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## Rearranging Terms in Alternating Series

Richard Beigel

Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
It is well known that if you change the order of the terms in a conditionally convergent series, the result may have a different sum. We will demonstrate a method for calculating the sum of a broad class of such reordered series. The result is not new; it originally appeared in a 19th century German paper [8], and similar work appeared later in [2], [4], and [6]. The purpose of this note is to bring attention to the result, which could appropriately be mentioned in introductory calculus classes right after Riemann's theorem [1]; the main result uses nothing more complicated than the integral test, and the discussion uses nothing more complicated than the concept of $o\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$, which could be explained (see [5]) or circumnavigated in lecture. The results from [3] on the alternating harmonic series could well be included in the lecture.

Let $S=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{j+1} a_{j}$, with $a_{j}>0$ and $\left\{a_{j}\right\}$ eventually monotonically decreasing to zero. Define $S^{m n}$ as the rearrangement (no signs changed) obtained from $S$ by taking groups of $m$ positive terms followed by groups of $n$ negative terms (thus $S^{11}=S$ and $S^{21}=a_{1}+a_{3}-a_{2}+a_{5}$ $+a_{7}-a_{4}+\cdots$ ).

Theorem. Let $f$ be a continuous and eventually monotone function such that $f(2 k-1)=a_{2 k-1}$ for positive integral $k$, and let $m \geqslant n>0$. Then

$$
S^{m n}=S+\frac{1}{2} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{n k}^{m k} f(x) d x
$$

with the understanding that both sides may be infinite or may diverge by oscillation.
Proof. Since $a_{k} \rightarrow 0, S^{m n}$ is the limit of its $(m+n) k$ th partial sum. Identically,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{(m+n) k}^{m n}=S_{2 n k}+\sum_{j=n k+1}^{m k} a_{2 j-1} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ is eventually monotone decreasing to zero, the limit

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} f(2 j-1)-\int_{1}^{t} f(2 x-1) d x\right)
$$

exists; this follows from the standard proof of the integral test for convergence. It follows that the tail expression goes to 0 ; therefore,

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{j=n k+1}^{m k} a_{2 j-1}-\int_{n k}^{m k} f(2 x-1) d x\right)=0 .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=n k+1}^{m k} a_{2 j-1}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{n k}^{m k} f(2 x-1) d x
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{2 n k-1}^{2 m k-1} f(x) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{n k}^{m k} f(x) d x \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

again with the understanding that both limits may be infinite or may diverge by oscillation. Let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (1) and use (2) to finish the proof.

Remark. If $\left\{a_{j}\right\}$ is absolutely convergent, then we get the expected result that $S^{m n}=S$. If $m=n$ we also find, as expected, that $S^{m n}=S$. (Several less obvious sum-preserving rearrangements of infinite series are discussed in [7].)

Several examples will illustrate the diverse results that can be obtained by applying our Theorem to rearrangements $S^{m n}$ of particular alternating series.

Example 1. If $H^{m n}$ is obtained from the alternating harmonic series $(1-1 / 2+1 / 3-1 / 4$ $+\cdots$ ) by taking groups of $m$ positive terms and $n$ negative terms, then

$$
H^{m n}=\log 2+\frac{1}{2} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{n k}^{m k} \frac{1}{x} d x=\log 2+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{m}{n}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{4 m}{n} .
$$

Example 2. If $P^{m n}$ is obtained similarly from the alternating series $1-1 / 2^{p}+1 / 3^{p}-1 / 4^{p}$ $+\cdots$, with $0<p<1$ and $m>n$, then $P^{m n}$ diverges to positive infinity.

Example 3. Let $L$ be Leibniz's sum for $\pi / 4: \quad L=1-1 / 3+1 / 5-1 / 7+\cdots$. Then

$$
L^{m n}=\frac{\pi}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{n k}^{m k}(1 /(2 x-1)) d x=\frac{\pi}{4}+\frac{1}{4} \log \frac{m}{n}
$$

Example 4. Let $S=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{j+1} / j \log j$. Then

$$
S^{m n}=S+\frac{1}{2} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}(\log \log m k-\log \log n k)=S
$$

Example 5. Let $S=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{j+1} f(j)$, where $f(x)=(\cos (\log x)+2) / x$. It is easy to check that $f$ is monotone.

$$
S^{m n}=S+\frac{1}{2} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}(\sin (\log m k)+2 \log m k-\sin (\log n k)-2 \log n k)
$$

which diverges by oscillation unless $m=n$.
The curious reader may be wondering if we can find an example for which $S^{m n}$ converges to a value differing from $S$ by something other than a logarithmic term. Barring "artificial" functions for which $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x f(x)$ diverges by oscillation, there is none.

Proof. If $f(x)=o(1 / x)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{n k}^{m k} f(x) d x & \leqslant \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}(m k-n k) f(n k), \text { since } f \text { is monotone decreasing } \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m-n}{n} n k f(n k) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $S^{m n}=S$. If $f(x)=c / x+o(1 / x)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{n k}^{m k} f(x) d x & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{n k}^{m k} \frac{c}{x}+o\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) d x \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{n k}^{m k} \frac{c}{x} d x, \text { by the preceding result } \\
& =c \log \frac{m}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

so $S^{m n}=S+\frac{1}{2} c \log (m / n)$. The reader may check that $S^{m n}$ diverges to positive infinity if $f(x)>O(1 / x)$.

The remaining possibility, that $x f(x)$ diverges by oscillation, seems to merit attention. Suppose we modify Example 5 slightly by letting $f(x)=(\cos (\alpha \log x)+2) / x$, where $\alpha=2 \pi / \log (m / n)$. Then

$$
\alpha \log m k-\alpha \log n k=\alpha \log \frac{m}{n}=2 \pi, \text { so } \sin (\alpha \log m k)-\sin (\alpha \log n k)=0
$$

Hence

$$
S^{m n}=S+\frac{1}{2} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}(\sin (\alpha \log m k)+2 \log m k-\sin (\alpha \log n k)-2 \log n k)=S+\log \frac{m}{n}
$$

Once again the difference is proportional to $\log (m / n)$. Also, notice that $S^{p q}$ converges if and only if $\alpha \log (p / q)$ is an integral multiple of $2 \pi$, or equivalently if and only if $p / q$ is an integral power of $m / n$. It would be interesting to find answers to the following two questions:

Is there a series $S$ for which $S^{m n}-S$ converges for some values of $m$ and $n$, but is not proportional to $\log (m / n)$ ?

Is there a series $S=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{j+1} f(j)$ such that $x f(x)$ diverges by oscillation but $S^{m n}-S$ converges for all values of $m$ and $n$ ?

Example 6. Suppose $S=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{j+1} \sin (1 / j)$. Naturally we take $f(x)$ to be $\sin (1 / x)$, which is $1 / x+o(1 / x)$. Thus we find that

$$
S^{m n}=S+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{m}{n} \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x \sin \frac{1}{x}=S+\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{m}{n}
$$

Getting back to our theorem, we should note that the assumption that $m \geqslant n$ is unnecessary- to see this, just identify $\sum_{j=n k+1}^{m k} a_{2 j-1}$ with $-\sum_{j=m k+1}^{n k} a_{2 j-1}$. In fact, a slight modification (left to the reader) of our proof yields a similar result for any rearrangement of $S$ that leaves the order of its positive and negative subsequences intact; if $S^{\prime}$ is such a rearrangement of $S$ with $\phi(k)$ negative terms in $S_{k}^{\prime}$, and $f$ satisfies our old hypothesis, then

$$
S^{\prime}=S+\frac{1}{2} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\phi(k)}^{k-\phi(k)} f(x) d x .
$$

Applying this result to the series $S=1-2^{-1 / 2}+3^{-1 / 2}-4^{-1 / 2}+\cdots$, and taking $\phi(k)$ to be the integral part of $\left(\left(l-\sqrt{2 k-l^{2}}\right) / 2\right)^{2}$ for $k>\frac{1}{2} l^{2}$ ( $\phi$ can be arbitrary elsewhere), a simple calculation shows that the rearranged series sums to $S+l$.

For another application of this result, choose the reordering, $H^{\prime}$, of the alternating harmonic series for which $\phi(k)$ equals the integral part of $k /\left(\frac{1}{4} e^{2 \pi}+1\right)$. Then $H^{\prime}=\pi$.

I wish to express my thanks to all those who read over and commented on this manuscript, especially Alan Siegel.
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