

### § 1.5. Weak law of large numbers.

For a sequence of independent r.v.s  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , classical law of large numbers is typically about the convergence of partial sums

$$\frac{S_n - E(S_n)}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n [X_i - E(X_i)]}{n},$$

where  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  here and throughout this Chapter. A more general form is the convergence of

$$\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n}$$

for some constants  $a_n$  and  $b_n$ . Weak law is convergence in probability and strong law is convergence a.s..

(i). *Weak law of large numbers.*

The following proposition may be called  $L^2$  weak law of large numbers which implies the weak law of large numbers.

**PROPOSITION** *Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots$  are iid with mean  $\mu$  and finite variance  $\sigma^2$ . Then,*

$$S_n/n \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{in probability and in } L^2.$$

**Proof.** Write

$$E(S_n/n - \mu)^2 = (1/n)\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore  $L^2$  convergence holds. And convergence in probability is implied by the Chebyshev inequality.  $\square$

The above proposition implies that classical weak law of large numbers holds quite trivially in a standard setup with the r.v.s being iid with finite variance. In fact, in such a standard setup strong law of large numbers also holds, as to be shown in Section 1.7. However, the fact that convergence in probability is implied in  $L^2$  convergence plays a central role in establishing weak law of large numbers. For an example, a straightforward extension of the above proposition can be:

For independent r.v.s  $X_1, \dots$ ,  $(S_n - E(S_n))/b_n \rightarrow 0$  in probability if  $(1/b_n^2) \sum_{i=1}^n \text{var}(X_i) \rightarrow 0$ , for some  $b_n \uparrow \infty$ .

The following theorem about general weak law of large numbers is a combination of the above extension and the technique of truncation.

**Theorem 1.3.** **WEAK LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS** *Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent. Assume*

(1).  $\sum_{i=1}^n P(|X_i| > b_n) \rightarrow 0,$

(2).  $b_n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2 1_{\{|X_i| \leq b_n\}}) \rightarrow 0,$

where  $0 < b_n \uparrow \infty$ . Then  $(S_n - a_n)/b_n \rightarrow 0$  in probability, where  $a_n = \sum_{j=1}^n E(X_j 1_{\{|X_j| \leq b_n\}})$ .

**Proof.** Let  $Y_j = X_j 1_{\{|X_j| \leq b_n\}}$ . Consider

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n Y_j - a_n}{b_n} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n [Y_j - E(Y_j)]}{b_n},$$

which is mean 0 and converges to 0 in  $L^2$  by (2). Therefore it also converges to 0 in probability. Notice that

$$P\left(\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n Y_j - a_n}{b_n}\right) = P(S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq P(X_j = Y_j \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq n) = \prod_{j=1}^n P(X_j = Y_j) \quad \text{by independence} \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^n P(|X_j| \leq b_n) = \prod_{j=1}^n [1 - P(|X_j| > b_n)] = e^{\sum_{j=1}^n \log[1 - P(|X_j| > b_n)]} \\
&\approx e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n P(|X_j| > b_n)} \\
&\rightarrow 1 \quad \text{by (1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(S_n - a_n)/b_n \rightarrow 0$  in probability.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.4.** *Suppose  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  are iid. Then,  $S_n/n - \mu_n \rightarrow 0$  in probability for some  $\mu_n$ , if and only if*

$$xP(|X_1| > x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

in which case  $\mu_n = E(X1_{\{|X| \leq n\}}) + o(1)$ .

**Proof.** “ $\Leftarrow$ ” Let  $a_n = n\mu_n$  and  $b_n = n$  in Theorem 1.3. Condition (1) follows. To check Condition (2), write, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&b_n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2 1_{\{|X_i| \leq b_n\}}) = \frac{1}{n} E(X^2 1_{\{|X| \leq n\}}) \leq \frac{1}{n} E(\min(|X|, n)^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty 2xP(\min(|X|, n) > x)dx = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n 2xP(|X| > x)dx \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \int_M^n 2xP(|X| > x)dx + o(1) \quad \text{for any fixed } M > 0 \\
&= \frac{2}{n} \int_M^n xP(|X| > x)dx + o(1) \leq 2 \sup_{x \geq M} xP(|X| > x) + o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $M$  is arbitray, Condition (2) holds. And the WLLN follows from Theorem 1.3.

“ $\Rightarrow$ ” Let  $X^*, X_1^*, \dots$  be iid following the same distribution of  $X$  and are independent of  $X, X_1, \dots$ . Set  $\xi_i = X_i - X_i^*$  (symmetrization) and  $\tilde{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$ . Then,  $\tilde{S}_n/n \rightarrow 0$  in probability. The Levy inequality in Exercise 1.13 implies  $\max\{|\tilde{S}_j| : 1 \leq j \leq n\}/n \rightarrow 0$  in probability, which further ensures  $\max\{|\xi_j| : 1 \leq j \leq n\}/n \rightarrow 0$  in probability. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&nP(|X| \geq n\epsilon)P(|X^*| \leq .5n\epsilon) = nP(|X| \geq n\epsilon, |X^*| \leq .5n\epsilon) \leq nP(|X - X^*| \geq .5n\epsilon) \\
&\approx 1 - [1 - P(|X - X^*| \geq .5n\epsilon)]^n = P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\xi_j| > .5n\epsilon) \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$nP(|X| \geq n\epsilon) \approx nP(|X| \geq n\epsilon)[1 - P(|X| \geq .5n\epsilon)] \rightarrow 0,$$

which is equivalent to  $xP(|X| > x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 1.4.** Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. with common density  $f$  symmetric about 0 and c.d.f such that  $1 - F(t) = 1/(t \log t)$ , for  $t > 3$ . Then,  $S_n/n \rightarrow 0$  in probability. But  $S_n/n \not\rightarrow 0$ , a.s..

