This document is a **transcript** of the lecture, with extra summary and vocabulary sections for your convenience. Due to time constraints, the notes may sometimes only contain limited illustrations, proofs, and examples; for a more thorough discussion of the course content, **consult the textbook**.

## **Summary**

Quick summary of today's notes. Lecture starts on next page.

• Given real numbers  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $a + bi = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$ .

In this notation, we think of 1 as the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and i as the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

The set of *complex numbers* is  $\mathbb{C} = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$ 

We view  $\mathbb{R}$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  by setting  $a = a + 0i = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$ .

ullet We can add, subtract, multiply, and invert complex numbers, since they are  $2\times 2$  matrices.

The identity " $i^2 = -1$ " holds in the sense that  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

• Once we get used to these operations, another useful way to view the elements of  $\mathbb{C}$  is as formal expressions a+bi where  $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$  and i is a symbol that satisfies  $i^2=-1$ .

Addition, subtraction, and multiplication work just like polynomials, but substituting -1 for  $i^2$ .

• Suppose  $p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$  is a polynomial with coefficients  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . Assume  $a_n \neq 0$  so that p(x) has degree n.

Then there are are n (not necessarily distinct) complex numbers  $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$p(x) = a_n(x - r_1)(x - r_2) \cdots (x - r_n).$$

The numbers  $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$  are the **roots** of p(x).

# 1 Last time: methods to check diagonalizability

Let n be a positive integer and let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix.

Remember that A is diagonalizable if  $A = PDP^{-1}$  where P is an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix and D is an  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix. In other words, A is diagonalizable if A is similar to a diagonal matrix.

Suppose  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are linearly independent vectors and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are numbers. Define

$$P = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_n \end{array} \right] \quad \text{ and } \quad D = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} \lambda_1 & & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{array} \right].$$

If  $A = PDP^{-1}$  then  $Av_i = PDP^{-1}v_i = PDe_i = \lambda_i Pe_i = \lambda_i v_i$  for each i = 1, 2, ..., n.

In other words, when  $A = PDP^{-1}$ , the columns of P are a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  made up of eigenvectors of A.

#### Matrices that are not diagonalizable.

**Proposition.** Let A be an  $n \times n$  upper-triangular matrix with all entries on the diagonal equal to  $\lambda$ . If A is not the diagonal matrix  $\lambda I$ , then A is not diagonalizable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $A = PDP^{-1}$  where D is diagonal. Every diagonal entry of D is an eigenvalue for A. The only eigenvalue of A is  $\lambda$  so  $D = \lambda I$  and  $A = P(\lambda I)P^{-1} = \lambda PIP^{-1} = \lambda IP^{-1} = \lambda IP$ 

The following result summarizes everything we need to know about diagonalizability: how to determine if a matrix A is diagonalizable, and then how to compute the decomposition  $A = PDP^{-1}$  if it exists.

**Theorem.** Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Suppose  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$  are the distinct eigenvalues of A.

Let  $d_i = \dim \text{Nul}(A - \lambda_i I)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

By the definition of an eigenvalue, we have  $1 \le d_i \le n$  for each i. Moreover, the following holds:

- 1. We always have  $d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_p \leq n$ .
- 2. The matrix A is diagonalizable if and only if  $d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_p = n$ .
- 3. Suppose A is diagonalizable. Let  $D_i = \lambda_i I_{d_i}$  and define D as the  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix

$$D = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} D_1 & & & \\ & D_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & D_p \end{array} \right].$$

Choose n vectors  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the first  $d_1$  vectors are a basis for  $\text{Nul}(A - \lambda_1 I)$ , the next  $d_2$  vectors are a basis for  $\text{Nul}(A - \lambda_2 I)$ , the next  $d_3$  vectors are a basis for  $\text{Nul}(A - \lambda_3 I)$ , and so on, so that the last  $d_p$  vectors are basis for  $\text{Nul}(A - \lambda_p I)$ . Then  $A = PDP^{-1}$  for

$$P = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_n \end{array} \right].$$

### 2 Complex numbers

For the rest of this lecture, let  $i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Recall that  $I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Suppose  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Both i and  $I_2$  are  $2 \times 2$  matrices, so we can form the sum  $aI_2 + bi$ .

To simplify our notation, we will write 1 instead of  $I_2$  and a + bi instead of  $aI_2 + bi$ .

We consider a = a + 0i and bi = 0 + bi and 0 = 0 + 0i. With this convention, we have

$$a+bi=a\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right]+b\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -b \\ b & 0 \end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc} a & -b \\ b & a \end{array}\right].$$

Define  $\mathbb{C} = \{a + bi : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ . This is called the set of *complex numbers*.

According to our definition, each element of  $\mathbb{C}$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix, to be called a *complex number*.

