## MATH4994 — Capstone Projects in Mathematics and Economics Homework Two

Course instructor: Prof. Y.K. Kwok

1. Consider the following marriage problem where all men prefer the same woman as their first choice and all women prefer the same man as their first choice

$$P(m_1) = w_1, w_2, w_3$$
  $P(w_1) = m_1, m_2, m_3$   
 $P(m_2) = w_1, w_2, w_3$   $P(w_2) = m_1, m_3, m_2$   
 $P(m_3) = w_1, w_3, w_2$   $P(w_3) = m_1, m_2, m_3$ 

Find the corresponding stable matchings for man-oriented  $\mu_M$  and woman-oriented  $\mu_W$ .

*Hint*: Explain why any matching that does not pair  $m_1$  with  $w_1$  is unstable.

## 2. Man-woman-child matching

There are three men, three women and three children. A matching is a division of the people into 3-person groups, each group containing one man, one woman, and one child. Each person has preferences over the sets of pairs he or she might possibly be matched with. A man, woman, and child (m, w, c) block a matching  $\mu$  if m prefers (w, c) to  $\mu(m)$ ; w prefers (m, c) to  $\mu(w)$ , and c prefers (m, w) to  $\mu(c)$ . A matching is stable only if it is not blocked by any such three agents.

Consider three men, three women, and three children, with the following preferences:

$$P(m_1) = (w_1, c_3), (w_2, c_3), (w_1, c_1), \dots$$
 (arbitrary)  
 $P(m_2) = (w_2, c_3), (w_2, c_2), (w_3, c_3), \dots$  (arbitrary)  
 $P(m_3) = (w_3, c_3), \dots$  (arbitrary)  
 $P(w_1) = (m_1, c_1), \dots$  (arbitrary)  
 $P(w_2) = (m_2, c_3), (m_1, c_3), (m_2, c_2), \dots$  (arbitrary)  
 $P(w_3) = (m_2, c_3), (m_3, c_3), \dots$  (arbitrary)  
 $P(c_1) = (m_1, w_1), \dots$  (arbitrary)  
 $P(c_2) = (m_2, w_2), \dots$  (arbitrary)  
 $P(c_3) = (m_1, w_3), (m_2, w_3), (m_1, w_2), (m_3, w_3), \dots$  (arbitrary).

Show that there is no stable matching in this example.

## Remark

Observe that the preferences in this problem are "separable" into preferences over men, women, and children; that is, there are no preferences like (m, w, c) is preferred by m to (m, w, c'), but (m, w', c') is preferred to (m, w', c).

## 3. Many-to-one matching

There are 2 firms and 3 workers. Each worker can work for at most one firm and has preferences over those firms he is willing to work for. Each firm can hire as many workers as it wishes and has preferences over those subsets of workers it is willing to employ. A

firm F and a subset of workers C block a matching  $\mu$  if F prefers C to the set of workers assigned to it at  $\mu$ , and every worker in C who is not assigned to F prefers F to the firm he is assigned by  $\mu$ . Consider two firms and three workers with the following preferences:

$$P(F_1) = \{w_1, w_3\}, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_1\}, \{w_2\}$$

$$P(F_2) = \{w_1, w_3\}, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_3\}, \{w_1\}, \{w_2\}$$

$$P(w_1) = F_2, F_1$$

$$P(w_2) = F_2, F_1$$

$$P(w_3) = F_1, F_2.$$

Find the five individually rational matchings without unemployment. Show that each of these matchings can be blocked by some matching pair. Check that any matching that leaves  $w_1$  unmatched is blocked either by  $(F_1, w_1)$  or by  $(F_2, w_1)$ ; any matching that leaves  $w_2$  unmatched is blocked either by  $(F_1, w_2)$ ,  $(F_2, w_2)$ , or  $(F_2, \{w_2, w_3\})$ . Finally, any matching that leaves  $w_3$  unmatched is blocked by  $(F_2, \{w_1, w_3\})$ .