The convergence in probability is a consequence of Theorem 1.4 with  $\mu_n = 0$  and checking the condition  $xP(|X| > x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . The convergence a.s. is untrue because  $X_n/n \not\rightarrow 0$  a.s. by Borel-Cantelli lemma.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots$  are i.i.d. with  $E(|X_i|) < \infty$ . Then,  $S_n/n \rightarrow E(X_1)$  in probability.*

**Proof.** Since, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$xP(|X_i| > x) = o(1) \int_0^x P(|X_i| > t) dt = o(1) \int_0^\infty P(|X_i| > t) dt = o(1)E(|X_i|),$$

the WLLN follows from Theorem 1.4.  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 1.5. THE ST. PETERSBERG PARADOX.** Let  $X, X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots$  be iid with  $P(X = 2^k) = 2^{-k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then,  $E(X) = \infty$  and

$$\frac{S_n}{n \log n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\log 2} \quad \text{in probability.}$$

**Proof.** Notice that  $P(X \geq 2^k) = 2^{-k+1}$ . Let  $k_n \approx \log \log n / \log 2$ ,  $m_n = \log n / \log 2 + k_n$  and  $b_n = 2^{m_n} = 2^{k_n} n \approx n \log n$ .  $m_n$  is an integer. Then,

$$nP(X \geq b_n) = n2^{-m_n+1} \approx 2n/n \cdot 2^{-k_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

And

$$E(X^2 1_{\{|X| \leq b_n\}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} 2^{2k} 2^{-k} = \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} 2^k \leq 2 \times 2^{m_n} = 2b_n.$$

Then,

$$\frac{nE(X^2 1_{\{|X| \leq b_n\}})}{b_n^2} \leq \frac{2nb_n}{b_n^2} = \frac{2n}{b_n} = \frac{2n}{2^{m_n}} = \frac{2n}{n2^{k_n}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $a_n = nE(X 1_{\{|X| \leq b_n\}})$ .

$$a_n = n \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} 2^k 2^{-k} = nm_n = n \log n / \log 2 + nk_n \approx b_n \log 2.$$

The desired convergence is implied by Theorem 1.4.  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 1.6. "UNFAIR FAIR GAME".** You pay one dollar to buy a lottery. The lottery has infinite number of numbered balls. If number  $k$  occurs, you are paid by  $2^k$  dollars. The number  $k$  ball occurs with probability

$$p_k \equiv \frac{1}{2^k k(k+1)}.$$

Is this a fair game?

In a sense, it is fair. Let  $X$  be gain/loss of the outcome. Then  $P(X = 2^k - 1) = p_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $P(X = -1) = 1 - \sum_k p_k$ . Then  $E(X) = 0$ .

If one buys the lottery on daily basis, one time every day. Let  $X_n$  be gain/loss of day  $n$  and  $S_n$  be the cumulative gain/loss up to day  $n$ . Then,

$$\frac{S_n}{n / \log n} \rightarrow -\log 2 \quad \text{in probability,}$$

meaning that in the long time, he/she is nearly certainly in red.  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 1.7.** Compute the limit of

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \frac{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}{x_1 + \cdots + x_n} dx_1 \cdots dx_n.$$

**Solution.** The above integral is the same as

$$E\left(\frac{X_1^2 + \cdots + X_n^2}{X_1 + \cdots + X_n}\right),$$

where  $X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots$  are iid  $\sim Unif[0, 1]$ . Since, by the WLLN

$$(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \rightarrow E(X_1^2) = \int_0^1 x^2 dx = 1/3 \quad \text{and} \quad (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow E(X_1) = 1/2,$$

with the convergence being convergence in probability, we have

$$\frac{X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2}{X_1 + \dots + X_n} \rightarrow 2/3 \quad \text{in probability.}$$

The r.v. on the left hand side is bounded by 1. By the dominated convergence, its mean also converges to 2/3. Then the limit of the integral is 2/3.  $\square$

REMARK. The following WLLN for array of r.v.s. is a slight generalization of Theorem 1.3.

Suppose  $X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,n}$  are independent r.v.s. If

$$\sum_{i=1}^n P(|X_{n,i}| > b_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (1/b_n^2) \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_{n,i}^2 1_{\{|X_{n,i}| \leq b_n\}}) \rightarrow 0,$$

Then,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_{n,i} - a_n}{b_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in probability}$$

where  $a_n = \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_{n,i} 1_{\{|X_{n,i}| \leq b_n\}})$ .

DIY EXERCISES.

*Exercise 1.17* (LEVY'S INEQUALITY) Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent and symmetric about 0. Then,

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq 2P(|S_n| \geq \epsilon)$$

*Exercise 1.18* Show  $S_n/(n \log n) \rightarrow -\log 2$  in probability in Example 1.7. Hint: Choose  $b_n = 2^{m_n}$  with  $m_n = \{k : 2^{-k} k^{-3/2} \leq 1/n\}$  and proceed as in Example 1.5.

*Exercise 1.19* For Example 1.4, prove that  $S_n/b_n \rightarrow 0$  in probability, if  $b_n/(n/\log n) \uparrow \infty$ .

*Exercise 1.20* (MARCINKIEWICZ-ZYGMUND WEAK LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS) Suppose  $x^p P(|X| > x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $0 < p < 2$ . Prove that

$$\frac{S_n - nE(X 1_{\{|X| \leq n^{1/p}\}})}{n^{1/p}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in probability.}$$