\begin{cases} s_{n,n} = 1 & \text{for } n \geq 0 \\ s_{n,0} = 0 & \text{for } n \geq 1 \\ s_{n+1,k} = s_{n,k-1} - n s_{n,k} & \text{for } n \geq k \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. Expanding the falling factorial $[x]_{(n)} = x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$ for $n \geq 1$, it is clear that $s_{n,n} = 1$ and $s_{n,0} = 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} s_{n+1,k} x^k &= [x]_{(n+1)} = [x]_{(n)}(x-n) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n s_{n,k} x^{k+1} - n \sum_{k=0}^n s_{n,k} x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} s_{n,k-1} x^k - \sum_{k=0}^n n s_{n,k} x^k, \end{aligned}$$

we see that $s_{n+1,k} = s_{n,k-1} - n s_{n,k}$ for $n \geq k \geq 1$. \square

Exercise 3.

$$s_{n+1,k} = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i [n]_{(i)} s_{n,k-1}, \quad n \geq k \geq 1.$$

Corollary 5.3. *The numbers $a_{n,k} := (-1)^{n-k} c_{n,k}$ satisfy the same recurrence relation (5.1) for the Stirling numbers of the first kind $s_{n,k}$. Thus*

$$s_{n,k} = (-1)^{n-k} c_{n,k}$$

and the absolute value $|s_{n,k}|$ counts the number of permutations of an n -set with exactly k cycles.

Proof. Obviously, $a_{0,0} = 1$, and $a_{n,0} = 0, a_{n,n} = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. For $n \geq k \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1,k} &= (-1)^{n+1-k} c_{n+1,k} \\ &= (-1)^{n-k+1} c_{n,k-1} - n(-1)^{n-k} c_{n,k} \\ &= a_{n,k-1} - n a_{n,k}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 5.4.

$$[x]^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^n |s_{n,k}| x^k.$$

Proof. By the Reciprocity Law for the rising factorial function and falling factorial function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x]^{(n)} &= (-1)^n [-x]_n = (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^n s_{n,k} (-x)^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} s_{n,k} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k} x^k. \end{aligned}$$

\square

5.2. Stirling numbers of the second kind.

Definition 5.5. *The Stirling number of the second kind $S_{n,k}$ is the number of ways to partition an n -set into k nonempty subsets. We take convention $S_{0,0} = 1$ and $S_{n,0} = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proposition 5.6. *The Stirling numbers of the second kind $S_{n,k}$ are given by*

$$S_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} i^n, \quad n \geq k \geq 0.$$

Proof. The formula follows from the identity

$$|\text{Sur}(N, K)| = k! S_{n,k},$$

where N and K are finite sets with $|N| = n$ and $|K| = k$. □

Proposition 5.7. *The numbers $S_{n,k}$ satisfy the recurrence relation:*

$$\begin{cases} S_{0,0} = S_{n,n} = 1 & \text{for } n \geq 0 \\ S_{n,0} = 0 & \text{for } n \geq 1 \\ S_{n,1} = 1 & \text{for } n \geq 1 \\ S_{n+1,k} = S_{n,k-1} + kS_{n,k} & \text{for } n \geq k \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. The initial conditions $S_{n,1} = S_{n,n} = 1$ for $n \geq 1$ are obvious. As for the recurrence relation, consider the set of all partitions of an $(n+1)$ -set N into k non-empty subsets; there are $S_{n+1,k}$ such partitions. Let w be an element of N . We divide these partitions into two kinds:

- (a) Partitions that the singleton set $\{w\}$ is a block. There are $S_{n,k-1}$ such partitions.
- (b) Partitions that w is contained in a block of at least two elements. Such partitions can be obtained from the partitions of the set $N - \{w\}$ into k blocks by joining w into any of the k blocks. There are $kS_{n,k}$ such partitions. □

Proposition 5.8. *The sequence $\{S_{n,k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ is unimodal for all $n \geq 0$. In fact, set $M(n) = \max\{k \mid S_{n,k} = \max\}$. The sequence $\{S_{n,k}\}$ has the one of the following two types:*

- (1) $S_{n,0} < S_{n,1} < \cdots < S_{n,M(n)} > S_{n,M(n)+1} > \cdots > S_{n,n}$,
- (2) $S_{n,0} < S_{n,1} < \cdots < S_{n,M(n)-1} = S_{n,M(n)} > \cdots > S_{n,n}$.

Proposition 5.9.

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{n,k} [x]_k.$$

Proof. For finite sets N and X with $|N| = n$ and $|X| = x$, we have

$$\text{Map}(N, X) = \bigsqcup_{S \subset X} \text{Sur}(N, S).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^n &= |\text{Map}(N, X)| \\
 &= \sum_{S \subset X} |\text{Sur}(N, S)| \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{x}{k} k! S_{n,k} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n S_{n,k} [x]_{(k)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.10. *The Stirling inversion formula:*

$$[x]_{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n s_{n,k} x^k, \quad (5.3)$$

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{n,k} [x]_{(k)}. \quad (5.4)$$

Theorem 5.11.

$$\sum_{k=0}^n s_{n,k} S_{k,m} = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{n,k} s_{k,m} = \delta_{n,m}$$

Proposition 5.12.

$$S_{n+1,k} = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} S_{i,k-1}.$$

Exercise 4.

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{S_{n,k}}{n!} t^n = \frac{(e^t - 1)^k}{k!}.$$

5.3. Lah Numbers.

Definition 5.13. *The Lah numbers $L_{n,k}$ are defined by the identity*

$$[-x]_{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n L_{n,k} [x]_{(k)}, \quad n \geq k \geq 0$$

with convention $L_{0,0} = 1$.

Theorem 5.14. *The Lah inversion formula:*

$$[-x]_{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n L_{n,k} [x]_{(k)}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$[x]_{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n L_{n,k} [-x]_{(k)}. \quad (5.6)$$

Proposition 5.15. *The numbers $L_{n,k}$ satisfy the recurrence relation:*

$$\begin{cases}
 L_{n,n} = (-1)^n & \text{for } n \geq 0 \\
 L_{n,0} = 0 & \text{for } n \geq 1 \\
 L_{n+1,k} = -L_{n,k-1} - (n+k)L_{n,k} & \text{for } n \geq k \geq 1
 \end{cases} \quad (5.7)$$

Proof. Since $[-x]_{(n)} = (-x)(-x-1)(-x-2)\cdots(-x-n+1)$, it follows that $L_{n,n} = (-1)^n$ and $L_{n,0} = 0$ (because there is no constant term) for all $n \geq 1$. The recursion formula follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} L_{n+1,k}[x]_{(k)} &= [-x]_{(n+1)} = (-x-n)[-x]_{(n)} \\
&= (-x-n) \sum_{k=0}^n L_{n,k}[x]_{(k)} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n L_{n,k}(-x-k-(n+k))[x]_{(k)} \\
&= -\sum_{k=0}^n L_{n,k}[x]_{k+1} - (n+k) \sum_{k=0}^n L_{n,k}[x]_{(k)} \\
&= -\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} L_{n,k-1}[x]_{(k)} - (n+k) \sum_{k=0}^n L_{n,k}[x]_{(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.16. *The number of ways of placing n distinguishable objects into k indistinguishable boxes with no box left empty and objects in each box are linearly ordered, is given by*

$$d_{n,k} = \frac{n!}{k!} \binom{n-1}{k-1}, \quad n \geq k \geq 1. \quad (5.8)$$

Proof. Let the k indistinguishable boxes be divided into the distinguishable boxes B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k (linearly ordered) by inserting the bars “|” in between. Now we place the n objects of an n -set N into the distinguishable boxes so that no one is empty. Each such placement can be obtained from the permutations

$$a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge a_3 \wedge a_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{n-1} \wedge a_n$$

of N by inserting $k-1$ bars “|” in the $n-1$ positions indicated by “ \wedge ”. There are $n!$ permutations and $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ ways of insertion. So there are $n! \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ ways of placing n distinct objects into k distinct boxes so that no one is empty. Since the boxes in question are indistinguishable, the answer in question is given by $\frac{n!}{k!} \binom{n-1}{k-1}$. □

