

Math 6170 C, Lecture on April 1, 2020

Yongchang Zhu

- (1) V. §1. The Number of Rational Points over Finite Fields (Review)
- (2). V. §2. The Weil Conjectures.
- (3). V. §3. The Endomorphism Ring.

V. § 1. Number of Rational Points (Review)

Let K be a finite field with $|K| = q$, E/K be an elliptic curve given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6.$$

All a_i 's are in K .

Theorem V 1.1.

Let E/K be an elliptic curves over a finite field F of q elements. Then

$$||E(K)| - q - 1| \leq 2\sqrt{q}.$$

Proof.

$$|E(K)| = |\ker(1 - \phi)| = \deg(1 - \phi).$$

$\deg : \text{End}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive definite quadratic form (Corollary III 6.3), so by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$|\deg(1 - \phi) - \deg(1) - \deg(\phi)| \leq 2\sqrt{\deg(1)\deg(\phi)}$$

that is

$$||E(K)| - 1 - q| \leq 2\sqrt{q}.$$

V. § 2. The Weil Conjectures.

Let K be a finite field with $|K| = q$. Let V be a projective variety. Let K_n be the degree n extension of K , so $|K_n| = q^n$.

Definition. The zeta function of V/K is the power series

$$Z(V/K, T) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |V(K_n)| \frac{T^n}{n}\right)$$

Let $\mathbb{Q}[[T]]$ be the formal power series ring over \mathbb{Q} .

The exponential map $\exp : \mathbb{Q}[[T]] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[T]]$ given by

$$\exp(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} f^k$$

is well-defined for the following reason:

$$f^k = c(k, k)T^k + c(k, k+1)T^{k+1} + \dots$$

The coefficient of T^n in $\exp(f)$ has **no** contribution from the terms $\frac{1}{k!} f^k$ with $k > n$.

The coefficient of T^n in $\exp(f)$ = the coefficient of T^n in $\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} f^k$.

The formal logarithmic function

$$\log(1 + T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} T^n \in \mathbb{Q}[[T]]T$$

satisfies that

$$\exp(\log(1 + T)) = 1 + T$$

It is obvious that $\mathbb{Q}[T] \subset \mathbb{Q}[[T]]$. Certain localization of $\mathbb{Q}[T]$ can also be embedded into $\mathbb{Q}[[T]]$,

$$\left\{ \frac{P(T)}{Q(T)} \mid P(T), Q(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T], Q(0) \neq 0 \right\}$$

can be embedded as a subring of $\mathbb{Q}[[T]]$.

$$Q(T) = a_0 + a_1 T + \cdots + a_n T^n, a_0 \neq 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{Q(T)} \mapsto a_0^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-a_0^{-1} (a_1 T + \cdots + a_n T^n))^k \right)$$

We have

$$|\mathbb{P}^N(K_n)| = \frac{q^{n(N+1)} - 1}{q^n - 1} = \sum_{i=0}^N q^{ni}$$

This implies

$$Z(\mathbb{P}^N/K, T) = \frac{1}{(1 - T)(1 - qT) \cdots (1 - q^N T)}.$$

Theorem V 2.2.

(Weil Conjecture). Let K be a finite field with q elements and V/K a smooth projective variety of dimension n .

(a) Rationality

$$Z(V/K, T) \in \mathbb{Q}(T).$$

(b) Functional Equation. There is an integer ϵ (called the Euler characteristic of V) so that

$$Z(V/K, T) = \pm q^{n\epsilon/2} T^\epsilon Z(V/K, T^{-1})$$

(to be continued)

Theorem V 2.2. Continued

(c) Riemann hypothesis. There is a factorization

$$Z(V/K, 1/q^n T) = \frac{P_1(T) \cdots P_{2n-1}(T)}{P_0(T)P_2(T) \cdots P_{2n}(T)}$$

with each $P_i \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$. Further $P_0(T) = 1 - T$, $P_{2n}(T) = 1 - q^n T$, and for each $1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1$, $P_i(T)$ factors (over \mathbb{C}) as

$$P_i(T) = \prod_j (1 - \alpha_{ij} T)$$

with

$$|\alpha_{ij}| = q^{i/2}.$$

Part (a) (b) are motivated by **Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem**.

Theorem. Let M be a compact complex manifold of dimension n , $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a non-constant holomorphic map, and for at each point $P \in M$, $\text{Jac}(f)|_P$ is non-degenerate. Then

The number of fixed points of f is equal to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i \text{Tr } f^*|_{H^*(M, \mathbb{R})}.$$

where $H^*(M, \mathbb{R})$ is the i -th De-Rham cohomology of M .

Suppose we have suitable cohomology theory for projective varieties V over K , say, $H^*(V, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, so that the analog of Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem holds. Apply it to the morphisms ϕ^n , where $\phi : V \rightarrow V$ is the Frobenius morphism ($x \mapsto x^q$).

$$V(K_n) = \text{fixed point set of } \phi^n$$

$$|V(K_n)| = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\phi^*{}^n) |_{H^*(M, \mathbb{Q})}$$

This would imply

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Z(V/K, T) \\
 &= \frac{\det(1 - \phi^* T)|_{H^1(V)} \cdot \det(1 - \phi^* T)|_{H^3(V)} \cdots \det(1 - \phi^* T)|_{H^{2n-1}(V)}}{\det(1 - \phi^* T)|_{H^0(V)} \cdot \det(1 - \phi^* T)|_{H^2(V)} \cdots \det(1 - \phi^* T)|_{H^{2n}(V)}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$P_i(T) = \det(1 - T\phi^*)|_{H^i(V)}.$$

The l -adic cohomology theory was developed by Grothendieck (also Artin) and used to prove (a) (b). Deligne proved (c).

For each $\psi \in \text{End}(E)$, $\psi : E[I^n] \rightarrow E[I^n]$, we have commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E[I^{n+1}] & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E[I^{n+1}] \\ \downarrow [I] & & \downarrow [I] \\ E[I^n] & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E[I^n] \end{array}$$

This means ψ acts on the Tate module $T_l(E)$, so we have a ring homomorphism

$$\text{End}(E) \rightarrow \text{End}(T_l(E)), \quad \psi \mapsto \psi_l$$

Since $T_I(E)$ is a free \mathbb{Z}_I -module of rank 2, so each ψ_I can be presented as a 2×2 matrix over \mathbb{Z}_I . We can define its determinant and trace:

$$\det(\psi_I), \operatorname{tr}(\psi_I)$$

Proposition V 2.3.

Let $\psi \in \text{End}(E)$. Then

$$\det(\psi_I) = \deg(\psi)$$

and

$$\text{tr}(\psi_I) = 1 + \deg(\psi) - \deg(1 - \psi).$$

Proof. Let v_1, v_2 be a basis for $T_I(E)$, assume the matrix for ψ_I w.r.t. this basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall we have a non-degenerate, bilinear, skew-symmetric pairing:

$$e : T_I(E) \times T_I(E) \rightarrow T_I(\mu) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_I$$

Proof (continued).

$$\begin{aligned} e(v_1, v_2)^{\deg \psi} &= e([\deg \psi]v_1, v_2) \\ &= e(\hat{\psi}_I \psi_I v_1, v_2) \\ &= e(\psi_I v_1, \psi_I v_2) \\ &= e(av_1 + cv_2, bv_1 + dv_2) \\ &= e(v_1, v_2)^{ad-bc} \end{aligned}$$

Since $e(\cdot, \cdot)$ is non-degenerate and skew-symmetric, so $e(v_1, v_1) = e(v_2, v_2) = 1$ $e(v_1, v_2) \in T_I(\mu)$ is a generator of \mathbb{Z}_I -module $T_I(\mu)$. So

$$\deg \psi = ad - bc = \det(\psi_I)$$

The second identity follows the identity

$$\operatorname{tr}(A) = 1 + \det(A) - \det(1 - A)$$

for any 2×2 matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\text{Right} = 1 + ad - bc - ((1 - a)(1 - d) - bc) = a + d = \operatorname{tr}(A)$$

Let $\phi : E \rightarrow E$ be the q -th power Frobenius endomorphism,

$$|E(K)| = \deg(1 - \phi)$$

Similarly,

$$|E(K_n)| = \deg(1 - \phi^n)$$

From Prop. 2.3, the characteristic polynomial of ϕ_I

$$\det(T - \phi_I) = T^2 - \text{tr}(\phi_I)T + \det(\phi_I)$$

is a polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . Assume we have a factorization over \mathbb{C} :

$$\det(T - \phi_I) = (T - \alpha)(T - \beta)$$

We claim α and β are complex conjugate each other. It is enough to prove $\det(\mathcal{T} - \phi_I)|_{\mathcal{T}=r} \geq 0$ for all real number r .

It is enough to prove $\det(\mathcal{T} - \phi_I)|_{\mathcal{T}=r} \geq 0$ for all rational number $\frac{m}{n}$.

$$\det\left(\frac{m}{n} - \phi_I\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \det(m - n\phi_I) = \frac{1}{n^2} \deg(m - n\phi_I) \geq 0$$

So we have $|\alpha| = |\beta|$.

$$\alpha\beta = \det(\phi_I) = \deg(\phi) = q.$$

$$\det(T - \phi_I^n) = (T - \alpha^n)(T - \beta^n)$$

Theorem. $|E(K_n)| = 1 - \alpha^n - \beta^n + q^n$.

Proof.

$$|E(K_n)| = \deg(1 - \phi^n) = \det(1 - \phi_l^n) = 1 - \alpha^n - \beta^n + q^n$$

Theorem V 2.4.

Let K be a finite field with $|K| = q$ and E/K an elliptic curve. Then there is an $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that

$$Z(E/K, T) = \frac{1 - aT + qT^2}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)}$$

Further

$$Z(E/K, \frac{1}{qT}) = Z(E/K, T)$$

and

$$1 - aT + qT^2 = (1 - \alpha T)(1 - \beta T)$$

with $|\alpha| = |\beta| = \sqrt{q}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\log Z(E/K, T) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |E(K_n)| \frac{T^n}{n} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha^n - \beta^n + q^n) \frac{T^n}{n} \\ &= -\log(1 - T) + \log(1 - \alpha T) \\ &\quad + \log(1 - \beta T) - \log(1 - qT)\end{aligned}$$

Proof (continued).

Hence

$$Z(E/K, T) = \frac{(1 - \alpha T)(1 - \beta T)}{(1 - T)(1 - qT)}.$$

The remaining part of theorem is straightforward.

The real Riemann hypothesis is about the Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

$\zeta(s)$ has zeros at $-2, -4, \dots$. The RH claims that all the other zeros are in the critical line $\operatorname{re} s = \frac{1}{2}$.

We replace T in $Z(E/K, T)$ by $T = q^{-s}$, then $Z(E/K, q^{-s})$ has a functional equation that relates the values at s and $1 - s$, and the zeros are on the line $\operatorname{re} s = \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem V. 3.1. Let K be a perfect field of characteristic p , and E/K be an elliptic curve. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

(a) $E[p] = 0$

(b) $E[p^r] = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$.

(c) $\text{End}(E)$ is an order in a quaternion algebra.

Definition. E is called to be **supersingular** if the conditions in Theorem hold.

Chapter VI. Elliptic Curves over \mathbb{C} .

The elliptic curves over \mathbb{C} are in one-to-one correspondence with compact Riemann surfaces of genus 1 with a marked point.

Every Riemann surface of genus 1 is isomorphic to a quotient \mathbb{C}/Λ , where Λ is a lattice in \mathbb{C} .

We have analytic tools to study elliptic curves over \mathbb{C} .

V. § 2. Elliptic Functions.

A **lattice** in \mathbb{C} is a free \mathbb{Z} -submodule $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ of rank two such that a basis of Λ is \mathbb{R} -linearly independent.

Example. $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}i$ is a lattice.

Example. $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{2}$ is **not** a lattice.

A lattice can be written as

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$$

where ω_1 and ω_2 are \mathbb{R} -linear independent.

Definition. An **elliptic function** (relative to the lattice Λ) is a meromorphic function $f(z)$ on \mathbb{C} such that

$$f(z + \omega) = f(z)$$

for all $\omega \in \Lambda$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

To be continued.

End