**Fact.** We can add complex numbers together. If  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$(a+bi)+(c+di)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}a&-b\\b&a\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}c&-d\\d&c\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}a+c&-b-d\\b+d&a+c\end{array}\right]=(a+c)+(b+d)i\in\mathbb{C}.$$

Clearly 
$$(a + bi) + (c + di) = (c + di) + (a + bi) = (a + c) + (b + d)i$$

**Fact.** We can subtract complex numbers. If  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$(a+bi)-(c+di)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}a&-b\\b&a\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{cc}c&-d\\d&c\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}a-c&-b+d\\b-d&a-c\end{array}\right]=(a-c)+(b-d)i\in\mathbb{C}.$$

**Fact.** We can multiply complex numbers. If  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$(a+bi)(c+di) = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} a & -b \\ b & a \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} c & -d \\ d & c \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} ac-bd & -(ad+bc) \\ ad+bc & ac-bd \end{array} \right] = (ac-bd) + (ad+bc)i \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Note that 
$$(a+bi)(c+di) = (c+di)(a+bi) = (ac-bd) + (ad+bc)i$$

**Fact.** We can multiply complex numbers by real numbers. If  $a, b, x \in \mathbb{R}$  then define

$$(a+bi)x = x(a+bi) = x\begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax & -bx \\ bx & ax \end{bmatrix} = (ax) + (bx)i \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Note that this is the same as the product (a + bi)(x + 0i).

**Fact.** We can divide complex numbers by nonzero real numbers. If  $a, b, x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \neq 0$  then define

$$(a+bi)/x = (a+bi)(1/x) = (a/x) + (b/x)i.$$

We sometimes write  $\frac{p}{q}$  instead of p/q. Both expressions means the same thing.

A complex number a + bi is **nonzero** if  $a \neq 0$  or  $b \neq 0$ . Since

$$\det(a+bi) = \det \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} = a^2 + b^2,$$

which is only zero if a = b = 0, every nonzero complex number is invertible as a matrix.

**Fact.** This fact lets us divide complex numbers. If  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $c + di \neq 0$  then define

$$(a+bi)/(c+di) = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c & -d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$

We can write this more explicitly as

$$(a+bi)/(c+di) = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c & -d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{c^2 + d^2} \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{c^2 + d^2} \begin{bmatrix} ac + bd & ad - bc \\ bc - ad & ac + bd \end{bmatrix} = \frac{ac + bd}{c^2 + d^2} + \frac{bc - ad}{c^2 + d^2} i \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The last formula is not so easy to remember.

It may be easier to divide complex numbers using the following method:

**Example.** We have 
$$\frac{3-4i}{2+i} = \frac{(3-4i)(2-i)}{(2+i)(2-i)} = \frac{6-3i-8i+4i^2}{4-i^2} = \frac{6-11i-4}{5} = \frac{2-11i}{5} = \frac{2}{5} - \frac{11}{5}i$$
.

More generally, if  $c+di\neq 0$  then we always have  $\boxed{\frac{a+bi}{c+di}=\frac{(a+bi)(c-di)}{c^2+d^2}}$  since

$$\frac{a+bi}{c+di} = (a+bi)(c+di)^{-1} = \frac{1}{c^2+d^2}(a+bi)(c-di) = \frac{(a+bi)(c-di)}{c^2+d^2}.$$

The *complex conjugate* of c + di is its matrix transpose, in other words, the complex number

$$\overline{c+di} = (c+di)^{\top} = c-di \in \mathbb{C}.$$

When c + di is nonzero, the complex conjugate is related to the inverse by the identity

$$(c+di)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} c & -d \\ d & c \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{c^2+d^2} \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{c^2+d^2} \cdot \overline{c+di}.$$

Since  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfy xy = yx and  $(xy)^{\top} = y^{\top}x^{\top}$  (since complex numbers are matrices), it follows that

$$\overline{xy} = \overline{y} \cdot \overline{x} = \overline{x} \cdot \overline{y}.$$

We can also add complex numbers a + bi with real numbers c when  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

To do this, we set c = c + 0i and define (a + bi) + c = c + (a + bi) = (a + bi) + (c + 0i) = (a + c) + bi.

Under this convention, we have

$$i^{2} + 1 = (0+i)(0+i) + (1+0i) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0 + 0i = 0.$$

Thus it makes sense to write  $i^2 = -1$ . In a similar way:

**Theorem.** Define the exponential function  $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  by the convergent power series

$$e^{x} = 1 + \frac{1}{1}x + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2}x^{2} + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}x^{3} + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4}x^{4} + \dots$$

Then  $e^1 = e = 2.71828...$  and  $e^{i\pi} + 1 = 0$ 

*Proof.* We need two facts from calculus:

$$-1 = \cos \pi = 1 - \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} \pi^4 - \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6} \pi^6 + \dots$$
$$0 = \sin \pi = \frac{1}{1} \pi - \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} \pi^3 + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5} \pi^5 - \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7} \pi^7 + \dots$$

We have

$$i = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right], \quad i^2 = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right], \quad i^3 = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{array} \right], \quad \text{and} \quad i^0 = i^4 = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Thus  $i^{n+4} = i^n$  for all n.