Proposition 5.17. *The sequence $d_{n,k}$ defined by (5.8) satisfy the recurrence relation:*

$$\begin{cases} d_{n,n} = 1 & \text{for } n \geq 0 \\ d_{n,0} = 0 & \text{for } n \geq 1 \\ d_{n+1,k} = d_{n,k-1} + (n+k)d_{n,k} & \text{for } n \geq k \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

Proof. First, $d_{n+1,1} = (n+1)! = 0 + (n+1) \cdot n! = d_{n,0} + d_{n,1}$. For $n \geq k \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{n,k-1} + (n+k)d_{n,k} &= \frac{n!(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(k-2)!(n-k+1)!} \\
&\quad + (n+k) \cdot \frac{n!(n-1)!}{k!(k-1)!(n-k)!} \\
&= \frac{(n+1)!n!}{k!(k-1)!(n-k+1)!} = d_{n+1,k}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.18. *The Lah numbers can be expressed by*

$$L_{n,k} = (-1)^n \frac{n!}{k!} \binom{n-1}{k-1}, \quad n \geq k \geq 0;$$

and the absolute value $|L_{n,k}|$ counts the number of ways of placing n distinguishable objects into k indistinguishable boxes such that no boxes are empty and objects in each box are linearly ordered.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 5.17 that the sequence $b_{n,k} = (-1)^n d_{n,k}$ satisfies the same recurrence relation (5.7) of Lah numbers $L_{n,k}$. Hence $L_{n,k} = b_{n,k}$. □

Proposition 5.19. *The number of surjective monotone functions from a totally ordered n -set to a totally ordered r -set = the number of ordered r -partitions of a positive integer n , and is equal to*

$$\binom{n-1}{r-1}.$$

Proof. For $n = 1$ it is obviously true. For $n > 1$, the map

$$\phi : n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r \mapsto (n_1, n_1 + n_2, \dots, n_1 + \cdots + n_{r-1})$$

from the set of r -partitions of n to the set of strict monotone words of length $r - 1$ in $\{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}_<$ is a bijection because it has the inverse

$$\psi : (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{r-1}) \mapsto s_1 + (s_2 - s_1) + \cdots + (s_{r-1} - s_{r-2}) + (n - s_{r-1}).$$

Then the two sets have the same cardinality; and the second set has cardinality $\binom{n-1}{r-1}$. □

Proposition 5.20.

$$[x]^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n |L_{n,k}| [x]_{(k)}. \quad (5.10)$$

Proof. Let N and X be totally ordered sets such that $|N| = n$ and $|X| = x$. Then

$$\text{Mon}(N, X) = \bigsqcup_{S \subset X} \text{Surj-Mon}(N, S).$$

Since $|\text{Sur-Mon}(N, S)| = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ for $|S| = k$ by Proposition 5.19, we have

$$\frac{[x]^{(n)}}{n!} = \sum_{S \subset X} |\text{Sur-Mon}(N, S)| = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{x}{k} \binom{n-1}{k-1} = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \binom{n-1}{k-1} [x]_{(k)}.$$

Therefore

$$[x]^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{k!} \binom{n-1}{k-1} [x]_{(k)}.$$

□

Exercise 5. Prove the following identities.

- (1) $\sum_{k=m}^n L_{n,k} L_{k,m} = \delta_{n,m}$.
- (2) $L_{n,m} = \sum_{k=m}^n (-1)^k s_{n,k} S_{k,m}$.

5.4. Bell Numbers.

Definition 5.21. *The number of partitions of an n -set is called the **Bell number** and is denoted by B_n with $B_0 = 1$. In other words,*

$$B_n = \sum_{k=1}^n S_{n,k}.$$

Proposition 5.22. (Dobinski's Formula)

$$B_n = \frac{1}{e} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^n}{k!}.$$

Proposition 5.23. (Recursion for the Bell Numbers)

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= 1, \\ B_{n+1} &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k. \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} t^n &= e^{e^t - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

6. BERNOULLI NUMBERS AND EULERIAN NUMBERS

Definition 6.1. *The **Bernoulli numbers** B_n are defined by*

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} x^n.$$

Proposition 6.2. $B_0 = 1$, $B_{2n+1} = 0$ for $n \geq 1$, and

$$B_n = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k} B_k.$$

Definition 6.3. *The **Euler numbers** $A_{n,k}$ are defined by*

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{x+k-1}{n} A_{n,k}$$

with $A_{0,0} = 1$.

Proposition 6.4.

$$A_{n,k} = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \binom{n+1}{i} (k-i)^n.$$

7. CATALAN, FIBONACCI, AND LUCAS NUMBERS

Definition 7.1. *The **Catalan numbers** C_n are the positive integers*

$$C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Proposition 7.2. (1) *The number of diagonal triangulations of a labelled n -gon is given by*

$$C_{n-2}.$$

- (2) The number of associations to compute the noncommutative product $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is given by

$$C_{n-1}.$$

- (3) The number of increasing lattice path from $(0,0)$ to (n,n) such that all intermediate points (a,b) satisfying $a \leq b$, is given by

$$2C_n.$$

8. GRASSMANNIAN OF ∞ -DIMENSIONAL SUBSPACES

Let \mathbb{K} be a field. Let $\mathbb{K}^\infty = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_i \in \mathbb{K}, x_i = 0 \text{ for large enough } i\}$. For each $k \geq 0$, let $\text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{K}^\infty)$ be the Grassmannian of k -subspaces of \mathbb{K}^∞ . There are natural embeddings

$$\text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{K}^\infty) \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}(k+l, \mathbb{K}^\infty), \quad V \mapsto \mathbb{K}^l \times V$$

such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{K}^\infty) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \text{Gr}(k+l+m, \mathbb{K}^\infty) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & \text{Gr}(k+l, \mathbb{K}^\infty) & \end{array}$$

So the collection $\text{Gr} := \{\text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{K}^\infty) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ is a directed system. We define the *Grassmannian* $\text{Gr}(\infty, \mathbb{K}^\infty)$ as the algebraic limit of the directed system Gr . If $\text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{K}^\infty)$ is identified with the image under the embedding, then $\text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{K}^\infty)$ is a subset of $\text{Gr}(k+1, \mathbb{K}^\infty)$ and

$$\text{Gr}(\infty, \mathbb{K}^\infty) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{K}^\infty).$$

Each element of $\text{Gr}(\infty, \mathbb{K}^\infty)$ can be viewed as a full flag of infinite length. Let $\text{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{K})$ denote the group of all invertible $\infty \times \infty$ matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix},$$

where M is an invertible square matrix over \mathbb{K} and I is an infinite identity matrix.

Theorem 8.1. *The space $\text{Gr}(\infty, \mathbb{K}^\infty)$ can be viewed as the Grassmannian of ∞ -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{K}^∞ , and has the following cellular decomposition*

$$\text{Gr}(\infty, \mathbb{K}^\infty) = \bigsqcup_{\sigma} X_{\sigma},$$

where $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$ is extended over all sequences such that $2 \leq \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \dots < \sigma_k$, and when $k \geq 0$, $\sigma = (1)$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)q^n = \#(\text{Gr}(\infty, \mathbb{K}_q^\infty)) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^n}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}(\infty)$ be the vector space of $\infty \times \infty$ matrices M over \mathbb{K} such that the (i, j) -entry of M is zero when i or j is large enough. Let \sim be the equivalence relation on $\mathcal{M}(\infty)$, generated by (1) $M \sim AM$, where $A \in \text{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{K})$, and (2) $M \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\text{Gr}(\infty, \mathbb{K}^\infty)$ can be viewed as the quotient space of $\mathcal{M}(\infty)$ under the above equivalence relation.

