Iterative Techniques in Matrix Algebra

Relaxation Techniques for Solving Linear Systems

Numerical Analysis (9th Edition) R L Burden & J D Faires

> Beamer Presentation Slides prepared by John Carroll Dublin City University

© 2011 Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning





2 Relaxation Methods (including SOR)



Pelaxation Methods (including SOR)



< 6 b



Pelaxation Methods (including SOR)





4 A N

Residual Vectors & the Gauss-Seidel Method

- 2 Relaxation Methods (including SOR)
- 3 Choosing the Optimal Value of ω
- The SOR Algorithm

SOR Algorithm

Residual Vectors & the Gauss-Seidel Method

Motivation

 We have seen that the rate of convergence of an iterative technique depends on the spectral radius of the matrix associated with the method.

A (10) A (10) A (10)

Motivation

- We have seen that the rate of convergence of an iterative technique depends on the spectral radius of the matrix associated with the method.
- One way to select a procedure to accelerate convergence is to choose a method whose associated matrix has minimal spectral radius.

Motivation

- We have seen that the rate of convergence of an iterative technique depends on the spectral radius of the matrix associated with the method.
- One way to select a procedure to accelerate convergence is to choose a method whose associated matrix has minimal spectral radius.
- We start by introducing a new means of measuring the amount by which an approximation to the solution to a linear system differs from the true solution to the system.

Motivation

- We have seen that the rate of convergence of an iterative technique depends on the spectral radius of the matrix associated with the method.
- One way to select a procedure to accelerate convergence is to choose a method whose associated matrix has minimal spectral radius.
- We start by introducing a new means of measuring the amount by which an approximation to the solution to a linear system differs from the true solution to the system.
- The method makes use of the vector described in the following definition.

Definition

Suppose $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an approximation to the solution of the linear system defined by

$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$

The residual vector for $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ with respect to this system is

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{b} - A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$$

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

Definition

Suppose $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an approximation to the solution of the linear system defined by

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

The residual vector for $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ with respect to this system is

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{b} - A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$$

Comments

• A residual vector is associated with each calculation of an approximate component to the solution vector.

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

Definition

Suppose $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an approximation to the solution of the linear system defined by

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

The residual vector for $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ with respect to this system is

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{b} - A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$$

Comments

- A residual vector is associated with each calculation of an approximate component to the solution vector.
- The true objective is to generate a sequence of approximations that will cause the residual vectors to converge rapidly to zero.

イロン 人間 とくほ とくほう

Looking at the Gauss-Seidel Method

Suppose we let

$$\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(k)} = (r_{1i}^{(k)}, r_{2i}^{(k)}, \dots, r_{ni}^{(k)})^{t}$$

denote the residual vector for the Gauss-Seidel method

э

Looking at the Gauss-Seidel Method

Suppose we let

$$\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(k)} = (r_{1i}^{(k)}, r_{2i}^{(k)}, \dots, r_{ni}^{(k)})^{t}$$

denote the residual vector for the Gauss-Seidel method corresponding to the approximate solution vector $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(k)}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(k)} = (x_{1}^{(k)}, x_{2}^{(k)}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{(k)}, x_{i}^{(k-1)}, \dots, x_{n}^{(k-1)})^{t}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

э

Looking at the Gauss-Seidel Method

Suppose we let

$$\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(k)} = (r_{1i}^{(k)}, r_{2i}^{(k)}, \dots, r_{ni}^{(k)})^{t}$$

denote the residual vector for the Gauss-Seidel method corresponding to the approximate solution vector $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(k)}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(k)} = (x_{1}^{(k)}, x_{2}^{(k)}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{(k)}, x_{i}^{(k-1)}, \dots, x_{n}^{(k-1)})^{t}$$

The *m*-th component of $\mathbf{r}_i^{(k)}$ is

$$r_{mi}^{(k)} = b_m - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{mj} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i}^n a_{mj} x_j^{(k-1)}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

э

$$r_{mi}^{(k)} = b_m - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{mj} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i}^n a_{mj} x_j^{(k-1)}$$

Looking at the Gauss-Seidel Method (Cont'd)

Equivalently, we can write $r_{mi}^{(k)}$ in the form:

$$r_{mi}^{(k)} = b_m - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{mj} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{mj} x_j^{(k-1)} - a_{mi} x_i^{(k-1)}$$

for each m = 1, 2, ..., n.