Also, we have  $(i\pi)^n = \pi^n i^n$ . It follows that

$$e^{i\pi} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} \pi^4 - \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6} \pi^6 + \dots & \frac{1}{1} \pi - \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} \pi^3 + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5} \pi^5 - \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7} \pi^7 + \dots \\ \frac{1}{1} \pi - \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} \pi^3 + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5} \pi^5 - \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7} \pi^7 + \dots & 1 - \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} \pi^4 - \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6} \pi^6 + \dots \end{bmatrix}.$$

By our two facts, this is just 
$$e^{i\pi} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = -1 + 0i$$
. Thus  $e^{i\pi} + 1 = (-1 + 0i) + (1 + 0i) = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

After a while, we tend to forget that complex numbers are  $2 \times 2$  matrices and instead view the elements of  $\mathbb{C}$  as formal expressions a + bi where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and i is a symbol that satisfies  $i^2 = -1$ .

We can add, subtract, and multiply such expressions just like polynomials, but substituting -1 for  $i^2$ . This convention gives the same operations as we saw above.

Moreover, this makes it clearer how to view  $\mathbb{R}$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ , by setting a = a + 0i.

The *real part* of a complex number  $a + bi \in \mathbb{C}$  is  $\Re(a + bi) = a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The *imaginary part* of  $a + bi \in \mathbb{C}$  is  $\Im(a + bi) = b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark.** It can be helpful to draw the complex number  $a + bi \in \mathbb{C}$  as the vector  $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

The number  $i(a+bi)=-b+ai\in\mathbb{C}$  then corresponds to the vector  $\begin{bmatrix} -b\\a\end{bmatrix}\in\mathbb{R}^2$ , which is given by rotating  $\begin{bmatrix} a\\b\end{bmatrix}$  ninety degrees counterclockwise. (Try drawing this yourself.)

The main reason it is useful to work with complex numbers is the following theorem about polynomials. Suppose  $p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$  is a polynomial with coefficients  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Assume  $a_n \neq 0$  so that p(x) has degree n.

Even though we think of complex numbers are  $2 \times 2$  matrices, this expression for p(x) still makes sense for  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ : if we plug in any complex number for x then  $a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Theorem** (Fundamental theorem of algebra). Define p(x) as above. There are n (not necessarily distinct) complex numbers  $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $p(x) = a_n(x - r_1)(x - r_2) \cdots (x - r_n)$ .

One calls the numbers  $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$  the **roots** of p(x).

A root r has multiplicity m if exactly m of the numbers  $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$  are equal to r.

The use of complex numbers in this theorem is essential. The statement fails if we use  $\mathbb{R}$  instead of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Example: if  $p(x) = x^2 + 1$  then there **do not exist** real numbers  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $p(x) = (x - r_1)(x - r_2)$ .

However, we do have  $x^2 + 1 = (x - i)(x + i)$ .

## 3 Vocabulary

Keywords from today's lecture:

### 1. Complex number.

We define a complex number to be either

- A matrix  $a + bi = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .
- A formal expression "a + bi" where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and i is a symbol that has  $i^2 = -1$ .

The first definition makes it clear how to add, subtract, multiply, and divide complex numbers (use matrix operations). The second definition is secretly just a way of abbreviating the first definition.

The set of complex numbers is denoted  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2i \text{ corresponds to } \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array} \right]. \\ (1 + 2i) + (2 + 3i) &= 3 + 5i \text{ corresponds to } \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array} \right] + \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2 & -3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} 3 & -5 \\ 5 & 3 \end{array} \right]. \\ (1 + 2i)(2 + 3i) &= -4 + 7i \text{ corresponds to } \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2 & -3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} -4 & -6 \\ 7 & -4 \end{array} \right]. \\ (1 + 2i)^{-1} &= \frac{1}{5} - \frac{2}{5}i \text{ corresponds to } \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array} \right]^{-1} = \frac{1}{5} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{array} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

### 2. Complex conjugation.

If 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{R}$$
 then *complex conjugate* of  $a + bi \in \mathbb{C}$  is  $\overline{a + bi} = a - bi \in \mathbb{C}$ .  
If  $y, z \in \mathbb{C}$  then  $\overline{y + z} = \overline{y} + \overline{z}$  and  $\overline{yz} = \overline{y} \cdot \overline{z}$  and  $\overline{y^{-1}} = \overline{y}^{-1}$ .

#### 3. Fundamental theorem of algebra.

Any polynomial

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$$

with coefficients  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a_n \neq 0$  can be factored as

$$f(x) = a_n(x - \lambda_1)(x - \lambda_2) \cdots (x - \lambda_n)$$

for some not necessarily distinct complex numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ .