

**Solution to Homework 4 DIY Problems.**

1. **\*\*\*** Suppose  $F_n, n \geq 1$  is a tight sequence of distribution functions. Show that their characteristic functions  $\psi_n$  are equi-continuous, i.e., for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that  $|\psi_n(t) - \psi_n(s)| < \epsilon$  for all  $n$  and all  $|t - s| < \delta$ .

*Solution.* For all  $t, s$  such that  $|t - s| \leq \delta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n |\psi_n(t) - \psi_n(s)| &\leq \sup_n |E(e^{itX_n} 1_{\{|X_n| \leq M\}}) - E(e^{isX_n} 1_{\{|X_n| \leq M\}})| + 2 \sup_n P(|X_n| > M) \\ &\leq M|t - s| + 2 \sup_n P(|X_n| > M) \leq M\delta + 2 \sup_n P(|X_n| > M) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  and then  $M \rightarrow 0$ . □

2. **\*\*** The Levy concentration function is defined, for a r.v.  $X$  (or essentially its distribution) as

$$Q_X(a) = \sup_x P(X \in [x, x + a)), \quad a \geq 0$$

Prove that  $Q_X(a/2)Q_Y(a/2) \leq Q_{X+Y}(a) \leq \min(Q_X(a), Q_Y(a))$  for two independent r.v.s.  $X$  and  $Y$ .

*Solution.* By the independence of  $X$  and  $Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_X(a/2)Q_Y(a/2) &= \sup_x P(X \in [x, x + a/2)) \sup_y P(Y \in [y, y + a/2)) \\ &\leq \sup_{x,y} P(X \in [x, x + a/2), Y \in [y, y + a/2)) \\ &\leq \sup_t P(X + Y \in [t, t + a)) = Q_{X+Y}(a); \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{X+Y}(a) &= \sup_x P(X + Y \in [x, x + a)) = \sup_x \int P(X + t \in [x, x + a)) dF_Y(t) \\ &\leq \sup_y P(X \in [y, y + a)) = Q_X(a). \end{aligned}$$

Likewise,  $Q_{X+Y}(a) \leq Q_Y(a)$ . □

3. **\*\*** The Levy metric is defined, between two distribution functions  $F$  and  $G$ , as

$$\rho(F, G) = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 : F(x - \epsilon) - \epsilon \leq G(x) \leq F(x + \epsilon) + \epsilon \text{ for all } x\}$$

Show that  $F_n$  converges to  $F$  weakly if and only if  $\rho(F_n, F) \rightarrow 0$ .

*Solution.* “ $\Leftarrow$ ”  $\rho(F_n, F) \rightarrow 0$  ensures the existence of  $\epsilon_n \downarrow 0$  such that  $F(x - \epsilon_n) - \epsilon_n \leq F_n(x) \leq F(x + \epsilon_n) + \epsilon_n$  for all  $x$ . For any  $x$  that is continuity point of  $F$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leftarrow F(x - \epsilon_n) - \epsilon_n - (F(x + \epsilon) + \epsilon) \leq F_n(x) - (F(x + \epsilon) + \epsilon) \\ &\leq F_n(x) - F(x) \\ &\leq F_n(x) - (F(x - \epsilon) - \epsilon) \leq F(x + \epsilon_n) + \epsilon_n - (F(x - \epsilon_n) - \epsilon_n) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

“ $\Rightarrow$ ” Suppose  $\rho(F_n, F) \rightarrow 0$ . There exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $x_n$  such that  $F_n(x_n) \notin [F(x_n - \epsilon) - \epsilon, F(x_n + \epsilon) + \epsilon]$ . Without loss generality, suppose  $F_n(x_n) > F(x_n + \epsilon) + \epsilon$ . There exists a subsequence of  $x_n$  tending to either  $-\infty$  or a constant, say  $x$ . The former implies  $F_n$  has probability mass of at least  $\epsilon$  at  $-\infty$ , which is impossible for our definition of cdf. As continuity points are dense, there exists one in  $(x, x + \epsilon)$ , say  $y$ . Then,  $F_n(y) > F_n(x_n) > F(y) + \epsilon$ , meaning that  $F_n(y) \not\rightarrow F(y)$ . □

4. **★★** Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, and  $X + Y$  and  $X$  have same distribution. Show that  $Y = 0$  with probability 1.

*Solution.*  $\psi_{X+Y}(t) = \psi_X(t)\psi_Y(t) = \psi_X(t)$ . Hence  $\psi_Y(t) = 1$  for  $t$  in a neighborhood of 0, implying  $E(\cos(tY)) = 1$  for  $t$  in a neighborhood of 0. Hence  $P(Y = 0) = 1$ .  $\square$

5. **★★** Suppose  $X_n$  are independent with mean 0 and  $E(|X_n|^\delta) \leq 1$  for some  $\delta > 2$ . Raise a counter-example to show  $S_n/s_n \not\rightarrow N(0, 1)$ , where  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$  and  $s_n^2 = \text{var}(S_n)$ .

*Solution.* Let  $P(X_n = 1) = 4^{-n} = P(X_n = -1)$  and  $P(X_n = 0) = 1 - 2/4^n$ . Then,

$$P(S_n = 0) \geq 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n P(X_i \neq 0) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n 2/4^i \geq 1 - 2/3 = 1/3.$$

Then  $S_n/s_n$  does not converge to  $N(0, 1)$ .  $\square$

6. **★★** Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid with mean 0 and finite positive variance. Use central limit theorem and Kolmogorov's 0-1 law to show  $\limsup S_n/\sqrt{n} = \infty$  a.s., where  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ .

*Solution.* By Kolmogorov's 0-1 law,  $\limsup S_n/\sqrt{n}$  must be  $c$ , which is either a constant or  $\infty$  or  $-\infty$ . By the CLT, for any constant  $a$ ,

$$P(\limsup_n \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} > a) = \lim_n P(\sup_{k \geq n} \frac{S_k}{\sqrt{k}\sigma} > \frac{a}{\sigma}) \geq \lim_n P(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} > \frac{a}{\sigma}) \rightarrow P(N(0, 1) > \frac{a}{\sigma}) > 0.$$

Therefore,  $\limsup S_n/\sqrt{n} = \infty$  with probability 1.  $\square$

7. **★★★** For positive values of  $\alpha$ , if any, does CLT hold for iid symmetric r.v.s with distribution  $F(x) = 1 - 1/(2x^\alpha)$  for  $x > 1$  and  $F(x) = 1/2$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ ?

*Solution.* For  $x > 1$ ,  $P(|X| > x) = x^{-\alpha}$  and

$$E(|X|^2 1_{\{|X| \leq x\}}) = 2 \int_1^x t^2 dF(t) = \begin{cases} 2 \log x & \alpha = 2 \\ \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha} (x^{-\alpha+2} - 1) & \alpha \neq 2 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\frac{x^2 P(|X| > x)}{E(|X|^2 1_{\{|X| \leq x\}})} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \alpha \geq 2 \\ \alpha/(2-\alpha) & \text{for } \alpha < 2 \end{cases}$$

It follows from Theorem 2.3 that when  $\alpha \geq 2$ , CLT holds.  $\square$

8. **★** Show Lindeberg condition implies  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sigma_i/s_n \rightarrow 0$ , which is equivalent to  $\sigma_n/s_n \rightarrow 0$  where  $\sigma_i > 0$  and  $s_n^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \cdots + \sigma_n^2$ .

*Solution.* For any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{s_n^2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sigma_i^2 = \frac{1}{s_n^2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} E(X_i^2 1_{\{|X_i| \leq \epsilon s_n\}}) + \frac{1}{s_n^2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} E(X_i^2 1_{\{|X_i| > \epsilon s_n\}}) \\ & \leq \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2 1_{\{|X_i| > \epsilon s_n\}}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we have  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sigma_i/s_n \rightarrow 0$ .

Obviously,  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sigma_i/s_n \rightarrow 0$  implies  $\sigma_n/s_n \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand, if  $\sigma_n/s_n \rightarrow 0$ , for any integer  $M$ ,

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sigma_i/s_n \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq M} \sigma_i/s_n + \max_{M < i \leq n} \sigma_i/s_i \rightarrow \max_{M < i} \sigma_i/s_i, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $M \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sigma_i/s_n \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

9. **\*\*** Suppose  $X_n$  are iid with mean  $\mu$  and finite variance. Then,

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sqrt{1/(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}} \rightarrow N(0, 1)$$

where  $\bar{X} = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . In particular,  $t$ -distribution with  $n$  degree of freedom tends to standard normal distribution as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Solution.* The classical central limit theorem implies  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)/\sigma \rightarrow N(0, 1)$ . Law of large numbers ensures  $1/(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \rightarrow E(X - E(X))^2 = \sigma^2$  a.s.. Then, the convergence follows from Slutsky's theorem. The above statistic follows  $t$ -distribution with degree of freedom  $n-1$  when  $X_i$  follows normal distribution.  $\square$

10. **\*\*\*** Raise an example of independent random variables  $X_n$  with mean 0 and finite positive variance  $\sigma_n^2$  such that  $S_n/s_n \rightarrow N(0, 1)$ , but the Lindeberg condition does not hold, where  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  and  $s_n^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \dots + \sigma_n^2$ .

*Solution.*  $X_n$  are independent  $\sim N(0, 2^n)$ , which is the same as  $\sqrt{2^n}N(0, 1)$ . Then,  $s_n^2 = 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 2$ . And, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$E(X_n^2 1_{\{|X_n| > \epsilon s_n\}}) = 2^n E(Z^2 1_{\{|Z| > \epsilon \sqrt{2-2^{1-n}}\}}) \geq .5 s_n^2 E(Z^2 1_{\{|Z| > \epsilon \sqrt{2}\}}) \geq .5 s_n^2 E(Z^2 1_{\{|Z| > \epsilon \sqrt{2}\}}),$$

where  $Z$  is a standard normal r.v.. Then, Lindeberg condition does not hold. But  $S_n/s_n$  follows exactly  $N(0, 1)$ .  $\square$