## Oriented Surfaces and Flux Integrals

Let $\Sigma$ be a surface that has a tangent plane at each of its nonboundary points. At such a point on the surface two unit normal vectors exist, and they have opposite directions.

If it is possible to select one normal at each nonboundary point in such a way that the chosen normal varies continuously on the whole of $\Sigma$, then the surface $\Sigma$ is said to be orientable, or two-sided, and the selection of the normal gives an orientation to $\Sigma$ and thus makes $\Sigma$ an oriented surface. In such case, there are two possible orientations. Some surfaces are not orientable. For example, the Möbus band; it is one-sided.

## Induced Orientation

If $\Sigma$ is an oriented surface bounded by a curve $C$, then the orientation of $\Sigma$ induces an orientation for $C$, based on the Right-Hand-Rule.

## Flux Integrals

Let $\vec{F}$ be a vector field and $\hat{n}$ be the unit normal to the oriented surface $\Sigma$, the flux integral over $\Sigma$ is

$$
\iint_{\Sigma} \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} d S
$$

This integral gives the net flux through $\Sigma$. The field strength (i.e. $|\vec{F}|$ ) can be measured as the amount of flux per unit area perpendicular to the local direction of $\vec{F}$.

When $\Sigma$ is the graph of a function $f$ with continuous partials on a region $R$ in the $x y$ plane that is composed of vertically or horizontally simple regions, and its orientation is chosen to be directed upward (i.e. the $\vec{k}$ component of the unit normal is positive), then

$$
\iint_{\Sigma} \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} d S=\iint_{R}\left[-F_{1} f_{x}-F_{2} f_{y}+F_{3}\right] d A
$$

for $\vec{F}=F_{1} \vec{i}+F_{2} \vec{j}+F_{3} \vec{k}$.
Note Another way to remember this is

$$
\iint_{\Sigma} \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} d S=\iint_{R} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{N} d A
$$

where $\vec{N}=-f_{x} \vec{i}+-f_{y} \vec{j}+\vec{k}$ is the upward normal to $\Sigma$ with the $z$ component equal to 1.

Example Suppose $\Sigma$ is the part of the paraboloid $z=$ $1-x^{2}-y^{2}$ that lies above the $x y$ plane and is oriented by the unit normal directed upward. Assume that the velocity of a fluid is $\vec{v}=x \vec{i}+y \vec{j}+2 z \vec{k}$. Determine the flow rate (volume/time) through $\Sigma$.
$2 \pi$
Flux integrals can be defined for a surface $\Sigma$ composed of several oriented surfaces $\Sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{2}, \cdots \Sigma_{n}$, as

$$
\iint_{\Sigma} \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} d S=\iint_{\Sigma_{1}} \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} d S+\cdots \cdots+\iint_{\Sigma_{n}} \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} d S
$$

Example Let $\Sigma$ be the unit sphere $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=$ 1, oriented with the unit normal directed outward, and let $F(x, y, z)=z \vec{k}$. Find $\iint_{\Sigma} \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} d S$.

$$
4 \pi / 3
$$

## The Divergence Theorem

Definition A solid region $D$ is called a simple solid region if $D$ is the solid region between the graphs of two functions $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ on a simple region $R$ in the $x y$ plane and if $D$ has the corresponding properties with respect to the $x z$ plane and the $y z$ plane.
Theorem Let $D$ be a simple solid region whose boundary surface $\Sigma$ is oriented by the normal $\hat{n}$ directed outward from $D$, and let $\vec{F}$ be a vector field whose component functions have continuous partial derivatives on $D$. Then

$$
\iint_{\Sigma} \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} d S=\iiint_{D} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{F} d V
$$

pf: $\quad$ Let $\vec{F}=F_{1} \vec{i}+F_{2} \vec{j}+F_{3} \vec{k}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint_{\Sigma} F_{1} \vec{i} \cdot \hat{n} d S & =\iiint_{D} \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial x} d V \\
\iint_{\Sigma} F_{2} \vec{j} \cdot \hat{n} d S & =\iiint_{D} \frac{\partial F_{2}}{\partial y} d V \\
\iint_{\Sigma} F_{3} \vec{k} \cdot \hat{n} d S & =\iiint_{D} \frac{\partial F_{3}}{\partial z} d V
\end{aligned}
$$

Note The interpretation of $\iint_{\Sigma} \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} d S$ is "net outward flux through the boundary of $D^{\prime \prime}$.

Example Let $D$ be the region bounded by the $x y$ plane and the hemisphere $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=4$ with $z \geq 0$, and let $\vec{F}(x, y, z)=3 x^{4} \vec{i}+$ $4 x y^{3} \vec{j}+4 x z^{3} \vec{k}$.
Evaluate $\iint_{\Sigma} \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} d S$, where $\Sigma$ is the boundary of $D$.

0

Note If the domain of integration $R$ is symmetry with respect to certain thing and the integrand is anti-symmetric w.r.t. the same thing, then the integral is 0 .
For example, if $f(-x, y, z)=-f(x, y, z)$, the function $f$ is anti-symmetric w.r.t. the $y z$-plane.

The theorem can be applied to a 'not so simple' solid region by considering the division of the region into a number of simple solid regions.

Example Let $\vec{F}=\frac{q}{r^{2}} \hat{r}$.
Show that for any suface $\Sigma$ enclosing the origin, $\iint_{\Sigma} \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} d S=4 \pi q$.

## Stokes' Theorem

Theorem Let $\Sigma$ be an oriented surface with normal $\hat{n}$ (unit vector) and finite surface area. Assume that $\Sigma$ is bounded by a closed, piecewise smooth curve $C$ whose orientation is induced by $\Sigma$. Let $\vec{F}$ be a continuous vector field defined on $\Sigma$, and assume that the component functions of $\vec{F}$ have continuous partial derivatives at each nonboundary point of $\Sigma$. Then

$$
\int_{C} \vec{F} \cdot d \vec{r}=\iint_{\Sigma}(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F}) \cdot \hat{n} d S
$$

Example Let $C$ be the intersection of the paraboloid $z=x^{2}+y^{2}$ and the plane $z=y$, and give $C$ a counterclockwise direction as viewed from the positive $z$ axis. Evaluate
$\int_{C} 2 x y d x+x^{2} d y+z^{2} d z$.
What about
$\int_{C}(2 x y-y) d x+x^{2} d y+z^{2} d z \quad ?$
$0 ; \pi / 4$

Example Verify Stokes' Theorem for $\vec{F}=3 y \vec{i}-x z \vec{j}+$ $y z^{2} \vec{k}$ where $\Sigma$ is the surface of the paraboloid $2 z=x^{2}+y^{2}$ bounded by $z=2$, and $C$ is its boundary.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F}=\left(z^{2}+x\right) \vec{i}-(z+3) \vec{k} \\
& -20 \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

## — Problem Set 12 —

## Proof of Stokes' theorem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\begin{aligned}
&(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{N}=\left(\partial_{y} F_{3}-\partial_{z} F_{2}\right)\left(-f_{x}\right)+\left(\partial_{z} F_{1}-\partial_{x} F_{3}\right)\left(-f_{y}\right) \\
&+\left(\partial_{x} F_{2}-\partial_{y} F_{1}\right) \\
&=\left(\partial_{x} F_{2}+f_{x} \partial_{z} F_{2}+f_{y} \partial_{x} F_{3}\right)-\left(\partial_{y} F_{1}+f_{y} \partial_{z} F_{1}+f_{x} \partial_{y} F_{3}\right) \\
&=\partial_{x}\left(F_{2}(x, y, f(x, y))+f_{y} F_{3}(x, y, f(x, y))\right. \\
&-\partial_{y}\left(F_{1}(x, y, f(x, y))+f_{x} F_{3}(x, y, f(x, y))\right.
\end{aligned}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now apply Green's theorem on the $x y$-plane as following.

$$
\iint_{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(F_{2}+f_{y} F_{3}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(F_{1}+f_{x} F_{3}\right)\right) d A
$$

$$
=\oint_{\partial R}\left(F_{1}+f_{x} F_{3}\right) d x+\left(F_{2}+f_{y} F_{3}\right) d y
$$

$$
\vec{r}(t)=(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \text { where } z(t)=f(x(t), y(t))
$$

$$
\text { So that } \vec{r}^{\prime}(t)=\left(x^{\prime}(t), y^{\prime}(t), f_{x} x^{\prime}(t)+f_{y} y^{\prime}(t)\right)
$$

$$
\text { and } \vec{F} \cdot \vec{r}^{\prime}(t)=F_{1} x^{\prime}+F_{2} y^{\prime}+\left(F_{3} f_{x} x^{\prime}+F_{3} f_{y} y^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
=\oint_{\partial \Sigma} F_{1} d x+F_{2} d y+F_{3} d z .
$$

## Therefore,

$\iint_{\Sigma}(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F}) \cdot \hat{n} d S=\iint_{R}(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{N} d A=\oint_{\partial \Sigma} \vec{F} \cdot d \vec{r}$.