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

$$r_{mi}^{(k)} = b_m - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{mj} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{mj} x_j^{(k-1)} - a_{mi} x_j^{(k-1)}$$

Looking at the Gauss-Seidel Method (Cont'd)

In particular, the *i*th component of $\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(k)}$ is

$$r_{ii}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} - a_{ii} x_i^{(k-1)}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

$$r_{mi}^{(k)} = b_m - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{mj} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{mj} x_j^{(k-1)} - a_{mi} x_j^{(k-1)}$$

Looking at the Gauss-Seidel Method (Cont'd)

In particular, the *i*th component of $\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(k)}$ is

$$r_{ii}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} - a_{ii} x_i^{(k-1)}$$

SO

$$a_{ii}x_i^{(k-1)} + r_{ii}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}x_j^{(k-1)}$$

(E)
$$a_{ii}x_i^{(k-1)} + r_{ii}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}x_j^{(k-1)}$$

Looking at the Gauss-Seidel Method (Cont'd)

Recall, however, that in the Gauss-Seidel method, $x_i^{(k)}$ is chosen to be

$$x_i^{(k)} = rac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)}
ight]$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

(E)
$$a_{ii}x_i^{(k-1)} + r_{ii}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}x_j^{(k-1)}$$

Looking at the Gauss-Seidel Method (Cont'd)

Recall, however, that in the Gauss-Seidel method, $x_i^{(k)}$ is chosen to be

$$x_{i}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[b_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_{j}^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} a_{ij} x_{j}^{(k-1)} \right]$$

so (E) can be rewritten as

$$a_{ii}x_i^{(k-1)} + r_{ii}^{(k)} = a_{ii}x_i^{(k)}$$

SOR Algorithm

Residual Vectors & the Gauss-Seidel Method

$$a_{ii}x_i^{(k-1)} + r_{ii}^{(k)} = a_{ii}x_i^{(k)}$$

Looking at the Gauss-Seidel Method (Cont'd)

Consequently, the Gauss-Seidel method can be characterized as choosing $x_i^{(k)}$ to satisfy

$$x_i^{(k)} = x_i^{(k-1)} + rac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

A 2nd Connection with Residual Vectors

• We can derive another connection between the residual vectors and the Gauss-Seidel technique.

4 A N

A 2nd Connection with Residual Vectors

- We can derive another connection between the residual vectors and the Gauss-Seidel technique.
- Consider the residual vector $\mathbf{r}_{i+1}^{(k)}$, associated with the vector $\mathbf{x}_{i+1}^{(k)} = (x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_i^{(k)}, x_{i+1}^{(k-1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k-1)})^t$.

A 2nd Connection with Residual Vectors

- We can derive another connection between the residual vectors and the Gauss-Seidel technique.
- Consider the residual vector $\mathbf{r}_{i+1}^{(k)}$, associated with the vector $\mathbf{x}_{i+1}^{(k)} = (x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_i^{(k)}, x_{i+1}^{(k-1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k-1)})^t$.
- We have seen that the *m*-th component of $\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(k)}$ is

$$r_{mi}^{(k)} = b_m - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{mj} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i}^n a_{mj} x_j^{(k-1)}$$

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

$$r_{mi}^{(k)} = b_m - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{mj} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i}^n a_{mj} x_j^{(k-1)}$$

A 2nd Connection with Residual Vectors (Cont'd)

Therefore, the *i*th component of $\mathbf{r}_{i+1}^{(k)}$ is

$$r_{i,i+1}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^i a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

э

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

$$r_{mi}^{(k)} = b_m - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{mj} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i}^n a_{mj} x_j^{(k-1)}$$

A 2nd Connection with Residual Vectors (Cont'd)

Therefore, the *i*th component of $\mathbf{r}_{i+1}^{(k)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} r_{i,i+1}^{(k)} &= b_i - \sum_{j=1}^i a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} \\ &= b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} - a_{ii} x_i^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

$$r_{i,i+1}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} - a_{ii} x_i^{(k)}$$

A 2nd Connection with Residual Vectors (Cont'd)

By the manner in which $x_i^{(k)}$ is defined in

$$x_{i}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{a_{ij}} \left[b_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_{j}^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} a_{ij} x_{j}^{(k-1)} \right]$$

we see that $r_{i,i+1}^{(k)} = 0$.

$$r_{i,i+1}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} - a_{ii} x_i^{(k)}$$

A 2nd Connection with Residual Vectors (Cont'd)

By the manner in which $x_i^{(k)}$ is defined in

$$x_i^{(k)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} \right]$$

we see that $r_{i,i+1}^{(k)} = 0$. In a sense, then, the Gauss-Seidel technique is characterized by choosing each $x_{i+1}^{(k)}$ in such a way that the *i*th component of $\mathbf{r}_{i+1}^{(k)}$ is zero.



Pelaxation Methods (including SOR)

3 Choosing the Optimal Value of ω

The SOR Algorithm

Reducing the Norm of the Residual Vector

• Choosing $x_{i+1}^{(k)}$ so that one coordinate of the residual vector is zero, however, is not necessarily the most efficient way to reduce the norm of the vector $\mathbf{r}_{i+1}^{(k)}$.

Reducing the Norm of the Residual Vector

- Choosing $x_{i+1}^{(k)}$ so that one coordinate of the residual vector is zero, however, is not necessarily the most efficient way to reduce the norm of the vector $\mathbf{r}_{i+1}^{(k)}$.
- If we modify the Gauss-Seidel procedure, as given by

$$x_i^{(k)} = x_i^{(k-1)} + rac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

Reducing the Norm of the Residual Vector

- Choosing $x_{i+1}^{(k)}$ so that one coordinate of the residual vector is zero, however, is not necessarily the most efficient way to reduce the norm of the vector $\mathbf{r}_{i+1}^{(k)}$.
- If we modify the Gauss-Seidel procedure, as given by

$$x_i^{(k)} = x_i^{(k-1)} + \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

to

$$x_{i}^{(k)} = x_{i}^{(k-1)} + \omega \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

Reducing the Norm of the Residual Vector

- Choosing $x_{i+1}^{(k)}$ so that one coordinate of the residual vector is zero, however, is not necessarily the most efficient way to reduce the norm of the vector $\mathbf{r}_{i+1}^{(k)}$.
- If we modify the Gauss-Seidel procedure, as given by

$$x_i^{(k)} = x_i^{(k-1)} + \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

to

$$x_{i}^{(k)} = x_{i}^{(k-1)} + \omega \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

then for certain choices of positive ω we can reduce the norm of the residual vector and obtain significantly faster convergence.

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

Relaxation Techniques

Introducing the SOR Method

Methods involving

$$x_{i}^{(k)} = x_{i}^{(k-1)} + \omega \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

are called relaxation methods.

Introducing the SOR Method

Methods involving

$$x_{i}^{(k)} = x_{i}^{(k-1)} + \omega \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

are called relaxation methods. For choices of ω with $0 < \omega < 1$, the procedures are called under-relaxation methods.
From Gauss-Seidel to Relaxation Methods

Introducing the SOR Method

Methods involving

$$x_{i}^{(k)} = x_{i}^{(k-1)} + \omega \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

are called relaxation methods. For choices of ω with $0 < \omega < 1$, the procedures are called under-relaxation methods.

 We will be interested in choices of ω with 1 < ω, and these are called over-relaxation methods.

From Gauss-Seidel to Relaxation Methods

Introducing the SOR Method

Methods involving

$$x_{i}^{(k)} = x_{i}^{(k-1)} + \omega \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

are called relaxation methods. For choices of ω with $0 < \omega < 1$, the procedures are called under-relaxation methods.

- We will be interested in choices of ω with 1 < ω, and these are called over-relaxation methods.
- They are used to accelerate the convergence for systems that are convergent by the Gauss-Seidel technique.

From Gauss-Seidel to Relaxation Methods

Introducing the SOR Method

Methods involving

$$x_{i}^{(k)} = x_{i}^{(k-1)} + \omega \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

are called relaxation methods. For choices of ω with $0 < \omega < 1$, the procedures are called under-relaxation methods.

- We will be interested in choices of ω with 1 < ω, and these are called over-relaxation methods.
- They are used to accelerate the convergence for systems that are convergent by the Gauss-Seidel technique.
- The methods are abbreviated SOR, for Successive Over-Relaxation, and are particularly useful for solving the linear systems that occur in the numerical solution of certain partial-differential equations.

A More Computationally-Efficient Formulation

Note that by using the *i*-th component of $\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(k)}$ in the form

$$r_{ii}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} - a_{ii} x_i^{(k-1)}$$

A More Computationally-Efficient Formulation

Note that by using the *i*-th component of $\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(k)}$ in the form

$$r_{ii}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} - a_{ii} x_i^{(k-1)}$$

we can reformulate the SOR equation

$$x_i^{(k)} = x_i^{(k-1)} + \omega \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

for calculation purposes

A More Computationally-Efficient Formulation

Note that by using the *i*-th component of $\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(k)}$ in the form

$$r_{ii}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} - a_{ii} x_i^{(k-1)}$$

we can reformulate the SOR equation

$$x_i^{(k)} = x_i^{(k-1)} + \omega \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$

for calculation purposes as

$$x_i^{(k)} = (1 - \omega) x_i^{(k-1)} + rac{\omega}{a_{ii}} \left[b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)}
ight]$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

Relaxation Techniques

A More Computationally-Efficient Formulation (Cont'd)

To determine the matrix form of the SOR method, we rewrite

$$x_i^{(k)} = (1 - \omega)x_i^{(k-1)} + rac{\omega}{a_{ii}} \left[b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}x_j^{(k-1)}
ight]$$

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

A More Computationally-Efficient Formulation (Cont'd)

To determine the matrix form of the SOR method, we rewrite

$$x_{i}^{(k)} = (1 - \omega)x_{i}^{(k-1)} + \frac{\omega}{a_{ii}} \left[b_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_{j}^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} a_{ij}x_{j}^{(k-1)} \right]$$

as

$$a_{ii}x_{i}^{(k)} + \omega \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_{j}^{(k)} = (1-\omega)a_{ii}x_{i}^{(k-1)} - \omega \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} a_{ij}x_{j}^{(k-1)} + \omega b_{i}$$

$$a_{ji}x_i^{(k)} + \omega \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{jj}x_j^{(k)} = (1-\omega)a_{ji}x_i^{(k-1)} - \omega \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{jj}x_j^{(k-1)} + \omega b_j$$

A More Computationally-Efficient Formulation (Cont'd)

In vector form, we therefore have

$$(D - \omega L)\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = [(1 - \omega)D + \omega U]\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \omega \mathbf{b}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

$$a_{ii}x_i^{(k)} + \omega \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j^{(k)} = (1-\omega)a_{ii}x_i^{(k-1)} - \omega \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}x_j^{(k-1)} + \omega b_i$$

A More Computationally-Efficient Formulation (Cont'd)

In vector form, we therefore have

$$(D - \omega L)\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = [(1 - \omega)D + \omega U]\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \omega \mathbf{b}$$

from which we obtain:

The SOR Method

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = (D - \omega L)^{-1} [(1 - \omega)D + \omega U] \mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \omega (D - \omega L)^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = (D - \omega L)^{-1} [(1 - \omega)D + \omega U] \mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \omega (D - \omega L)^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

æ

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = (D - \omega L)^{-1} [(1 - \omega)D + \omega U] \mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \omega (D - \omega L)^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$

Letting

$$T_{\omega} = (D - \omega L)^{-1} [(1 - \omega)D + \omega U]$$

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = (D - \omega L)^{-1} [(1 - \omega)D + \omega U] \mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \omega (D - \omega L)^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$

Letting

$$T_{\omega} = (D - \omega L)^{-1} [(1 - \omega)D + \omega U]$$

and
$$\mathbf{c}_{\omega} = \omega (D - \omega L)^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = (D - \omega L)^{-1} [(1 - \omega)D + \omega U] \mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \omega (D - \omega L)^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$

Letting

$$T_{\omega} = (D - \omega L)^{-1}[(1 - \omega)D + \omega U]$$

and
$$\mathbf{c}_{\omega} = \omega (D - \omega L)^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$

gives the SOR technique the form

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = T_{\omega} \mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \mathbf{c}_{\omega}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨ

Optimal ω

The SOR Method

Example

• The linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ given by

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 4x_1 + 3x_2 &= 24 \\ 3x_1 + 4x_2 - & x_3 = 30 \\ &- & x_2 + 4x_3 = -24 \end{array}$$

has the solution $(3, 4, -5)^t$.

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

Optimal ω

The SOR Method

Example

• The linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ given by

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 4x_1 + 3x_2 &= 24 \\ 3x_1 + 4x_2 - & x_3 = 30 \\ &- & x_2 + 4x_3 = -24 \end{array}$$

has the solution $(3, 4, -5)^t$.

 Compare the iterations from the Gauss-Seidel method and the SOR method with ω = 1.25 using x⁽⁰⁾ = (1,1,1)^t for both methods.

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

Solution (1/3)

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

2

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほう

Solution (1/3)

For each k = 1, 2, ..., the equations for the Gauss-Seidel method are

$$\begin{split} x_1^{(k)} &= -0.75 x_2^{(k-1)} + 6 \\ x_2^{(k)} &= -0.75 x_1^{(k)} + 0.25 x_3^{(k-1)} + 7.5 \\ x_3^{(k)} &= 0.25 x_2^{(k)} - 6 \end{split}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

э

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほう

Solution (1/3)

For each k = 1, 2, ..., the equations for the Gauss-Seidel method are

$$\begin{split} x_1^{(k)} &= -0.75 x_2^{(k-1)} + 6 \\ x_2^{(k)} &= -0.75 x_1^{(k)} + 0.25 x_3^{(k-1)} + 7.5 \\ x_3^{(k)} &= 0.25 x_2^{(k)} - 6 \end{split}$$

and the equations for the SOR method with $\omega =$ 1.25 are

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{(k)} &= -0.25 x_1^{(k-1)} - 0.9375 x_2^{(k-1)} + 7.5 \\ x_2^{(k)} &= -0.9375 x_1^{(k)} - 0.25 x_2^{(k-1)} + 0.3125 x_3^{(k-1)} + 9.375 \\ x_3^{(k)} &= 0.3125 x_2^{(k)} - 0.25 x_3^{(k-1)} - 7.5 \end{aligned}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほう

э

The SOR Method: Solution (2/3)

Gauss-Seidel Iterations							
•	k	0	1	2	3		7
-	$x_1^{(k)}$	1	5.250000	3.1406250	3.0878906		3.0134110
	$x_{2}^{(k)}$	1	3.812500	3.8828125	3.9267578		3.9888241
	$x_{3}^{(k)}$	1	-5.046875	-5.0292969	-5.0183105		-5.0027940

2

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回</p>

The SOR Method: Solution (2/3)

Gauss-Seidel Iterations							
-	k	0	1	2	3		7
-	$x_{1}^{(k)}$	1	5.250000	3.1406250	3.0878906		3.0134110
	$x_{2}^{(k)}$	1	3.812500	3.8828125	3.9267578		3.9888241
	$x_{3}^{(k)}$	1	-5.046875	-5.0292969	-5.0183105		-5.0027940

SOR Iterations ($\omega = 1.25$)

k	0	1	2	3	 7
$x_{1}^{(k)}$	1	6.312500	2.6223145	3.1333027	3.0000498
$x_{2}^{(k)}$	1	3.5195313	3.9585266	4.0102646	4.0002586
<i>x</i> ₃ ^(k)	1	-6.6501465	-4.6004238	-5.0966863	-5.0003486

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

2

23/36

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほう

Optimal ω

The SOR Method

Solution (3/3)

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

Relaxation Techniques

R L Burden & J D Faires 24 / 36

æ

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回</p>

Solution (3/3)

For the iterates to be accurate to 7 decimal places,

• the Gauss-Seidel method requires 34 iterations,

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

Solution (3/3)

For the iterates to be accurate to 7 decimal places,

- the Gauss-Seidel method requires 34 iterations,
- as opposed to 14 iterations for the SOR method with $\omega = 1.25$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Outline



Relaxation Methods (including SOR)



The SOR Algorithm

 An obvious question to ask is how the appropriate value of ω is chosen when the SOR method is used?

- **→ →** •

4 A N

- An obvious question to ask is how the appropriate value of ω is chosen when the SOR method is used?
- Although no complete answer to this question is known for the general n × n linear system, the following results can be used in certain important situations.

- **→ →** •

Theorem (Kahan)

If $a_{ii} \neq 0$, for each i = 1, 2, ..., n, then $\rho(T_{\omega}) \ge |\omega - 1|$. This implies that the SOR method can converge only if $0 < \omega < 2$.

Theorem (Kahan)

If $a_{ii} \neq 0$, for each i = 1, 2, ..., n, then $\rho(T_{\omega}) \ge |\omega - 1|$. This implies that the SOR method can converge only if $0 < \omega < 2$.

The proof of this theorem is considered in Exercise 9, Chapter 7 of Burden R. L. & Faires J. D., Numerical Analysis, 9th Ed., Cengage, 2011.

Theorem (Kahan)

If $a_{ii} \neq 0$, for each i = 1, 2, ..., n, then $\rho(T_{\omega}) \ge |\omega - 1|$. This implies that the SOR method can converge only if $0 < \omega < 2$.

The proof of this theorem is considered in Exercise 9, Chapter 7 of Burden R. L. & Faires J. D., Numerical Analysis, 9th Ed., Cengage, 2011.

Theorem (Ostrowski-Reich)

If *A* is a positive definite matrix and $0 < \omega < 2$, then the SOR method converges for any choice of initial approximate vector $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$.

27/36

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Theorem (Kahan)

If $a_{ii} \neq 0$, for each i = 1, 2, ..., n, then $\rho(T_{\omega}) \ge |\omega - 1|$. This implies that the SOR method can converge only if $0 < \omega < 2$.

The proof of this theorem is considered in Exercise 9, Chapter 7 of Burden R. L. & Faires J. D., Numerical Analysis, 9th Ed., Cengage, 2011.

Theorem (Ostrowski-Reich)

If *A* is a positive definite matrix and $0 < \omega < 2$, then the SOR method converges for any choice of initial approximate vector $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$.

The proof of this theorem can be found in Ortega, J. M., Numerical Analysis; A Second Course, Academic Press, New York, 1972, 201 pp.

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

Relaxation Techniques

Theorem

If *A* is positive definite and tridiagonal, then $\rho(T_g) = [\rho(T_j)]^2 < 1$, and the optimal choice of ω for the SOR method is

$$\omega = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - [\rho(T_j)]^2}}$$

Theorem

If *A* is positive definite and tridiagonal, then $\rho(T_g) = [\rho(T_j)]^2 < 1$, and the optimal choice of ω for the SOR method is

$$\omega = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - [\rho(T_j)]^2}}$$

With this choice of ω , we have $\rho(T_{\omega}) = \omega - 1$.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Theorem

If *A* is positive definite and tridiagonal, then $\rho(T_g) = [\rho(T_j)]^2 < 1$, and the optimal choice of ω for the SOR method is

$$\omega = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - [\rho(T_j)]^2}}$$

With this choice of ω , we have $\rho(T_{\omega}) = \omega - 1$.

The proof of this theorem can be found in Ortega, J. M., Numerical Analysis; A Second Course, Academic Press, New York, 1972, 201 pp.

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

Optimal ω

The SOR Method

Example

Find the optimal choice of ω for the SOR method for the matrix

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 4 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 4 \end{array} \right]$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

Solution (1/3)

• This matrix is clearly tridiagonal, so we can apply the result in the SOR theorem if we can also show that it is positive definite.
Solution (1/3)

- This matrix is clearly tridiagonal, so we can apply the result in the SOR theorem if we can also show that it is positive definite.
- Because the matrix is symmetric, the theory tells us that it is positive definite if and only if all its leading principle submatrices has a positive determinant.

Solution (1/3)

- This matrix is clearly tridiagonal, so we can apply the result in the SOR theorem if we can also show that it is positive definite.
- Because the matrix is symmetric, the theory tells us that it is positive definite if and only if all its leading principle submatrices has a positive determinant.
- This is easily seen to be the case because

$$det(A) = 24$$
, $det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3\\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 7$ and $det([4]) = 4$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Solution (2/3)

We compute

$$T_j = D^{-1}(L+U)$$

Solution (2/3)

We compute

$$T_{j} = D^{-1}(L+U)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution (2/3)

We compute

Solution (2/3)

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} f_{j} &= D^{-1}(L+U) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -0.75 & 0 \\ -0.75 & 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$T_{j} - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & -0.75 & 0 \\ -0.75 & -\lambda & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution (3/3)

Therefore

$$\det(T_j - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & -0.75 & 0\\ -0.75 & -\lambda & 0.25\\ 0 & 0.25 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

Solution (3/3)

Therefore

$$\det(T_j - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & -0.75 & 0\\ -0.75 & -\lambda & 0.25\\ 0 & 0.25 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} = -\lambda(\lambda^2 - 0.625)$$

