

OLD MATH203 FINAL EXAMS

(Answers not absolutely guaranteed to be correct)

Math 203 Final, Spring 2004

(1) (20 points)

1. Prove that if $f(x)$ is uniformly continuous on a bounded interval (a, b) , then the function is bounded on (a, b) .
2. Explain why the uniform condition is necessary in the first part.
3. Explain why the function $f(x) = \frac{e^x \sin x + e^{-x} \cos x}{\cos(\sin x) - 1}$ is not uniformly continuous on $(0, 1]$.
4. Explain why the function $g(x) = \sqrt{x}$ is uniformly continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

(2) (10 points) How much of the corners of a square with side length 1 should be cut so that the tray made from it has the biggest volume?

(3) (24 points) Compute the derivatives.

1. Find $\frac{d^3 y}{dx^3}$ for $x = \cos^3 t$, $y = \sin^3 t$.
2. Find $f^{(n)}(x)$ for $f(x) = \frac{1+x}{\sqrt{1-x}}$.
3. Find $f^{(n)}(0)$ for $f(x) = \arcsin x$. (Hint: use the Taylor expansion of $f'(x)$ at 0)

(4) (15 points) Find the second order Taylor expansion of $(1 + ax + bx^2)^{\frac{1}{x}}$ at 0. Then compute the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{(1 + 2x + x^2)^{\frac{1}{x}} - (1 + 2x - x^2)^{\frac{1}{x}}}{x}$.

(5) (15 points) Sketch the graph of the function $f(x) = \frac{e^x}{\sqrt{|x|}}$.

(6) (16 points) True or false. No reason needed.

1. Suppose $f(x)$ is differentiable. Then $f'(x) > 0$ for all $x \implies f(x)$ is strictly increasing.
2. Suppose $f(x)$ is differentiable. Then $f(x)$ is strictly increasing $\implies f'(x) > 0$ for all x .
3. $f(x)$ is uniformly continuous $\implies f(x)^2$ is uniformly continuous.
4. $f(x)^2$ is uniformly continuous and $f(x) \geq 0 \implies f(x)$ is uniformly continuous.
5. Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 0$ and $f(x)$ is differentiable near 0. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x)}{x} = 0 \implies \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f'(x) = 0$.

6. Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 0$ and $f(x)$ is differentiable near 0. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f'(x) = 0$
 $\implies \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x)}{x} = 0$.
7. If $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ have local maximum at a , then $\min\{f(x), g(x)\}$ has local maximum at a .
8. If $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ have local maximum at a , then $f(x)g(x)$ has local maximum at a .

Answer to Math 203 Final, Spring 2004

(1) Suppose $f(x)$ is uniformly continuous on a bounded interval (a, b) . For $\epsilon = 1 > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that $a < x, y < b$ and $|x - y| < \delta$ implies $|f(x) - f(y)| < 1$. Fix x_0 in (a, b) and let $n > \frac{b-a}{\delta}$ be a fixed integer. Then for any $a < x < b$, we can find $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = x$, such that $|x_1 - x_0| < \delta, |x_2 - x_1| < \delta, \dots, |x_n - x_{n-1}| < \delta$. This implies $|f(x_1) - f(x_0)| < 1, |f(x_2) - f(x_1)| < 1, \dots, |f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})| < 1$, which further implies

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq |f(x_1) - f(x_0)| + |f(x_2) - f(x_1)| + \dots + |f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})| \leq n.$$

Thus $f(x_0) + n$ and $f(x_0) - n$ are respectively the upper and lower bound.

The uniform condition is necessary because of the following counterexample. The function $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ is continuous on $(0, 1)$. But it is not bounded (because it is not uniformly continuous).

The function $f(x) = \frac{e^x \sin x + e^{-x} \cos x}{\cos(\sin x) - 1}$ is obtained by the arithmetic operations and compositions of the continuous functions $e^x, \sin x, \cos x$. Moreover, the numerator $\cos(\sin x) - 1 \neq 0$ on $(0, 1]$. Thus $f(x)$ is continuous on $(0, 1]$. However, Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (e^x \sin x + e^{-x} \cos x) = 1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} (\cos(\sin x) - 1) = 0$, we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = \infty$. In particular, the function is not bounded on $(0, 1)$. By the first part, the function is not uniformly continuous.

The function $g(x)$ is continuous and is therefore uniformly continuous on the bounded closed interval $[0, 1]$. On the other hand, on the interval $[1, \infty)$, we have $|g'(x)| \leq 1$. Then by the mean value theorem, for $x, y \geq 1$ we have $|g(x) - g(y)| = |g'(c)(x - y)| \leq |x - y|$. Thus $x, y \geq 1, |x - y| < \epsilon \implies |g(x) - g(y)| < \epsilon$. This proves $g(x)$ is uniformly continuous on $[1, \infty)$. Combined with uniform continuity on $[0, 1]$, we find $g(x)$ is uniformly continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

(2) Let x be the side length of the squares cut. Then $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and the volume is $V(x) = x(1 - 2x)^2$. By $V'(x) = 1 - 8x + 12x^2 = (1 - 2x)(1 - 6x)$, we get the possible local extremes $x = 0, x = \frac{1}{6}$ and $x = \frac{1}{2}$. The volumes at the three places are $V(0) = 0, V(\frac{1}{6}) = \frac{2}{27}, V(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$. Thus the biggest volume $\frac{2}{27}$ is obtained when $x = \frac{1}{6}$.

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = -3 \cos^2 t \sin t, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = 3 \sin^2 t \cos t, \quad \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{\frac{dy}{dt}}{\frac{dx}{dt}} = \frac{3 \sin^2 t \cos t}{-3 \cos^2 t \sin t} = -\tan t, \quad \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} =$$

$$\frac{\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dy}{dx} \right)}{\frac{dx}{dt}} = \frac{-\sec^2 t}{-3 \cos^2 t \sin t} = \frac{1}{3 \cos^4 t \sin t}. \quad \text{By } \left(\frac{1}{\cos^4 t \sin t} \right)' = \frac{4 \cos^3 t \sin^2 t - \cos^5 t}{\cos^8 t \sin^2 t} =$$

$$\frac{4 \sin^2 t - \cos^2 t}{\cos^5 t \sin^2 t}, \quad \text{we get } \frac{d^3y}{dx^3} = \frac{\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} \right)}{\frac{dx}{dt}} = \frac{\frac{4 \sin^2 t - \cos^2 t}{3 \cos^5 t \sin^2 t}}{-3 \cos^2 t \sin t} = -\frac{4 \sin^2 t - \cos^2 t}{9 \cos^7 t \sin^3 t}.$$

$$(3.2) \quad f(x) = \frac{2 - (1-x)}{\sqrt{1-x}} = 2(1-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (1-x)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

$$f^{(n)}(x) = 2 \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n-1)}{2^n} (1-x)^{-\frac{2n+1}{2}} - \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{1}{2} \cdots \frac{2n-3}{2} (1-x)^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n-1)}{2^n} (1-x)^{-\frac{2n+1}{2}} (3-x) = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n (2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2n)} (1-x)^{-\frac{2n+1}{2}} (3-x)$$

$$= \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n} n!} (1-x)^{-\frac{2n+1}{2}} (3-x).$$

$$(3.3) \quad f'(x) = (1-x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad \text{By (see the end of previous part)}$$

$$(1-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}x + \cdots + \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n-1)}{n! 2^n} x^n + \cdots = 1 + \frac{1}{2}x + \cdots + \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} x^n + \cdots,$$

we get

$$f'(x) = (1-x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \cdots + \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} x^{2n} + \cdots$$

Since $\frac{f^{(n+1)}(0)}{n!} = \frac{(f'(x))^{(n)}|_{x=0}}{n!}$ is the coefficient of x^n in the Taylor expansion above, we

$$\text{get } f^{(2k)}(0) = 0 \text{ and } f^{(2k+1)}(0) = (2k)! \frac{(2k)!}{2^{2k} (k!)^2} = \left(\frac{(2k)!}{2^k k!} \right)^2.$$

$$(4) \quad \frac{\log(1+ax+bx^2)}{x} = \frac{1}{x} \left((ax+bx^2) - \frac{(ax+bx^2)^2}{2} + \frac{(ax+bx^2)^3}{3} + o((ax+bx^2)^3) \right) =$$

$$a + \left(b - \frac{a^2}{2} \right) x + \left(-ab + \frac{a^3}{3} \right) x^2 + o(x^2).$$

$$(1+ax+bx^2)^{\frac{1}{x}} = e^{\frac{\log(1+ax+bx^2)}{x}} = e^a e^{(b-\frac{a^2}{2})x + (-ab+\frac{a^3}{3})x^2 + o(x^2)}$$

$$= e^a \left(\left[\left(b - \frac{a^2}{2} \right) x + \left(-ab + \frac{a^3}{3} \right) x^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(b - \frac{a^2}{2} \right) x + o(x) \right]^2 + o(x^2) \right)$$

$$= e^a \left(b - \frac{a^2}{2} \right) x + e^a \left(-ab + \frac{a^3}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \left(b - \frac{a^2}{2} \right)^2 \right) x^2 + o(x^2)$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{(1 + 2x + x^2)^{\frac{1}{x}} - (1 + 2x - x^2)^{\frac{1}{x}}}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{(-e^2x + o(x)) - (-3e^2x + o(x))}{x} = 2e^2.$$

(5) The function is not defined at 0, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = +\infty$. Moreover, $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = 0$. For $x > 0$, we have

$$f'(x) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}x^{-\frac{3}{2}} + x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) e^x = \frac{(2x - 1)e^x}{2x^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

$$f''(x) = \left(\frac{3}{4}x^{-\frac{5}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}x^{-\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}x^{-\frac{3}{2}} + x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) e^x = \frac{(4x^2 - 4x + 3)e^x}{4x^{\frac{5}{2}}}$$

For $x < 0$, we have

$$f'(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2}(-x)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + (-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) e^x = \frac{-(2x - 1)e^x}{2(-x)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

$$f''(x) = \left(\frac{3}{4}(-x)^{-\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}(-x)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}(-x)^{-\frac{3}{2}} + (-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) e^x = \frac{(4x^2 - 4x + 3)e^x}{4(-x)^{\frac{5}{2}}}$$

By the sign of $f'(x)$, we find $f(x)$ is increasing on $(-\infty, 0)$, decreasing on $(0, \frac{1}{2})$, and increasing on $(\frac{1}{2}, +\infty)$. Moreover, $\frac{1}{2}$ is a local minimum, with $f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{2e}$. Since $4x^2 - 4x + 3 = (2x + 1)^2 + 2 > 0$, we always have $f''(x) > 0$, so that the graph is always convex.

(6) T, F, F, T, F, T, T, F

Math 203 Final, Spring 2005

(1) (15 points) Suppose $f(x)$ is differentiable on a bounded interval $(a - \epsilon, b + \epsilon)$. Suppose $f(x) = 0$ for infinitely many $x \in [a, b]$. Prove that there is $c \in [a, b]$, such that $f(c) = 0$ and $f'(c) = 0$.

(2) (15 points) Discuss the existence of the quadratic approximation and the existence of the second order derivative of the function $f(x) = |x^3(x - 1)(x - 2)^2|$ at $x_0 = 0, 1, 2$.

(3) (15 points) Suppose $f(x)$ has second order derivative at 0. Suppose

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left(1 + x + \frac{f(x)}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{x}} = e^\lambda.$$

1. Find $f(0)$, $f'(0)$ and $f''(0)$.

2. Find $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left(1 + \frac{f(x)}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{x}}$.

(4) (20 points) By relating to the Riemann sum, use integration to compute the limits.

1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n} \right)$.
2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1^\alpha + 3^\alpha + \cdots + (2n+1)^\alpha}{n^{\alpha+1}}, \alpha > 0$.

(5) (15 points) Suppose $f(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) . Suppose $f(a) = 0, 1 \geq f'(x) \geq 0$. Prove that $\left(\int_a^b f(x) dx \right)^2 \geq \int_a^b f(x)^3 dx$.

(6) (20 points) Suppose $\phi(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$, differentiable on (a, b) . Suppose $A < \phi'(x) < B$ for some constant $A, B > 0$ and all $x \in (a, b)$.

1. Prove that for any $a \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq b$, we have $A(x_2 - x_1) < \phi(x_2) - \phi(x_1) < B(x_2 - x_1)$.
2. Prove that $\omega_{[x_1, x_2]}(f \circ \phi) = \omega_{[\phi(x_1), \phi(x_2)]}(f)$.
3. Prove that if $f(y)$ is integrable on $[\phi(a), \phi(b)]$, then $f(\phi(x))$ is integrable on $[a, b]$.

Answer to Math 203 Final, Autumn 2005

(1) Since $f(x) = 0$ for infinitely many $x \in [a, b]$, we have $f(x) = 0$ on a series in $[a, b]$ in which no two terms are the same. Since $[a, b]$ is bounded and closed, the series has a convergent subsequence. Denote the convergent subsequence by $\{x_n\}$ and denote $c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$. Then we have $f(x_n) = 0$ and by the continuity of $f(x)$, we also have $f(c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = 0$. Moreover, since $f(x)$ is differentiable at c , we have

$$f(c) = \lim_{x \rightarrow c} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x_n) - f(c)}{x_n - c} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{0 - 0}{x_n - c} = 0.$$

(2) $|x^3| = \begin{cases} x^3 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ -x^3 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases}$ has second order derivative at $x_0 = 0$. Near $x_0 = 0$, we have $|(x-1)(x-2)^2| = -(x-1)(x-2)^2$, which also has second order derivative at $x_0 = 0$. Thus $f(x)$ has second order derivative at $x_0 = 0$. Consequently, $f(x)$ has quadratic approximation at $x_0 = 0$.

$f(x) = -x^3(x-1)(x-2)^2$ near $x_0 = 2$. Therefore $f(x)$ has second order derivative and also quadratic approximation at $x_0 = 0$.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -x^3(x-1)(x-2)^2 & \text{if } x < 1 \\ x^3(x-1)(x-2)^2 & \text{if } x \geq 1 \end{cases} \text{ near } x_0 = 1. \text{ Therefore } f'(1^-) = -1 \text{ and}$$

$f'(1^+) = 1$, so that $f(x)$ has no first order derivative at $x_0 = 1$. Equivalently, $f(x)$ also has no linear approximation at $x_0 = 1$. Consequently, $f(x)$ has neither second order derivative, nor quadratic approximation at $x_0 = 1$.

(3) If $f(0) \neq 0$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left(1 + x + \frac{f(x)}{x} \right) = \infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left(1 + x + \frac{f(x)}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{x}}$ will diverge. Therefore $f(0) = 0$. Since $f(x)$ has second order derivative at 0, we have

$f(x) = ax + bx^2 + o(x^2)$, where $a = f'(0)$, $b = \frac{f''(0)}{2}$. Then the limit of

$$\frac{1}{x} \log \left(1 + x + \frac{f(x)}{x} \right) = \frac{\log(1 + x + a + bx + o(x))}{x}$$

should be λ . In particular, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \log(1 + x + a + bx + o(x)) = \log(1 + a) = 0$. Thus $a = 0$. Then

$$\lambda = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(1 + x + bx + o(x))}{x} = 1 + b.$$

Therefore $b = \lambda - 1$. We conclude that

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = 0, \quad f''(0) = 2\lambda - 2.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x} \log \left(1 + \frac{f(x)}{x} \right) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(1 + bx + o(x))}{x} = b = \lambda - 1,$$

so that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left(1 + \frac{f(x)}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{x}} = e^{\lambda-1}$.

(4.1) The function $\frac{1}{x}$ is integrable on $[1, 2]$. Consider the partition $P: 1 < \frac{n+1}{n} < \frac{n+2}{n} < \dots < \frac{2n}{n} = 2$ and the right ends $\frac{n+1}{n}, \frac{n+2}{n}, \dots, \frac{2n}{n}$ as x_i^* . By $x_i - x_{i-1} = \frac{n}{n+i} - \frac{n}{n+i-1} = \frac{1}{n}$, we have the Riemann sum

$$S \left(P, \frac{1}{x} \right) = \frac{1}{\frac{n+1}{n}} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{\frac{n+2}{n}} \frac{1}{n} + \dots + \frac{1}{\frac{2n}{n}} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n}.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n} \right) = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} S \left(P, \frac{1}{x} \right) = \int_1^2 \frac{1}{x} dx = \log 2.$$

(4.2) Consider the integrable function x^α on $[0, 2]$ and the partition $P: 0 < \frac{2}{n} < \frac{4}{n} < \dots < \frac{2n}{n} = 2$ and the middle points $\frac{1}{n}, \frac{3}{n}, \dots, \frac{2n-1}{n}$ as x_i^* . By $x_i - x_{i-1} = \frac{2}{n}$, we have the Riemann sum

$$S(P, x^\alpha) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^\alpha \frac{2}{n} + \left(\frac{3}{n} \right)^\alpha \frac{2}{n} + \dots + \left(\frac{2n-1}{n} \right)^\alpha \frac{2}{n} = 2 \frac{1^\alpha + 3^\alpha + \dots + (2n-1)^\alpha}{n^{\alpha+1}}.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1^\alpha + 3^\alpha + \dots + (2n-1)^\alpha}{n^{\alpha+1}} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} S(P, x^\alpha) = \int_0^2 x^\alpha dx = \frac{2^{\alpha+1} - 1}{2(\alpha+1)}.$$

Then by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(2n+1)^\alpha}{n^{\alpha+1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(2 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^\alpha = 0 \cdot 2^\alpha = 0.$$

We get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1^\alpha + 3^\alpha + \dots + (2n+1)^\alpha}{n^{\alpha+1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1^\alpha + 3^\alpha + \dots + (2n-1)^\alpha}{n^{\alpha+1}} = \frac{2^{\alpha+1} - 1}{2(\alpha+1)}.$$

(5) Consider $g(x) = \left(\int_a^x f(t)dt\right)^2 - \int_a^x f(t)^3 dt$. We will prove $g(x)$ is increasing for $x \geq a$. This will imply that $g(b) = \left(\int_a^b f(x)dx\right)^2 - \int_a^b f(x)^3 dx \geq g(a) = 0$.

To see $g(x)$ is increasing, we take the derivative:

$$g'(x) = 2f(x) \int_a^x f(t)dt - f(x)^3 = f(x) \left(2 \int_a^x f(t)dt - f(x)^2\right).$$

By $f'(x) \geq 0$, we have $f(x)$ increasing and $f(x) \geq f(a) = 0$ for $x \geq a$. Thus to show $g(x) \geq 0$, it suffices to show

$$h(x) = 2 \int_a^x f(t)dt - f(x)^2 > 0.$$

Taking the derivative of $h(x)$, we get

$$h'(x) = 2f(x) - 2f'(x)f(x) = 2f(x)(1 - f'(x)).$$

We have shown $f(x) \geq 0$. Moreover, it was assumed that $f'(x) \leq 1$. Therefore $h'(x) \geq 0$ and $h(x)$ is increasing. Therefore for $x \geq a$, we have $h(x) \geq h(a) = 0$. This completes the proof.

(6) For $x_1 < x_2$, by the mean value theorem, we have $\phi(x_2) - \phi(x_1) = \phi'(c)(x_2 - x_1)$. Since $A < \phi'(c) < B$, we have $A(x_2 - x_1) < \phi(x_2) - \phi(x_1) < B(x_2 - x_1)$.

In particular, ϕ is strictly increasing and $y = \phi(x)$ is a change of variable between $x_1 \leq x \leq x_2$ and $\phi(x_1) \leq y \leq \phi(x_2)$. Thus

$$\sup_{x_1 \leq x \leq x_2} f(\phi(x)) = \sup_{\phi(x_1) \leq y \leq \phi(x_2)} f(y), \quad \inf_{x_1 \leq x \leq x_2} f(\phi(x)) = \inf_{\phi(x_1) \leq y \leq \phi(x_2)} f(y).$$

As the difference between the supremum and infimum, the oscillations are equal:

$$\omega_{[x_1, x_2]}(f \circ \phi) = \omega_{[\phi(x_1), \phi(x_2)]}(f).$$

Let P be a partition of $[a, b]$. We have $\omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(f \circ \phi) = \omega_{[\phi(x_{i-1}), \phi(x_i)]}(f)$ from the second part. Then

$$\sum \omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(f \circ \phi)(x_i - x_{i-1}) \leq \frac{1}{A} \sum \omega_{[\phi(x_{i-1}), \phi(x_i)]}(f)(\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1}))$$

Now $\phi(P)$ is a partition of $[\phi(a), \phi(b)]$. By $\phi(x_2) - \phi(x_1) < B(x_2 - x_1)$, we also know $\|\phi(P)\| < B\|P\|$.

Since $f(y)$ is integrable on $[\phi(a), \phi(b)]$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that $\|\phi(P)\| < \delta$ implies $\sum \omega_{[\phi(x_{i-1}), \phi(x_i)]}(f)(\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1})) \leq A\epsilon$. Thus

$$\|P\| < \frac{\delta}{B} \implies \|\phi(P)\| < B\|P\| < \delta \implies \sum \omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(f \circ \phi)(x_i - x_{i-1}) < \frac{1}{A}A\epsilon = \epsilon.$$

This completes the proof of the integrability of $f(\phi(x))$.

Math 203 Final, Autumn 2006

(1) Suppose $f(x)$ is continuous on an open interval containing $[a, b]$. Prove that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_a^{b-h} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} dx = f(b) - f(a).$$

Can you weaken the continuity condition to merely integrable?

(2) Suppose $f(x)$ is integrable on $[a, b]$. For any partition P of $[a, b]$ and choices x_i^* , define the “Riemann product”

$$\Pi(P, f) = (1 + f(x_1^*)\Delta x_1)(1 + f(x_2^*)\Delta x_2) \cdots (1 + f(x_n^*)\Delta x_n).$$

Prove that $\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} \Pi(P, f) = e^{\int_a^b f(x) dx}$.

(3) Prove that $\int_0^1 x^{tx} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^{n-1}}{n^n}$. Justify each step.

[Hint: expand $x^{tx} = e^{tx \log x}$.]

(4) Suppose $a_n > 0$ is increasing. Prove that $\sum \frac{1}{a_n}$ converges if and only if $\sum \frac{n}{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n}$ converges.

(5) Suppose $a_n > 0$ and $r = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_n}{\log n}$. Consider the series $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^x}$.

1. Prove that for any $r' > r$, we have $a_n < n^{r'}$ for sufficiently big n .
2. Prove that for any $R > r + 1$, the series uniformly converges on $[R, +\infty)$.
3. Prove that $f(x)$ has derivatives of any order on $(r + 1, +\infty)$.
4. Prove that the series diverges on $(-\infty, r)$. On the other hand, show that the series may converge for some $x < r + 1$ by constructing an example.

(6) True or false. No explanation needed.

1. If $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are integrable on $[a, b]$, then $f(x)^{g(x)}$ is integrable on $[a, b]$.
2. If $f(x)$ is integrable on $[a, b]$ and $g(x)$ is integrable on $[b, c]$, then $\begin{cases} f(x) & \text{on } [a, b] \\ g(x) & \text{on } (b, c] \end{cases}$ is integrable on $[a, c]$.

3. If $f(x) + g(x)$ is integrable on $[a, b]$, then $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are integrable on $[a, b]$.
4. If $f(x)g(x)$ is integrable on $[a, b]$ and $0 < c_1 \leq f(x), g(x) \leq c_2$ for some constants c_1 and c_2 , then $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are integrable on $[a, b]$.
5. If $\sum(a_n + b_n)$ converges, then $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ converge.
6. If $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ absolutely converge, then $\sum(a_n + b_n)$ absolutely converges.
7. If $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ conditionally converge, then $\sum(a_n + b_n)$ conditionally converges.
8. If $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ diverge, then $\sum(a_n + b_n)$ diverges.
9. If $f_n(x) + g_n(x)$ does not uniformly converge, then $f_n(x)$ and $g_n(x)$ do not uniformly converge.
10. If $f_n(x)$ and $g_n(x)$ uniformly converge, then $f_n(x) + g_n(x)$ uniformly converges.
11. If $f_n(x)$ uniformly converges, then any subsequence $f_{n_k}(x)$ uniformly converges.
12. If the even subsequence $f_{2n}(x)$ and the odd subsequence $f_{2n+1}(x)$ uniformly converge, then $f_n(x)$ uniformly converge.
13. If $\sum |u_n(x)|$ uniformly converges, then $\sum u_n(x)$ uniformly converges.
14. If $\sum u_n(x)$ and $\sum v_n(x)$ uniformly converge, then $\sum u_n(x)v_n(x)$ uniformly converge.
15. If $u_n(x) \geq 0$ and $\sum u_n(x)$ uniformly converge, then $\sum u_n(x)^2$ uniformly converges.

Answer to Math 203 Final, Autumn 2006

(1) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_a^b \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} dx &= \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_a^b f(x+h) dx - \int_a^b f(x) dx \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_{a+h}^{b+h} f(x) dx - \int_a^b f(x) dx \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{h} \int_b^{b+h} f(x) dx - \frac{1}{h} \int_a^{a+h} f(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $f(x)$ is continuous at a and b (only integrability is needed at other places), then by the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_a^b \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} dx = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_b^{b+h} f(x) dx - \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_a^{a+h} f(x) dx = f(b) - f(a).$$

If the continuity is not assumed, then it is possible that the equality fails. Any counterexample to the fundamental theorem can become a counterexample of the equality.

(2) Since $f(x)$ is integrable, it is bounded. Therefore for sufficiently small $\|P\|$, we have $|f(x_i^*)\Delta x_i| < \frac{1}{2}$. Then by the second order Taylor expansion of $\log(1+x)$,

$$\log(1 + f(x_i^*)\Delta x_i) = f(x_i^*)\Delta x_i - \frac{1}{2(1 + c_i)^2}(f(x_i^*)\Delta x_i)^2,$$

where $|c_i| \leq |f(x_i^*)\Delta x_i| < \frac{1}{2}$. Thus

$$0 \leq \sum \frac{1}{2(1 + c_i)^2}(f(x_i^*)\Delta x_i)^2 \leq 2 \left(\sum f(x_i^*)^2 \Delta x_i \right) \|P\|.$$

Since $f(x)$ is integrable, $f(x)^2$ is also integrable. Therefore $\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} \sum f(x_i^*)^2 \Delta x_i$ converges. This implies that $\sum f(x_i^*)^2 \Delta x_i$ is bounded as $\|P\| \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} \sum \frac{1}{2(1 + c_i)^2}(f(x_i^*)\Delta x_i)^2 = 0.$$

This further implies

$$\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} \log \Pi(P, f) = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} \sum \log(1 + f(x_i^*)\Delta x_i) = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} \sum f(x_i^*)\Delta x_i = \int_a^b f(x)dx.$$

By the continuity of the exponential function, we get $\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} \Pi(P, f) = e^{\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} \log \Pi(P, f)} = e^{\int_a^b f(x)dx}$.

(3) By the Taylor expansion of e^x , we get

$$x^{tx} = e^{tx \log x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n x^n (\log x)^n}{n!}.$$

Since $x \log x$ is continuous on $[0, 1]$, it is bounded on the interval (in fact, $\max_{[0,1]} |x \log x| = e^{-1}$). Therefore $|t^n x^n (\log x)^n| \leq |t|^n B^n$ for some B and all $x \in [0, 1]$. Then the convergence of $\sum \frac{|t|^n B^n}{n!}$ implies that the series for x^{tx} uniformly converges on $[0, 1]$. Thus

$$\int_0^1 x^{tx} dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \int_0^1 x^n (\log x)^n dx.$$

The integral $\int_0^1 x^n (\log x)^n dx$ may be computed by the recursive formula in Exercise 3.2.23, p152. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 x^m (\log x)^n dx &= \frac{1}{m+1} \int_0^1 (\log x)^n dx^{m+1} = x^m (\log x)^n \Big|_{x=0}^{x=1} - \frac{n}{m+1} \int_0^1 x^{m+1} (\log x)^{n-1} \frac{1}{x} dx \\ &= -\frac{n}{m+1} \int_0^1 x^m (\log x)^{n-1} dx = \dots = (-1)^n \frac{n(n-1)\dots 1}{(m+1)^n} \int_0^1 x^m dx = (-1)^n \frac{n!}{(m+1)^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_0^1 x^{tx} dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} (-1)^n \frac{n!}{(n+1)^{n+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^n}{(n+1)^{n+1}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^{n-1}}{n^n}.$$

(4) Since $a_n > 0$ is increasing, we have

$$\frac{n}{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n} \geq \frac{n}{a_n + a_n + \cdots + a_n} = \frac{1}{a_n} > 0.$$

By the comparison test, the convergence of $\sum \frac{n}{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n}$ implies the convergence of $\sum \frac{1}{a_n}$. On the other hand, we also have

$$0 < \frac{2n}{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}} \leq \frac{2n}{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n} \leq \frac{2n}{a_n + a_n + \cdots + a_n} = \frac{2}{a_n},$$

and

$$0 < \frac{2n-1}{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_{2n-1}} \leq \frac{2n-1}{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n} \leq \frac{2n-1}{a_n + a_n + \cdots + a_n} = \frac{2n-1}{na_n} < \frac{2}{a_n}.$$

The convergence of $\sum \frac{1}{a_n}$ implies the convergence of $\sum \left(\frac{2}{a_n} + \frac{2}{a_n} \right)$, which by the comparison test further implies the convergence of $\sum \frac{1}{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n}$.

(5) If $r' > r = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_n}{\log n}$, we have $r' > \frac{\log a_n}{\log n}$ for sufficiently big n . This means $\log n^{r'} = r' \log n > \log a_n$, or equivalently $n^{r'} > a_n$.

Suppose $R > r + 1$. We find R' satisfying $R > R' > r + 1$. By $R' - 1 > r$, there is N , such that $a_n < n^{R'-1}$ for $n > N$. Then for $x \geq R$ and $n > N$, we have $\frac{a_n}{n^x} \leq \frac{a_n}{n^{R'}} < \frac{n^{R'-1}}{n^{R'}} = \frac{1}{n^{R-R'+1}}$. By $R - R' + 1 > 1$, the series $\sum \frac{1}{n^{R-R'+1}}$ converges. By the comparison test, we conclude that $\sum \frac{a_n}{n^x}$ uniformly converges on $[R, +\infty)$.

The derivative series is $-\sum \frac{a_n \log n}{n^x}$ and the k -th order derivative series is $(-1)^k \sum \frac{a_n (\log n)^k}{n^x}$.

Since

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(a_n (\log n)^k)}{\log n} = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_n + k \log \log n}{\log n} = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_n}{\log n} = r,$$

all the derivative series uniformly converge on $[R, +\infty)$ for any $R > r$. Thus $f(x)$ has derivative of any order on $(R, +\infty)$ for any $R > r$. This means $f(x)$ has derivative of any order on $(r + 1, +\infty)$.

Suppose $x < r$. Then there are infinitely many n satisfying $x < \frac{\log a_n}{\log n}$. This means $\log n^x = x \log n < \log a_n$, or equivalently $n^x < a_n$. Therefore we have $\frac{a_n}{n^x} > 1$ for infinitely many n . In particular, the sequence $\frac{a_n}{n^x}$ does not converge to zero, so that the series diverges.

The series may converge for some $x < r + 1$. For example, take $a_n = 1$ when $n = k^2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_n = 0$ when n is not a square. Then $r = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_n}{\log n} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log 1}{\log k^2} = 0$.

However, if $r + 1 = 1 > x > \frac{1}{2}$, then $\sum \frac{a_n}{n^x} = \sum \frac{1}{k^{2x}}$ converges.

(6)

T, T, F, F, F; T, F, F, F, T; T, F, T, F, F

Math 203 Final, Autumn 2007

(1) Suppose $f(x)$ is continuous on an open interval containing $[a, b]$. Prove that the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x + \frac{1}{n}\right) = f(x)$ is uniform.

(2) Suppose $f(x)$ is continuous and positive on $[0, 1]$. Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \sqrt[n]{f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) f\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \cdots f\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)}$$

converges and find the limit.

(3) Let $[x]$ be the biggest integer $\leq x$. Let $a > 0$. Determine the convergence of the improper integral $\int_0^1 \left(\left[\frac{a}{x} \right] - a \left[\frac{1}{x} \right] \right) dx$.

(4) Determine the intervals on which the series $\sum n^x x^n$ uniformly converge.

(5) Suppose $\sum \frac{1}{a_n}$ absolutely converges. Prove that $\sum \frac{1}{x - a_n}$ converges to a function that has derivatives of any order away from all a_n .

(6) True or false. No explanation needed.

1. If $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ converge, then $\sum a_n b_n$ converges.
2. If $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ absolutely converge, then $\sum a_n b_n$ absolutely converges.
3. If $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ conditionally converge, then $\sum a_n b_n$ conditionally converges.
4. If both $\sum a_n$ and one rearrangement $\sum a_{n_k}$ converge but have different sum, then $\sum a_n$ conditionally converge.
5. If all rearrangements of $\sum a_n$ converge, then $\sum a_n$ absolutely converges.
6. If $\sum a_n$ converges, then $\sum \max\{a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}\}$ and $\sum \min\{a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}\}$ converge.
7. If $\sum \max\{a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}\}$ and $\sum \min\{a_{2n}, a_{2n+1}\}$ converge, then $\sum a_n$ converges.
8. If $\sum u_n(x)$ uniformly converges on X and $g(Y) \subset X$, then $\sum u_n(g(y))$ uniformly converges on Y .
9. If $\sum u_n(x)$ uniformly converges on X and g is uniformly continuous on Y , then $\sum g(u_n(x))$ uniformly converges on X .

10. If $f_n(x)$ and $g_n(x)$ uniformly converge to $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, and $f_n(x) > 0$, $f(x) > 0$, then $f_n(x)^{g_n(x)}$ uniformly converges to $f(x)^{g(x)}$.

Answer to Math 203 Final, Autumn 2007

(1) By assumption, $f(x)$ is continuous on $[a - \mu, b + \mu]$ for some $\mu > 0$. Then $f(x)$ is uniformly continuous on $[a - \mu, b + \mu]$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that

$$x, y \in [a - \mu, b + \mu], |x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon.$$

Then

$$x \in [a, b], n > \frac{1}{\mu} \implies x + \frac{1}{n}, x \in [a - \mu, b + \mu], \frac{1}{n} < \mu \implies \left| f\left(x + \frac{1}{n}\right) - f(x) \right| < \epsilon.$$

This shows that the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x + \frac{1}{n}\right) = f(x)$ is uniform.

(2) Let $a_n = \sqrt[n]{f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) f\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \cdots f\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)}$. Then

$$\log a_n = \frac{1}{n} \left(\log f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + \log f\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) + \cdots + \log f\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \right) = S(P_n, f) - \frac{1}{n} f(0),$$

where $S(P_n, f)$ is the Riemann sum with respect to the special partition

$$P_n: 0 < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{2}{n} < \cdots < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{n-1}{n} < 1$$

of $[0, 1]$ and $x_i^* = \frac{i-1}{n}$. The function $\log f$ is still continuous on the bounded interval $[0, 1]$

and is therefore Riemann integrable. Therefore we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(P_n, f) = \int_0^1 \log f(x) dx$, so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(P_n, f) = \int_0^1 \log f(x) dx.$$

Finally, by the continuity of the exponential function, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = e^{\int_0^1 \log f(x) dx}.$$

(3) The improperness only appears at 0^+ , where the function is unbounded.

Let $f(x) = \left[\frac{1}{x}\right]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_c^1 \left(\left[\frac{a}{x}\right] - a \left[\frac{1}{x}\right] \right) dx &= \int_c^1 \left(f\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) - a f(x) \right) dx \\ &= a \left(\int_{a^{-1}c}^{a^{-1}} f(x) dx - \int_c^1 f(x) dx \right) = a \left(\int_1^{a^{-1}} f(x) dx - \int_c^{a^{-1}c} f(x) dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the problem is reduced to the convergence of $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} \int_c^{a^{-1}c} f(x)dx$.

Let $t = \frac{1}{x}$ and $R = c^{-1}$. Then $-\int_c^{a^{-1}c} f(x)dx = \int_R^{aR} \frac{[t]}{t^2} dt$. Assume $a \geq 1$. Then by $x - 1 \leq [x] \leq x$, we get

$$\log a = \int_R^{aR} \frac{t-1}{t^2} dt \leq -\int_c^{a^{-1}c} f(x)dx \leq \int_R^{aR} \frac{t}{t^2} dt = \log a + \frac{1}{aR} - \frac{1}{R}.$$

Since both sides have limit $\log a$ as $R \rightarrow +\infty$, we conclude that $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} -\int_c^{a^{-1}c} f(x)dx = \log a$. The case for $0 < a \leq 1$ is similar.

Thus we conclude that $\int_0^1 \left(\left[\frac{a}{x} \right] - a \left[\frac{1}{x} \right] \right) dx$ converges and has value $a \int_1^{a^{-1}} \left[\frac{1}{x} \right] dx + a \log a$.

(4) We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|n^x x^n|} = |x|$. By the root test, the series diverges for $|x| > 1$ and converges for $|x| < 1$. Moreover, for $x = 1$, the series $\sum n^1 1^n = \sum n$ diverges, and for $x = -1$, the series $\sum n^{-1} (-1)^n = \sum \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ converges.

For any $|R| < 1$, we have

$$|x| \leq R \implies |n^x x^n| \leq nR^n.$$

The convergence of $\sum nR^n$ implies that $\sum n^x x^n$ uniformly converges on $[-R, R]$ for any $0 < R < 1$.

Now consider an interval containing -1 . Studying $\sum n^x x^n$ for $x \in \left[-1, -\frac{1}{2}\right]$ is the same as studying $\sum (-1)^n \frac{t^n}{n^t}$ for $t \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$. For each fixed t in the interval, the series is alternating and $\frac{t^n}{n^t}$ is decreasing in n . Therefore by the Leibniz test, the series converges to a function $f(t)$ and satisfies

$$t \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \implies \left| \sum_{n \leq k} (-1)^n \frac{t^n}{n^t} - f(t) \right| \leq \frac{t^{k+1}}{(k+1)^t} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}}.$$

This implies that the series $\sum (-1)^n \frac{t^n}{n^t}$ uniformly converges to $f(t)$ on the interval.

Finally, for each fixed n , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow -1} n^x x^n = n > 1$. This implies that the series $\sum n^x x^n$ does not uniformly converge on intervals of the form $[a, 1]$.

In conclusion, the series $\sum n^x x^n$ uniformly converges on $[-1, R]$ for any $R < 1$.

(5) Since $\sum \frac{1}{a_n}$ absolutely converges, we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$. Thus for any $R > 0$, there is N , such that $|a_n| > 2R$ for $n > N$. Then

$$|x| \leq R, n > N \implies |x| \leq \frac{|a_n|}{2} \implies |x - a_n| \geq \frac{|a_n|}{2} \implies \left| \frac{1}{x - a_n} \right| \leq \frac{2}{|a_n|}.$$

The absolute convergence of $\sum \frac{1}{a_n}$ then implies that the series $\sum \frac{1}{x - a_n}$ uniformly converges on $[-R, R] - \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ for any R .

By taking k -th order derivative term by term, we get series $\sum \frac{(-1)^k k!}{(x - a_n)^{k+1}}$. By the same argument as above, we have

$$|x| \leq R, n > N \implies \left| \frac{(-1)^k k!}{(x - a_n)^{k+1}} \right| \leq \frac{2^{k+1} k!}{|a_n|^{k+1}}.$$

By $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$, the absolute convergence of $\sum \frac{1}{a_n}$ implies the absolute convergence of $\sum \frac{1}{a_n^{k+1}}$. Then the estimation above implies that the term by term derivative series also uniformly converges on $[-R, R] - \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ for any R . As a result, we have

$$\frac{d^k}{dx^k} \sum \frac{1}{x - a_n} = \sum \frac{(-1)^k k!}{(x - a_n)^{k+1}}.$$

(6)

F, T, F, T, T; F, T, T, F, F

Math 203 Final, Autumn 2008

(1) Prove that the integral $\int_0^\pi \frac{dx}{\sqrt[4]{1 + \cos x}}$ converges.

(2) Prove that if $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n x^n$ is uniformly convergent in (a, b) , then it is uniformly convergent in $[a, b]$.

(3) Let $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{x^n}{n^2}$.

(1) Show that f is continuous on $[-1, 1]$.

(2) Show that $f'_+(-1)$ exists.

(4) Assume that

(1) f' is integrable on $[0, A]$ for any $A > 0$;

(2) $f(x)$ is decreasing to 0 as $x \rightarrow +\infty$;

(3) $\int_0^{+\infty} f(x) dx$ converges.

Prove that $\int_0^{+\infty} x f'(x) dx$ converges.

(5)

(a) Suppose $f(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ with $0 \leq f(x) < 1$. Prove that the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b [f(x)]^n dx = 0.$$

(b) Suppose $f(x)$ is continuous on (a, b) with $0 \leq f(x) < 1$ and integrable on $[a, b]$. Prove that the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b [f(x)]^n dx = 0.$$

(6) Find $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{1}{(n+x^2)} \right)'$.

Answer to Math 203 Final, Autumn 2008

(1) It is obvious that π is the only singular point for the integral. Since

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pi} \frac{1 + \cos x}{(\pi - x)^2} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pi} \frac{-\sin x}{2(-1)(\pi - x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pi} \frac{-\cos x}{2} = 1/2,$$

we know that near $x = \pi$, $1 + \cos x = O((\pi - x)^2)$. Thus, near $x = \pi$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1 + \cos x}} = O(\sqrt{\pi - x}).$$

Since

$$\int_{\pi - \delta_1}^{\pi - \delta_2} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{\pi - x}} = -2(\pi - x)^{1/2} \Big|_{x=\pi - \delta_1}^{x=\pi - \delta_2}$$

can be sufficiently small if δ_1, δ_2 are sufficiently small. This implies that

$$\int_{\pi - \delta}^{\pi} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{\pi - x}}$$

is convergent for small $\delta > 0$. By the Comparison Test, we know that that

$$\int_{\pi - \delta}^{\pi} \frac{dx}{\sqrt[4]{1 + \cos x}}$$

is convergent. Since $1 + \cos x > 0$ on $[0, \pi - \delta]$, the Riemann integral

$$\int_0^{\pi - \delta} \frac{dx}{\sqrt[4]{1 + \cos x}}$$

exists for small $\delta > 0$. Hence

$$\int_0^{\pi} \frac{dx}{\sqrt[4]{1 + \cos x}} = \int_0^{\pi - \delta} \frac{dx}{\sqrt[4]{1 + \cos x}} + \int_{\pi - \delta}^{\pi} \frac{dx}{\sqrt[4]{1 + \cos x}}$$

converges.

(2) Since the series is uniformly convergent in (a, b) , so for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N > 0$, such that

$$|a_m x^m + a_{m+1} x^{m+1} + \cdots + a_n x^n| < \epsilon$$

for $m, n \geq N$ and $x \in (a, b)$. Let $x \rightarrow a^+$, we have

$$|a_m a^m + a_{m+1} a^{m+1} + \cdots + a_n a^n| \leq \epsilon$$

for $m, n \geq N$. Similarly, we have

$$|a_m b^m + a_{m+1} b^{m+1} + \cdots + a_n b^n| \leq \epsilon$$

for $m, n \geq N$. Hence, we know that if $m, n \geq N$, then

$$|a_m x^m + a_{m+1} x^{m+1} + \cdots + a_n x^n| \leq \epsilon$$

for $x \in [a, b]$. Hence, by the Cauchy criterion, we know that the power series is uniformly convergent in $[a, b]$.

(3) (1) if $|x| \leq 1$, then

$$\left| \frac{x^n}{n^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Since $\sum 1/n^2$ is convergent, so $\sum \frac{x^n}{n^2}$ is uniformly convergent. By Proposition 4.2.5, f is continuous on $[-1, 1]$.

(2) For the series

$$\sum \left(\frac{x^n}{n^2} \right)' = \sum \frac{x^{n-1}}{n},$$

we know that it is convergent at $x = -1$. Since the radius of convergence for $\sum \frac{x^{n-1}}{n}$ is 1, by Proposition 4.2.10, we know that the series $\sum \frac{x^{n-1}}{n}$ is uniformly convergent on $[-1, 0]$. By Proposition 4.2.7, we can conclude that $f'_+(-1)$ exists.

(4) For any fixed $A > 0$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^A x f'(x) dx &= x f(x) \Big|_{x=0}^{x=A} - \int_0^A f(x) dx \\ &= A f(A) - \int_0^A f(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

we only need to show that $\lim_{A \rightarrow +\infty} A f(A) = 0$.

Since $f(x)$ is decreasing to 0 as $x \rightarrow +\infty$, for sufficiently large x , we must have $f(x) \geq 0$. By the condition that $\int_0^{+\infty} f(x) dx$ converges, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $M > 0$, such that if $x \geq M$, we have

$$0 \leq \int_x^{2x} f(x) dx < \epsilon.$$

Thus, if $A \geq M$, we have $0 \leq Af(A) \leq \int_A^{2A} f(x) dx < \epsilon$. This implies $\lim_{A \rightarrow +\infty} Af(A) = 0$.

(5)

(a) Since f is continuous on $[a, b]$, there exists a point $x_0 \in [a, b]$ such that $f(x_0) = \max_{x \in [a, b]} \{f(x)\}$. Thus

$$0 \leq \int_a^b [f(x)]^n dx \leq \int_a^b [f(x_0)]^n dx = [f(x_0)]^n \cdot (b - a).$$

Take $n \rightarrow +\infty$, since $0 \leq f(x_0) < 1$, by the Sandwich theorem, we have the limit.

(b) If we define

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \neq a, b; \\ 0, & \text{if } x = a \text{ or } x = b, \end{cases}$$

then, F and f may differ at most at two points $x = a$ and $x = b$. By Example 3.1.12, we know that

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} [F(x)]^n dx = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} [f(x)]^n dx$$

for any $x_1, x_2 \in [a, b]$. By the condition on f , we know that $0 \leq F(x) < 1$ on $[a, b]$. This implies that the sequence

$$\int_a^b [F(x)]^n dx = \int_a^b [f(x)]^n dx$$

is decreasing in n . Hence, the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b [f(x)]^n dx$$

exists.

Since f is integrable on $[a, b]$, we know that f is bounded on $[a, b]$. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and for any fixed integer N , there is an $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_a^{a+\delta} [f(x)]^N dx < \epsilon, \quad \int_{b-\delta}^b [f(x)]^N dx < \epsilon.$$

This gives that, for $n \geq N$,

$$0 \leq \int_a^{a+\delta} [f(x)]^n dx = \int_a^{a+\delta} [F(x)]^n dx \leq \int_a^{a+\delta} [F(x)]^N dx = \int_a^{a+\delta} [f(x)]^n dx < \epsilon,$$

and

$$0 \leq \int_{b-\delta}^b [f(x)]^n dx = \int_{b-\delta}^b [F(x)]^n dx \leq \int_{b-\delta}^b [F(x)]^N dx = \int_{b-\delta}^b [f(x)]^n dx < \epsilon.$$

Thus, for $n \geq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_a^b [f(x)]^n dx \right| &= \left| \int_a^{a+\delta} [f(x)]^n dx \right| + \left| \int_{b-\delta}^b [f(x)]^n dx \right| + \left| \int_{a+\delta}^{b-\delta} [f(x)]^n dx \right| \\ &\leq \epsilon + \epsilon + \left| \int_{a+\delta}^{b-\delta} [f(x)]^n dx \right|. \end{aligned}$$

If we let $n \rightarrow \infty$, by (a), we know that, for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{a+\delta}^{b-\delta} [f(x)]^n dx = 0.$$

Thus,

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_a^b [f(x)]^n dx \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, we conclude

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_a^b [f(x)]^n dx = 0.$$

(6) For the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{1}{(n+x^2)},$$

it is obvious that:

(1) $\frac{1}{(n+x^2)}$ is monotone in n ;

(2) $\frac{1}{(n+x^2)} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, since

$$\frac{1}{(n+x^2)} \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Thus, by Exercise 4.2.11, the series is uniformly convergent. In fact, it is also convergent everywhere. If we differentiate the series term-by-term, then we obtain the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{-2x}{(n+x^2)^2}.$$

which is uniformly convergent. Hence, by Proposition 4.2.7,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{1}{(n+x^2)} \right)' \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left((-1)^n \frac{1}{(n+x^2)} \right)' \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{-2x}{(n+x^2)^2} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{-2}{(n+x^2)^2} \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.2.6, we can interchange the limit with the summation in the last limit. Thus,

$$I = (-2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

To find the value of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{1}{n^2}$, we use the result in Example 4.2.22, by expand the function x^2 as its Fourier series on $(0, 2\pi)$ to have

$$x^2 \sim \frac{4\pi^2}{3} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4}{n^2} \cos nx - \frac{4\pi}{n} \sin nx \right).$$

Putting $x = \pi$, by Theorem 4.2.13, we have

$$\pi^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{3} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} 4}{n^2},$$

which gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{12}.$$

Hence

$$I = -\pi^2/6.$$

Math 203 Final, Autumn 2009

(1) Suppose $f(x) = x + ax^n + o(x^n)$, $n \geq 2$, and $f(x)$ is invertible near $x = 0$.

1. Prove that the inverse of f is n -th order differentiable at 0, and find the n -th order approximation of the inverse.
2. Can you make a more general statement that include the function $f(x) = -2x + x^2 + 3x^4 + o(x^4)$. Moreover, find the 4th order approximation of the inverse function.

(2) Suppose $f(x)$ is integrable on $[0, 1]$ and continuous at 0. Prove that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^1 \frac{h}{h^2 + x^2} f(x) dx = \frac{\pi}{2} f(0).$$

(3) Suppose $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are integrable on $[a, b]$. Prove that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that for any partition P satisfying $\|P\| < \delta$ and choices $x_i^*, x_i^{**} \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$, we have

$$\left| \sum f(x_i^*) g(x_i^{**}) \Delta x_i - \int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx \right| < \epsilon.$$

(4) The function $f(x) = \sum \frac{x^n}{n^{1.5}}$ is defined on $[-1, 1]$. Study the differentiability of the function on $[-1, 1]$ (including one-side derivative at the end points).

(5) Consider the series $\sum \frac{\sin nx}{n^\alpha (\log n)^\beta}$.

1. Find all the combinations of x, α, β so that the series absolutely converges.
2. Find all the combinations of x, α, β so that the series conditionally converges.

3. Prove that if the series absolutely converges for all x , then the series uniformly converges on \mathbb{R} .

Answer to Math 203 Final, Autumn 2009

(1) Let $g(y)$ be the inverse of $f(x)$ near 0. By Proposition 2.1.5 and $f'(0) = 1 \neq 0$, we know $g(y)$ is differentiable at 0, with $g'(0) = \frac{1}{f'(0)} = 1$. Therefore $g(y) = y + o(y)$. This implies

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(y)^n}{y^n} = \left(\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(y)}{y} \right)^n = 1^n = 1,$$

and

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{o(g(y)^n)}{y^n} = \left(\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{o(g(y))}{g(y)} \frac{g(y)}{y} \right) = (0 \cdot 1)^n = 0.$$

In other words, we have

$$g(y)^n = y^n + o(y^n), \quad o(g(y)^n) = o(y^n).$$

Substituting $g(y) = y + o(y)$ into $y = f(g(y))$, we get

$$y = g(y) + ag(y)^n + o(g(y)^n) = g(y) + ay^n + o(y^n) + o(y^n).$$

This means $g(y) = y - ay^n + o(y^n)$, or $y - ay^n$ is the n -th order approximation of $g(y)$ at 0.

In general, if $f(x)$ is invertible near $x = 0$, f is n -th order differentiable at 0, and $f'(0) \neq 0$, then the inverse of f is also n -th order differentiable. The high order approximation of the inverse may be computed by substitution. For example, for $f(x) = -2x + x^2 + 3x^4 + o(x^4)$, we substitute $g(y) = ay + by^2 + cy^3 + dy^4 + o(y^4)$ into $y = f(g(y))$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} y &= -2(ay + by^2 + cy^3 + dy^4) + (ay + by^2 + cy^3)^2 + 3(ay)^4 + o(y^4) \\ &= -2(ay + by^2 + cy^3 + dy^4) + (a^2y^2 + b^2y^4 + 2aby^3 + 2acy^4) + 3a^4y^4 + o(y^4). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients, we get

$$-2a = 1, \quad -2b + a^2 = 0, \quad -2c + 2ab = 0, \quad -2d + b^2 + 2ac + 3a^4 = 0.$$

Solving the equations, we get

$$a = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad b = -\frac{1}{2^3}, \quad c = \frac{1}{2^4}, \quad d = -\frac{7}{2^9}$$

and the 4-th order approximation of the inverse function

$$g(y) = -\frac{1}{2}y - \frac{1}{2^3}y^2 + \frac{1}{2^4}y^3 - \frac{7}{2^9}y^4 + o(y^4).$$

(2) By the continuity of $f(x)$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that $0 \leq x \leq \delta$ implies $|f(x) - f(0)| \leq \epsilon$. Moreover, since $f(x)$ is integrable, it is bounded, so that $|f(x)| < M$ for all x and a constant M . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^1 \frac{h}{h^2 + x^2} f(x) dx - \int_0^1 \frac{h}{h^2 + x^2} f(0) dx \right| \leq \int_0^\delta \frac{h}{h^2 + x^2} |f(x) - f(0)| dx + \int_\delta^1 \frac{h}{h^2 + x^2} |f(x) - f(0)| dx \\ & \leq \epsilon \int_0^\delta \frac{h}{h^2 + x^2} dx + 2M \int_\delta^1 \frac{h}{x^2} dx \leq \epsilon \int_0^{\frac{\delta}{h}} \frac{1}{1 + y^2} dy + 2Mh \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^{\frac{\delta}{h}} \frac{1}{1 + y^2} dy = \arctan \frac{\delta}{h} < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

we get

$$\left| \int_0^1 \frac{h}{h^2 + x^2} f(x) dx - \int_0^1 \frac{h}{h^2 + x^2} f(0) dx \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \epsilon + 2Mh \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - 1 \right).$$

For the given ϵ (and the corresponding δ), we may choose small enough h , such that the right side is $\leq 2\epsilon$. This implies that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{h}{h^2 + x^2} f(x) dx - \int_0^1 \frac{h}{h^2 + x^2} f(0) dx \right) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^1 \frac{h}{h^2 + x^2} f(0) dx = f(0) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^{\frac{1}{h}} \frac{1}{1 + y^2} dy = f(0) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \arctan \frac{1}{h} = \frac{\pi}{2} f(0).$$

Therefore we conclude that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^1 \frac{h}{h^2 + x^2} f(x) dx = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^1 \frac{h}{h^2 + x^2} f(0) dx = \frac{\pi}{2} f(0).$$

(3) Since $f(x)$ is integrable, it is bounded: We have $|f(x)| < M$ for all $x \in [a, b]$ and a constant M .

Since g is integrable, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that $\|P\| < \delta$ implies $\sum \omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(g) \Delta x_i < \epsilon$.

Since f and g are integrable, the product fg is also integrable. Therefore for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta' > 0$, such that $\|P\| < \delta'$ implies $\left| \sum f(x_i^*) g(x_i^*) \Delta x_i - \int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx \right| < \epsilon$.

Now for $\|P\| < \min\{\delta, \delta'\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum f(x_i^*) g(x_i^{**}) \Delta x_i - \int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx \right| \\ & \leq \left| \sum f(x_i^*) g(x_i^{**}) \Delta x_i - \sum f(x_i^*) g(x_i^*) \Delta x_i \right| + \left| \sum f(x_i^*) g(x_i^*) \Delta x_i - \int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx \right| \\ & < \sum |f(x_i^*) (g(x_i^{**}) - g(x_i^*))| \Delta x_i + \epsilon \leq M \sum \omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(g) \Delta x_i + \epsilon < (M + 1)\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(4) If we take term wise differentiation, we get $g(x) = \sum \frac{x^{n-1}}{n^{0.5}}$. The power series $g(x)$ converges uniformly on $[-1, 1)$. The uniformity at 1 follows from Proposition 4.2.13 (Abel's Theorem). Then it follows from Proposition 4.2.12 that $f'(x) = g(x)$ on $[-1, 1)$ (including $f'_+(-1) = g(-1)$).

Although $g(x)$ diverges at 1, the fact does not necessarily imply that $f(x)$ is not left differentiable at 1 (the converse of Proposition 4.2.12 is not true). So we need to study the quotient

$$\frac{f(x) - f(1)}{x - 1} = \sum \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} \frac{1}{n^{1.5}}.$$

directly.

The divergence (to $+\infty$) of $\sum \frac{1}{k^{0.5}}$ implies that for any $b > 0$, there is n , such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^{0.5}} \geq b.$$

Then by $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{x^k - 1}{x - 1} = k$, for the finitely many k between 1 and n , we may find $\delta > 0$, such that

$$1 - \delta < x < 1 \implies \frac{x^k - 1}{x - 1} > \frac{k}{2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then $1 - \delta < x < 1$ implies $\frac{x^k - 1}{x - 1} > 0$ for all k , and

$$\frac{f(x) - f(1)}{x - 1} \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{x^k - 1}{x - 1} \frac{1}{k^{1.5}} > \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k}{2} \frac{1}{k^{1.5}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^{0.5}} \geq b.$$

Therefore the quotient is unbounded as $x \rightarrow 1^-$, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{f(x) - f(1)}{x - 1}$ diverges.

(5.1 and 5.2) If the series $\sum \frac{1}{n^\alpha (\log n)^\beta}$ converges, then by the comparison test, the series

$\sum \frac{\sin nx}{n^\alpha (\log n)^\beta}$ absolutely converges. This happens when $\alpha > 1$ or $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta > 1$.

In cases (i) $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta \leq 1$, (ii) $1 > \alpha > 0$, (iii) $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta > 0$, the sequence $\frac{1}{n^\alpha (\log n)^\beta}$ is decreasing and converges to 0. Moreover, by Example 4.1.12, the partial

sum of $\sum \sin nx$ is bounded. By the Dirichlet test, the series $\sum \frac{\sin nx}{n^\alpha (\log n)^\beta}$ converges.

We claim the convergence is conditional.

Following the argument in Example 4.1.10, for $0 < x \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and each k , we find n_k satisfying

$$\frac{4k + 1}{4} \pi \leq n_k x \leq \frac{4k + 3}{4} \pi.$$

Then $|\sin n_k x| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, $\frac{1}{n_k} > \frac{x}{4k}$ and

$$\frac{|\sin n_k x|}{n_k^\alpha (\log n_k)^\beta} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{x}{4k}\right)^\alpha \left(\log \frac{x}{4k}\right)^\beta} = \frac{1}{Ak^\alpha (\log k + B)^\beta} > \frac{1}{2Ak^\alpha (\log k)^\beta}$$

for sufficiently big k . The divergence of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^\alpha (\log k)^\beta}$ in the three cases imply that the series $\sum \frac{\sin nx}{n^\alpha (\log n)^\beta}$ diverges in the three cases. The remark at the end of Example 4.1.10 also applies and extend the discussion to the case a is not a multiple of π .

If a is a multiple of π , then the series is constantly 0 and absolutely converges.

Finally, the remaining cases are $\alpha < 0$ or $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \leq 0$. The terms $\frac{\sin nx}{n^\alpha (\log n)^\beta}$ will not converge to 0 and the series diverges.

(5.3) Now we consider the uniformity of the convergence. Of course this assumes that the combination of α and β should make the series converge on some interval.

If the series $\sum \frac{1}{n^\alpha (\log n)^\beta}$ converges, then by the comparison test, the series $\sum \frac{\sin nx}{n^\alpha (\log n)^\beta}$ uniformly converges on \mathbb{R} . This happens when $\alpha > 1$ or $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta > 1$, which is exactly the case the series absolutely converges for all x .

Further Discussion

In cases (i) $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta \leq 1$, (ii) $1 > \alpha > 0$, (iii) $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta > 0$, the sequence $\frac{1}{n^\alpha (\log n)^\beta}$ is decreasing and converges to 0. By the Dirichlet test, the series $\sum \frac{\sin nx}{n^\alpha (\log n)^\beta}$ converges uniformly on some interval if the partial sum of $\sum \sin nx$ is uniformly bounded on the interval. By Example 4.1.12, we know the partial sum is uniformly bounded on $[r, 2\pi - r]$, or more generally, on $[2k\pi + r, 2(k+1)\pi - r]$, for any $0 < r < \pi$. Thus under any of the three cases, the series uniformly converges on such intervals.

It remains to consider the uniformity of the convergence in the three cases on an interval $(-r, r)$ containing 0 (or $(2k\pi - \epsilon, 2k\pi + \epsilon)$ in general). We note that in case (ii) and (iii), and in case $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta \leq 0$ (part of case (i)), we have $\frac{1}{n^\alpha (\log n)^\beta} \geq \frac{1}{n}$ for sufficiently big n . Moreover, for sufficiently big N , we have $x = \frac{\pi}{4N} \in (-r, r)$. Then $\frac{\pi}{4} \leq nx \leq \frac{3\pi}{4}$ for $N \leq n \leq 3N$, and we have

$$\sum_{n=N}^{3N} \frac{\sin nx}{n^\alpha (\log n)^\beta} \geq \sum_{n=N}^{3N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}n} \geq (3N - N) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}3N} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}.$$

Therefore the Cauchy criterion for the uniform convergence is not satisfied for $\epsilon = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$, and the series is not uniformly convergent on $(-r, r)$.

The remaining case is $\alpha = 1$ and $0 < \beta \leq 1$ (remaining part of case (i)). I believe the series converges uniformly on \mathbb{R} .

Math 2043 Final, Autumn 2011

(1) Show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x}{n^x} = 0$ uniformly on $[0, +\infty)$.

(Hint: Show that the maximum of $\frac{x}{n^x}$ on $[0, +\infty)$ converges to 0.)

(2) Show that for $p > 1$, $\sum \left(e^x - \left(1 + \frac{x}{n} \right)^n \right)^p$ converges uniformly on $[0, R]$ for any $R > 0$ and not uniformly on $[0, +\infty)$.

(3) Suppose $f(x)$ is n -th order differentiable at 0 and has non-constant n -th order approximation. Prove that if 0 is a local maximum of $f(x)$, then $f(x) \leq f(x^2)$ for x sufficiently close to 0.

(4) Rigorously explain that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt[n]{n!}}{n} = e^{\int_0^1 \log x dx} = e^{-1}$. Note that $\int_0^1 \log x dx$ is an improper integral.

(5) Suppose α has bounded variation on $[a, b]$ with variation function $v(x) = V_{[a,x]}(\alpha)$. Suppose f is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to v . Prove that f is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to α and $|f|$ is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to v . Moreover, prove that $\left| \int_a^b f d\alpha \right| \leq \int_a^b |f| dv$.

Answer to Math 2043 Final, Autumn 2011

(1) For $f_n = \frac{x}{n^x}$, we have $f'_n = \frac{1 - x \log n}{n^x}$. Since $f_n > 0$ and $f_n(0) = 0$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f_n = 0$ (at least for $n > 1$), we see that f_n reaches its maximum for some $x \in (0, +\infty)$ satisfying $f'_n(x) = 0$. The only such x is $\frac{1}{\log n}$. Therefore

$$0 \leq f_n(x) \leq \max_{[0, +\infty)} f_n(x) = f_n \left(\frac{1}{\log n} \right) = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{\log n}} \log n} < \frac{1}{\log n}.$$

By $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} = 0$, we conclude that $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $[0, +\infty)$.

Another Answer: For any $\epsilon > 0$, we have $0 \leq \frac{x}{n^x} < 2\epsilon$ on $[0, 2\epsilon)$. It remains to find N , such that $0 \leq \frac{x}{n^x} < \epsilon$ for $n > N$ and $x \in [2\epsilon, +\infty)$.

For $x \geq 2\epsilon$ and $n \geq 2$, we have

$$0 \leq \frac{x}{n^x} \leq \frac{x}{n^{\frac{x}{2}} n^{\frac{x}{2}}} \leq \frac{x}{2^{\frac{x}{2}} n^\epsilon}.$$

Since $\frac{x}{2^{\frac{x}{2}}}$ is a continuous function on $[0, +\infty)$ with value 0 at 0 and limit 0 at $+\infty$, the function is bounded on $[0, +\infty)$. Let $0 \leq \frac{x}{2^{\frac{x}{2}}} \leq B$ (B is the maximum of $\frac{x}{2^{\frac{x}{2}}}$ and is a specific constant). Then for $x \geq 2\epsilon$ and $n \geq 2$, we have

$$0 \leq \frac{x}{n^x} \leq \frac{B}{n^\epsilon}.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B}{n^\epsilon} = 0$, there is N (depending only on ϵ, B , and therefore on ϵ only), such that $\frac{B}{n^\epsilon} < \epsilon$. Then we have

$$x \geq 2\epsilon, n \geq N \implies 0 \leq \frac{x}{n^x} < \epsilon.$$

Combined with $0 \leq \frac{x}{n^x} < 2\epsilon$ on $[0, 2\epsilon)$, we have

$$x \geq 0, n \geq N \implies 0 \leq \frac{x}{n^x} < 2\epsilon.$$

(2) For $0 \leq x \leq R$, by the remainder formula for Taylor expansion, we have (see the argument in Example 5.2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} e^x - \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n &= e^x - e^{n \log\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)} = e^x - e^{n\left(\frac{x}{n} - \frac{x^2}{2n^2(1+c)^2}\right)} \\ &= e^x - e^x e^{-\frac{x^2}{2n(1+c)^2}} = e^x \left(\frac{x^2}{2n(1+c)^2} + o\left(\frac{x^2}{2n(1+c)^2}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < c < \frac{x}{n}$. Since $0 \leq \frac{x^2}{2n(1+c)^2} \leq \frac{x^2}{2n} \leq \frac{R^2}{2n}$, which tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the above estimation tells us that $\left|e^x - \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n\right| \leq \frac{A}{n}$ for sufficiently big n . By the convergence of $\sum \left(\frac{A}{n}\right)^p$ for $p > 1$, we see that $\sum \left(e^x - \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n\right)^p$ converges uniformly on $[0, R]$.

On the other hand, for fixed n , we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left(e^x - \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n\right)^p = +\infty$. Therefore $\left(e^x - \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n\right)^p$ does not converge to 0 uniformly on $[0, +\infty)$. The series then does not converge uniformly on $[0, +\infty)$.

(3) Let the non-constant n -th order approximation be $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n + o(x^n)$. Since the approximation is not constant, at least one of a_1, \dots, a_n is nonzero. Let k be the smallest index such that $a_k \neq 0$. Then $f(x) = a_0 + a_kx^k + o(x^k)$.

By the first part of Proposition 2.2.5, since 0 is a local maximum of $f(x)$, k cannot be odd. Then by the (proof of) second part of the proposition, if $a_k > 0$, then 0 is a strict local minimum. Therefore we must have k even and $a_k < 0$. Then

$$f(x) - f(x^2) = a_0 + a_kx^k + o(x^k) - a_0 - a_kx^{2k} - o(x^{2k}) = a_kx^k + o(x^k).$$

By the third part of the proposition, we know 0 is a local maximum of $f(x) - f(x^2)$. Therefore for x sufficiently close to 0, we have

$$f(x) - f(x^2) \leq f(0) - f(0^2) = 0.$$

(4) We have

$$\log \frac{\sqrt[n]{n!}}{n} = \log \sqrt[n]{\frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdots n}{n \cdot n \cdots n}} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\log \frac{1}{n} + \log \frac{2}{n} + \cdots + \log \frac{n}{n} \right),$$

and wish to show that the right side converges to $\int_0^1 \log x dx$. The right side appears to be the Riemann sum $S(P, \log x)$ for the partition P consisting of $x_i = \frac{i}{n}$ and sample points $x_i^* = x_i$. However, we cannot use the definition of Riemann integral because the integral here is improper.

Using the fact that $\log x$ is increasing and the idea of the proof of Proposition 5.1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \left(\log \frac{1}{n} + \log \frac{2}{n} + \cdots + \log \frac{n}{n} \right) &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\log \frac{1}{n} + \log \frac{2}{n} + \cdots + \log \frac{n-1}{n} \right) \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\frac{2}{n}} + \int_{\frac{2}{n}}^{\frac{3}{n}} + \cdots + \int_{\frac{n-1}{n}}^1 \right) \log x dx = \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^1 \log x dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \left(\log \frac{1}{n} + \log \frac{2}{n} + \cdots + \log \frac{n}{n} \right) &= \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \left(\log \frac{2}{n} + \log \frac{3}{n} + \cdots + \log \frac{n}{n} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{n} + \left(\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\frac{2}{n}} + \int_{\frac{2}{n}}^{\frac{3}{n}} + \cdots + \int_{\frac{n-1}{n}}^1 \right) \log x dx \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{n} + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^1 \log x dx, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by the convergence of $\int_0^1 \log x dx$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{n} - \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \log x dx \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\log \frac{1}{n} + \log \frac{2}{n} + \cdots + \log \frac{n}{n} \right) - \int_0^1 \log x dx \leq - \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \log x dx.$$

The convergence of $\int_0^1 \log x dx$ tells us $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \log x dx = 0$. Moreover, we also know $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{1}{n} = 0$. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\log \frac{1}{n} + \log \frac{2}{n} + \cdots + \log \frac{n}{n} \right) = \int_0^1 \log x dx = -1.$$

(5) The variation function v is increasing. Since f is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to v , by the first part of Theorem 4.2.8, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\|P\| < \delta \implies \sum \omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(f) |\Delta v_i| = \sum \omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(f) V_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(\alpha) < \epsilon.$$

Then by the second part of the theorem, we see that f is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to α . Moreover, we have $\omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(|f|) \leq \omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(f)$, and v increasing implies $|\Delta v_i| = V_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(v)$. Therefore

$$\omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(|f|) V_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(v) \leq \omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(f) |\Delta v_i|,$$

so that

$$\|P\| < \delta \implies \sum \omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(|f|)V_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(v) = \sum \omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(f)|\Delta v_i| < \epsilon.$$

By the second part of Theorem 4.2.8, we see that $|f|$ is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to v .

Now compare the Riemann-Stieltjes sums for $\left| \int_a^b f d\alpha \right|$ and $\int_a^b |f| dv$.

$$\begin{aligned} |S(P, f, \alpha)| &= \left| \sum f(x_i^*) \Delta \alpha_i \right| \leq \sum |f(x_i^*)| |\Delta \alpha_i| \leq \sum |f(x_i^*)| V_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(\alpha) \\ &= \sum |f(x_i^*)| |\Delta v_i| = S(P, |f|, v). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $\|P\| \rightarrow 0$, we get $\left| \int_a^b f d\alpha \right| \leq \int_a^b |f| dv$.

Math 2043 Final, Spring 2014

(1) Prove that a continuous function $f(x)$ is periodic with period T if and only if $\int_0^T f(x+a)dx$ is independent of a .

(2) Let $a, b > 0$. Determine the convergence of $\int_0^{+\infty} a^x \sin b^x dx$. Also determine whether the convergence is absolute or conditional.

(3) Prove that if $\sqrt[n]{|a_n|} \leq 1 - \frac{p \log n}{n}$ for some fixed $p > 1$ and sufficiently big n , then $\sum a_n$ converges. Also state the limit version of the test. Moreover, can you find a similar test for the divergence of $\sum a_n$?

(4) Suppose x_n is a non-repeating sequence in (a, b) . Suppose $f(x)$ is a function on $[a, b]$ satisfying $f(x) = 0$ if x is not any x_n . Prove that $V_{[a, b]} f(x) = 2 \sum |f(x_n)|$. In particular, the function has bounded variation if and only if $\sum f(x_n)$ absolutely converges.

Answer to Math 2043 Final, Spring 2014

(1) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T f(x+b)dx - \int_0^T f(x+a)dx &= \int_b^{b+T} f(x)dx - \int_a^{a+T} f(x)dx = \int_{a+T}^{b+T} f(x)dx - \int_a^b f(x)dx \\ &= \int_a^b f(x+T)dx - \int_a^b f(x)dx = \int_a^b (f(x+T) - f(x))dx. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $f(a+T) > f(a)$. Then by the (right) continuity of $f(x+T) - f(x)$ at a , there is $b > a$, such that $f(x+T) - f(x) > 0$ on $[a, b]$. This implies $\int_a^b (f(x+T) - f(x))dx > 0$, contradicting to the equality above. Similarly, we cannot have $f(a+T) < f(a)$. Therefore $f(a+T) = f(a)$.

(2) (25 pints) We first assume $b > 1$ and introduce $y = b^x$. Then

$$\int_0^B a^x \sin b^x dx = \int_1^{b^B} y^p \sin y \frac{dy}{y \log b} = \frac{1}{\log b} \int_1^{b^B} \frac{\sin y}{y^{1-p}} dy, \quad p = \frac{\log a}{\log b}.$$

We also have

$$\int_0^B |a^x \sin b^x| dx = \frac{1}{\log b} \int_1^{b^B} \frac{|\sin y|}{y^{1-p}} dy.$$

Since $B \rightarrow +\infty$ if and only if $e^B \rightarrow +\infty$, $\int_0^{+\infty} a^x \sin b^x dx$ (absolutely or conditionally)

converges if and only if $\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\sin y}{y^{1-p}} dy$ (absolutely or conditionally) converges. We know

what happens to the second integral. Therefore for $b > 1$, $\int_0^{+\infty} a^x \sin b^x dx$ absolutely

converges when $p = \frac{\log a}{\log b} < 0$, or $a < 1 < b$; conditionally converges when $0 \leq p =$

$\frac{\log a}{\log b} < 1$, or $1 \leq a < b$; and diverges when $p = \frac{\log a}{\log b} \geq 1$, or $a > b > 1$.

Now assume $b < 1$. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} b^x = 0$, $a^x \sin b^x > 0$ for sufficiently big x , so that $\int_0^{+\infty} a^x \sin b^x dx$ cannot be conditionally convergent. Moreover, by $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{a^x \sin b^x}{(ab)^x} = 1$

and the comparison test, $\int_0^{+\infty} a^x \sin b^x dx$ (absolutely) converges if and only if $\int_0^{+\infty} (ab)^x dx$ converges, which means $ab < 1$.

Finally, if $b = 1$, then $\int_0^{+\infty} a^x \sin b^x dx = \sin 1 \int_0^{+\infty} a^x dx$ cannot be conditionally convergent, and (absolutely) converges if and only if $a < 1$.

We conclude the following cases for absolute convergence: $a < 1 < b$, or $b \leq 1$ and $ab < 1$; the following case for conditional convergence: $1 \leq a < b$; the following case for divergence: $a > b > 1$, or $b \leq 1$ and $ab \geq 1$.

(3) If $\sqrt[n]{|a_n|} \leq 1 - \frac{p \log n}{n}$, then for sufficiently large n , we have

$$|a_n| \leq \left(1 - \frac{p \log n}{n}\right)^n = e^{n \log\left(1 - \frac{p \log n}{n}\right)} = e^{-n\left(\frac{p \log n}{n} + o\left(\frac{(p \log n)^2}{n^2}\right)\right)} = n^{-p} e^{-o\left(\frac{(p \log n)^2}{n}\right)} \leq \frac{2}{n^p}.$$

The last inequality is due to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-o\left(\frac{(p \log n)^2}{n}\right)} = e^{-\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} o\left(\frac{(p \log n)^2}{n}\right)} = e^0 = 1.$$

Since $p > 1$, $\sum \frac{2}{n^p}$ converges. By the comparison test, $\sum a_n$ converges.

The inequality $\sqrt[n]{|a_n|} \leq 1 - \frac{p \log n}{n}$ is the same as $\frac{n}{\log n} (1 - \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}) \geq p$. If

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\log n} (1 - \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}) > 1,$$

then the inequality is satisfied for sufficiently big n , and $\sum a_n$ converges.

If $\sqrt[n]{|a_n|} \geq 1 - \frac{\log n}{n}$ for sufficiently big n , then we have

$$|a_n| \geq \left(1 - \frac{\log n}{n}\right)^n = e^{n \log\left(1 - \frac{\log n}{n}\right)} = e^{-n\left(\frac{\log n}{n} + o\left(\frac{(\log n)^2}{n^2}\right)\right)} = n^{-1} e^{-o\left(\frac{(\log n)^2}{n}\right)} \geq \frac{1}{2n}.$$

By the divergence of $\sum \frac{1}{2n}$ and the comparison test, we find $\sum |a_n|$ diverges.

The limit version of the divergence test is

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\log n} (1 - \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}) < 1.$$

(4) Pick any finite number of terms from x_n and arrange them in increasing order

$$a < x_{n_1} < x_{n_2} < x_{n_3} < \cdots < x_{n_k} < b.$$

Then we can find a partition of $[a, b]$

$$P: a = y_0 < x_{n_1} < y_1 < x_{n_2} < y_2 < x_{n_3} < \cdots < x_{n_{k-1}} < y_{k-1} < x_{n_k} < y_k = b,$$

such that y_i is not any x_n . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_P(f) &= \sum_{i=1}^k (|f(x_{n_i}) - f(y_{i-1})| + |f(y_i) - f(x_{n_i})|) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k (|f(x_{n_i}) - 0| + |0 - f(x_{n_i})|) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^k |f(x_{n_i})|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the right side is twice of the sum of any finitely many terms in the series $\sum |f(x_n)|$, we take the supremum of the right side and get

$$V_{[a,b]}f(x) \geq 2 \sum |f(x_n)|.$$

On the other hand, for any partition

$$P: a = y_0 < y_1 < \cdots < y_n = b,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_P(f) &= \sum_{i=0}^n |f(y_i) - f(y_{i-1})| \leq \sum_{i=0}^n (|f(y_i)| + |f(y_{i-1})|) \\ &= f(a) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |f(y_i)| + f(b) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |f(y_i)| \leq 2 \sum |f(x_n)|. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is due to the fact that y_i are distinct, and $\sum |f(x_n)|$ is the sum of all nonzero values of $|f|$. By taking the supremum of the left side of the estimation, we get

$$V_{[a,b]}f(x) \leq 2 \sum |f(x_n)|.$$

Math 2043 Final, Spring 2016

(1) Suppose f is positive and increasing on $[0, +\infty)$. Suppose $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$. Prove

that $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{f(x)}$ converges if and only if $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{xdx}{F(x)}$ converges.

(2) Suppose f is bounded and β is continuous with bounded variation. Suppose g is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to β , and $\alpha(x) = \int_a^x gd\beta$. Prove that f is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to α if and only if fg is Riemann integrable with respect to β . Moreover, we have

$$\int_a^b f d\alpha = \int_a^b fg d\beta.$$

(3) A subset Y of X is dense if every element of X is the limit of a sequence in Y . Prove that if a sequence of continuous functions $f_n(x)$ on X converges uniformly on Y , then it converges uniformly on X . In particular, the limit function is continuous on X .

(4) Let $0 < p \leq 1$ and let $\sum \frac{(-1)^{k_n}}{k_n^p}$ be a rearrangement of $\sum \frac{(-1)^n}{n^p}$. Prove that if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_n - n}{n^p} = 0$, then $\sum \frac{(-1)^{k_n}}{k_n^p}$ converges and has the same sum as $\sum \frac{(-1)^n}{n^p}$.

Answer to Math 2043 Final, Spring 2016

(1) Suppose $f(x)$ is positive and increasing on $[0, +\infty)$. Suppose $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$. Prove

that $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{f(x)}$ converges if and only if $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{xdx}{F(x)}$ converges.

By f positive and increasing, we have

$$\frac{x}{F(x)} = \frac{x}{\int_0^x f(t)dt} \leq \frac{x}{\int_{\frac{x}{2}}^x f(t)dt} \leq \frac{x}{\frac{x}{2}f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} = \frac{2}{f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}$$

By the comparison test, if $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{2dx}{f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} = 4 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{f(x)}$ converges, then $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{xdx}{F(x)}$ converges.

By f positive and increasing, we also have

$$\frac{x}{F(x)} = \frac{x}{\int_0^x f(t)dt} \geq \frac{x}{xf(x)} = \frac{1}{f(x)}.$$

By the comparison test, if $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{xdx}{F(x)}$ converges, then $\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{f(x)}$ converges.

(2) Suppose $|f| < B$ for a constant B . Since g is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to β , and β is continuous, by Theorem 4.6.5, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\|P\| < \delta \implies \sum \omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(g)V_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(\beta) < \epsilon.$$

For the same choice of P and x_i^* , the Riemann-Stieltjes sum of f with respect to α is

$$S(P, f, \alpha) = \sum f(x_i^*)(\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1})) = \sum f(x_i^*) \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} g(x) d\beta.$$

The Riemann-Stieltjes sum of fg with respect to β is

$$S(P, fg, \beta) = \sum f(x_i^*)g(x_i^*)(\beta(x_i) - \beta(x_{i-1})) = \sum f(x_i^*) \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} g(x_i^*) d\beta.$$

When $\|P\| < \delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S(P, f, \alpha) - S(P, fg, \beta)| &\leq \sum |f(x_i^*)| \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} g(x) d\beta - \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} g(x_i^*) d\beta \right| \\ &= \sum |f(x_i^*)| \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} (g(x) - g(x_i^*)) d\beta \right| \\ &\leq \sum B\omega_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(g)V_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(\beta) < B\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the Riemann-Stieltjes sum of f with respect to α converges if and only if the Riemann-Stieltjes sum of fg with respect to β converges. Moreover, the two limits are the same.

(3) By the Cauchy criterion, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is N (depending only on ϵ), such that

$$m, n > N, y \in Y \implies |f_m(y) - f_n(y)| < \epsilon.$$

Now for any $x \in X$, let $x = \lim y_i$ for a sequence $y_i \in Y$. Then

$$m, n > N \implies |f_m(y_i) - f_n(y_i)| < \epsilon \text{ for all } i.$$

Taking $i \rightarrow \infty$ on the right side, we get

$$m, n > N \implies |f_m(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \epsilon.$$

Since this holds for all $x \in X$, and N depends only on ϵ , this verifies the Cauchy criterion for the uniform convergence of f_n on X .

(4) Let s_n be the partial sum of $\sum \frac{(-1)^n}{n^p}$. Let t_n be the partial sum of $\sum \frac{(-1)^{k_n}}{k_n^p}$.

For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is N , such that

$$n > N \implies |k_n - n| < \epsilon n^p.$$

This means that, for $n > N$, the difference between the partial sums s_n and t_n is a sum of some terms $\frac{(-1)^i}{i^p}$ with $n - \epsilon n^p < i < n + \epsilon n^p$. Therefore

$$|s_n - t_n| \leq \sum_{[n - \epsilon n^p] - 1}^{[n + \epsilon n^p] + 1} \frac{1}{i^p} \leq \frac{1}{([n - \epsilon n^p] - 1)^p} + \int_{n - \epsilon n^p}^{n + \epsilon n^p} \frac{dx}{x^p} + \frac{1}{([n - \epsilon n^p] + 1)^p}$$

Here the estimation by the integral is inspired by the proof of the integral comparison test. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{([n - \epsilon n^p] - 1)^p} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{([n - \epsilon n^p] + 1)^p} = 0.$$

For $p = 1$, we have

$$\int_{n-\epsilon n}^{n+\epsilon n} \frac{dx}{x} = \log \frac{n + \epsilon n}{n - \epsilon n} = \log \frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon}.$$

For $0 < p < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{n-\epsilon n^p}^{n+\epsilon n^p} \frac{dx}{x^p} &= \frac{1}{1-p} [(n + \epsilon n^p)^{1-p} - (n - \epsilon n^p)^{1-p}] = \frac{n^{1-p}}{1-p} [(1 + \epsilon n^{p-1})^{1-p} - (1 - \epsilon n^{p-1})^{1-p}] \\ &= \frac{n^{1-p}}{1-p} 2(1-p)[\epsilon n^{p-1} + o(\epsilon n^{p-1})] = 2[\epsilon + o(\epsilon)]. \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, we can have $\int_{n-\epsilon n^p}^{n+\epsilon n^p} \frac{dx}{x}$ as small as possible when ϵ is small enough. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{n-\epsilon n^p}^{n+\epsilon n^p} \frac{dx}{x^p} = 0,$$

and we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |s_n - t_n| = 0$. This implies that s_n converges if and only if t_n converges, and they have the same limit value.