4. Consider the following matching where not all players have strict preferences. Here  $P(m_1)$  represents the preference list of man  $m_1$ ; and similar notation for others.

$$P(m_1) = [w_2, w_3], w_1$$
  $P(w_1) = m_1, m_2, m_3$   
 $P(m_2) = w_2, w_1$   $P(w_2) = m_1, m_2$   
 $P(w_3) = w_3, w_1$   $P(w_3) = m_1, m_3$ 

Note that  $m_1$  is indifferent to  $w_2$  and  $w_3$ . There are **only two** stable matching solutions  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  that can be found, namely,

$$\mu_1 = \begin{array}{cccc} w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \\ m_2 & m_1 & m_3 \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_2 = \begin{array}{cccc} w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \\ m_3 & m_2 & m_1 \end{array}.$$

(a) Verify that the matching solution  $\mu_1$  is stable.

Hint: A man-woman pair (not one of the pairs in the matching scheme) blocks a matching scheme if they prefer each other **strictly** to their spouses under the matching scheme.

(b) Show that matching solution  $\mu_2$  does not achieve woman-optimality.

Hint: Since all stable matching solutions have been given, find the set of achievable men for each woman. Apply the property of woman-optimality once the set of achievable men is known.

5. Given the following preferences for both colleges  $(c_1, c_2 \text{ and } c_3)$  and students  $(s_1, s_2 \text{ and } s_3)$ :

$colleges'\ preferences$	$students'\ preferences$		
$c_1:  s_1 - s_3 - s_2$	$s_1: c_2-c_1-c_3$		
$c_2:  s_2 - s_3 - s_1$	$s_2: c_1-c_3-c_2$		
$c_3:  s_2-s_1-s_3$	$s_3: c_1-c_2-c_3$		

2

- (a) The Boston school choice mechanism chooses students according to the students' first choice as the first priority, then the second choice, and so forth. Find the Boston school choice solution for the given preference lists.
- (b) Can a student game around to get into a better school by misrepresenting his preference list? If yes, find that student and his gaming strategy.
- 6. There are three agents  $i_1$ ,  $i_2$ ,  $i_3$ , and three houses  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$ ,  $h_3$ . Agent  $i_1$  is a current tenant and occupies house  $h_1$ . Agents  $i_2$ ,  $i_3$  are new applicants and houses  $h_2$ ,  $h_3$  are vacant houses. The following matrix gives the utilities of agents over houses:

	$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_3$
$i_1$	3	4	1
$i_2$	4	3	1
$i_3$	3	4	1

Agent  $i_1$  has two options:

- 1. keep house  $h_1$  or
- 2. give it up and enter the lottery.

If  $i_1$  enters the lottery then there are 6 possibilities depending on the chosen ordering, summarized in the following table:

	ordering	assignment of $i_1$	assignment of $i_2$	assignment of $i_3$
	$i_1 - i_2 - i_3$	$h_2$	$h_1$	$h_3$
45	$i_1 - i_3 - i_2$	$h_2$	$h_3$	$h_1$
	$i_2 - i_1 - i_3$	$h_2$	$h_1$	$h_3$
-4 .	$i_2 - i_3 - i_1$	$h_3$	$h_1$	$h_2$
	$i_3 - i_1 - i_2$	$h_1$	$h_3$	$h_2$
	$i_3 - i_2 - i_1$	$h_3$	$h_1$	$h_2$

- (a) Assuming that agent  $i_1$  is an expected-utility maximizer, show that the optimal strategy of i, is keeping house  $h_1$ .
- (b) Suppose  $i_1$  chooses keeping  $h_1$ . Note that both agent  $i_2$  and agent  $i_3$  prefer house  $h_2$  to house  $h_3$ , find the eventual outcomes and their associated probabilities of occurrence. Check whether both outcomes are Pareto optimal.
- (c) The following procedure guarantees the existing tenant a house that is at least as good as the one he is already holds.
  - (i) Order the agents by means of a lottery.
  - (ii) Assign the first agent his or her top choice, the second agent his or her top choice among the remaining houses, and so on, *until someone*, *demands the house the existing tenant holds*.

(iii) If the existing tenant is already assigned a house, then do not disturb the procedure. If the existing tenant is not assigned a house, then modify the remainder of the ordering by inserting him or her at the top, and proceed with the procedure.

For each of the 6 possible orderings of choices of houses based on lottery, find the modified orderings based on the new mechanism. Find the possible outcomes and their associated probabilities of occurrences. Check whether they are Pareto efficient.