Solution (3/3)

Therefore

$$\det(T_j - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & -0.75 & 0 \\ -0.75 & -\lambda & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} = -\lambda(\lambda^2 - 0.625)$$

Thus

$$ho(T_j) = \sqrt{0.625}$$

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

Relaxation Techniques

R L Burden & J D Faires 32 / 36

Solution (3/3)

Therefore

$$\det(T_j - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & -0.75 & 0\\ -0.75 & -\lambda & 0.25\\ 0 & 0.25 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} = -\lambda(\lambda^2 - 0.625)$$

Thus

$$\rho(T_j) = \sqrt{0.625}$$

and

$$\omega = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - [\rho(T_j)]^2}} = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - 0.625}} \approx 1.24.$$

Solution (3/3)

Therefore

$$\det(T_j - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & -0.75 & 0 \\ -0.75 & -\lambda & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} = -\lambda(\lambda^2 - 0.625)$$

Thus

$$\rho(T_j) = \sqrt{0.625}$$

and

$$\omega = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - [\rho(T_j)]^2}} = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - 0.625}} \approx 1.24.$$

This explains the rapid convergence obtained in the last example when using $\omega = 1.25$.

Outline



2 Relaxation Methods (including SOR)

3 Choosing the Optimal Value of ω

The SOR Algorithm

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

To solve

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

given the parameter ω and an initial approximation $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$:

To solve

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

given the parameter ω and an initial approximation $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$:

INPUT the number of equations and unknowns n; the entries a_{ij} , $1 \le i, j \le n$, of the matrix A; the entries b_i , $1 \le i \le n$, of **b**; the entries XO_i , $1 \le i \le n$, of **XO** = **x**⁽⁰⁾; the parameter ω ; tolerance *TOL*; maximum number of iterations *N*.

OUTPUT the approximate solution x_1, \ldots, x_n or a message that the number of iterations was exceeded.

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

Relaxation Techniques

R L Burden & J D Faires 34

34 / 36

Step 1 Set k = 1Step 2 While $(k \le N)$ do Steps 3–6:

Step 1 Set k = 1Step 2 While ($k \le N$) do Steps 3–6:

Step 3 For i = 1, ..., n

set
$$x_i = (1 - \omega)XO_i + \frac{1}{a_{ij}} \left[\omega \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}XO_j + b_i \right) \right]$$

Step 1 Set k = 1Step 2 While ($k \le N$) do Steps 3–6:

Step 3 For i = 1, ..., n

set
$$x_i = (1 - \omega)XO_i + \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[\omega \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}XO_j + b_i \right) \right]$$

Step 4 If $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{XO}|| < TOL$ then OUTPUT (x_1, \dots, x_n) STOP (*The procedure was successful*)

Step 1 Set k = 1Step 2 While ($k \le N$) do Steps 3–6:

Step 3 For i = 1, ..., n

set
$$x_i = (1 - \omega)XO_i + \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[\omega \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}XO_j + b_i \right) \right]$$

Step 4 If $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{XO}|| < TOL$ then OUTPUT (x_1, \dots, x_n) STOP (*The procedure was successful*) Step 5 Set k = k + 1

Step 1 Set k = 1Step 2 While ($k \le N$) do Steps 3–6:

Step 3 For i = 1, ..., n

set
$$x_i = (1 - \omega)XO_i + \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[\omega \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}XO_j + b_i \right) \right]$$

Step 4 If $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{XO}|| < TOL$ then OUTPUT (x_1, \dots, x_n) STOP (*The procedure was successful*) Step 5 Set k = k + 1Step 6 For $i = 1, \dots, n$ set $XO_i = x_i$

Step 1 Set k = 1Step 2 While ($k \le N$) do Steps 3–6:

Step 3 For i = 1, ..., n

set
$$x_i = (1 - \omega)XO_i + \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[\omega \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}XO_j + b_i \right) \right]$$

Step 4If $||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{XO}|| < TOL$ then OUTPUT (x_1, \ldots, x_n)
STOP (*The procedure was successful*)Step 5Set k = k + 1Step 6For $i = 1, \ldots, n$ set $XO_i = x_i$

Step 7 OUTPUT ('Maximum number of iterations exceeded') STOP (*The procedure was successful*)

Numerical Analysis (Chapter 7)

Relaxation Techniques

Questions?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで