

# Math 6170 C, Lecture on April 20, 2020

Yongchang Zhu

- (1). VIII. §1. The Weak Mordell-Weil Theorem (continued).
- (2) VIII. §2. The Kummer Pairing via Cohomology.
- (3) VIII. §3. The Decent Procedure.
- (4) VIII. §5. Heights on Projective Spaces.

**Main Theorem** in VIII (Mordell-Weil Theorem). Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over a number field  $K$ , then  $E(K)$  is finitely generated.

So

$$E(K) \simeq E_{\text{tors}}(K) \times \mathbb{Z}^r$$

## VIII. § 1. The Weak Mordell-Weil Theorem (continued).

**Theorem VIII 1.1.** (Weak Mordell-Weil Theorem). Let  $E$  be elliptic curve over a number field  $K$ , and  $m$  is a positive integer. Then

$$E(K)/mE(K)$$

is a finite group.

**Lemma 1.1.1.** Let  $L/K$  be a finite Galois extension. If  $E(L)/mE(L)$  is finite, then  $E(K)/mE(K)$  is also finite.

In the view of Lemma 1.1.1, it is enough to prove the Weak Mordell-Weil theorem under the assumption that

$$E[m] \subset E(K).$$

**Definition.** The Kummer pairing

$$\kappa : E(K) \times G_{\bar{K}/K} \rightarrow E[m]$$

is defined as follows. Let  $P \in E(K)$ , and choose  $Q \in E(\bar{K})$  satisfying

$$[m]Q = P.$$

Then

$$\kappa(P, \sigma) = Q^\sigma - Q.$$

## Proposition VIII 1.2.

- (a) The Kummer pairing is well defined.
- (b) The Kummer pairing is bilinear.
- (c) The kernel of the Kummer pairing on the left is  $mE(K)$ .
- (d) The kernel of the Kummer pairing on the right is  $G_{\bar{K}/L}$ , where

$$L = K([m]^{-1}E(K))$$

Hence the Kummer pairing induces a perfect bilinear pairing

$$E(K)/mE(K) \times G_{L/K} \rightarrow E[m].$$

*Proof of (a).* Existence of  $Q$  with  $[m]Q = P$ . We embed  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ .  
Existence of  $Q \in E(\mathbb{C})$  with  $[m]Q = P$  is obvious since  $E(\mathbb{C}) = S^1 \times S^1$   
as an abelian group.

$$|[m]^{-1}P| = m^2$$

$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/K)$  acts on  $[m]^{-1}P$ .

Then  $[m]^{-1}P \subset E(\bar{K})$  by the following lemma:



*Proof of (a) (continued).* Lemma. If  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a **finite** set, and it is stable under the action of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/K)$ , then

$$S \subset \bar{K} = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Let  $T$  be a maximal subset in  $\mathbb{C}$  that is algebraically independent over  $\bar{K}$ . Then  $\overline{\bar{K}(T)}$ . Any permutation of  $T$  can be extended to an automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof of (a) (continued).* We now prove  $Q^\sigma - Q$  is independent of the choice of  $Q$ :

Suppose  $Q' \in E(\bar{K})$  also satisfies  $[m]Q' = P$ , then  $[m](Q' - Q) = 0$ , so  $T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q' - Q \in E[m] \subset E(K)$ ,

$$Q'^\sigma - Q' = (Q + T)^\sigma - (Q + T) = Q^\sigma + T^\sigma - Q - T = Q^\sigma - Q.$$

*Proof of (b).* Let  $P_1, P_2 \in E(K)$ , choose  $Q_1, Q_2 \in E(\bar{K})$  with  $[m]Q_1 = P_1, [m]Q_2 = P_2$ , then  $[m](Q_1 + Q_2) = P_1 + P_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa(P_1 + P_2, \sigma) \\ &= (Q_1 + Q_2)^\sigma - (Q_1 + Q_2) \\ &= Q_1^\sigma - Q_1 + Q_2^\sigma - Q_2 \\ &= \kappa(P_1, \sigma) + \kappa(P_2, \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of (b) (continued).* For  $\sigma, \tau \in G_{\bar{K}/K}$ ,  $P \in E(K)$ ,  $[m]Q = P$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa(P, \sigma\tau) &= Q^{\sigma\tau} - Q \\ &= (Q^\sigma - Q)^\tau + Q^\tau - Q \\ &= Q^\sigma - Q + Q^\tau - Q \\ &= \kappa(P, \sigma) + \kappa(P, \tau)\end{aligned}$$

*Proof of (c).* Suppose  $P \in mE(K)$ , so  $P = [m]Q$  for some  $Q \in E(K)$

$$\kappa(P, \sigma) = Q^\sigma - Q = Q - Q = 0$$

Suppose  $\kappa(P, \sigma) = 0$  for all  $\sigma \in G_{\bar{K}/K}$ ,

For  $Q \in E(\bar{K})$  with  $[m]Q = P$ ,

$$0 = \kappa(P, \sigma) = Q^\sigma - Q$$

for all  $\sigma \in G_{\bar{K}/K}$ ,  $Q$  is fixed by all elements in  $G_{\bar{K}/K}$ ,  $Q \in E(K)$   
so  $P = [m]Q \in mE(K)$ .

## Proof of (d).

Suppose  $\sigma \in G_{\bar{K}/L}$ , For every  $P \in E(K)$ ,  $Q \in E(\bar{K})$  with  $[m]Q = P$ ,  
Then  $Q \in E(L)$ , so

$$\kappa(P, \sigma) = Q^\sigma - Q = Q - Q = 0.$$

Conversely, if  $\kappa(P, \sigma) = 0$  for all  $P \in E(K)$ , For any  $Q \in E(\bar{K})$  with  
 $[m]Q = P$ ,  $Q^\sigma = Q$ . So  $\sigma \in G_{\bar{K}/L}$ .

□

# Kummer Pairing in field theory.

Let  $F$  be a field with  $\text{char } F = 0$ ,  $\bar{F}$  be the algebraic closure of  $F$ . Let  $m$  be a positive integer and let

$$\mu_m = \{u \in \bar{F}^* \mid u^m = 1\}.$$

Then  $|\mu_m| = m$ . Suppose

$$\mu_m \subset F.$$

The Kummer pairing is a pairing

$$\kappa : F^* \times G_{\bar{F}/F} \rightarrow \mu_m$$

defined as, for  $a \in F^*$ ,  $\sigma \in G_{\bar{F}/F}$ , we choose  $b \in \bar{F}^*$  with  $b^m = a$ .

$$\kappa(a, \sigma) = \frac{b^\sigma}{b}.$$



## Analog of Proposition VIII 1.2.

- (a) The Kummer pairing is well defined.
- (b) The Kummer pairing is bilinear.
- (c) The kernel of the Kummer pairing on the left is  $F^{*m} = \{c^m \mid c \in F^*\}$ .
- (d) The kernel of the Kummer pairing on the right is  $G_{\bar{F}/L}$ , where  $L$  is the subfield of  $\bar{K}$  generated by  $F$  and the solutions of  $x^m = a$  for  $a \in F$ .

The proof is parallel to that for elliptic curve case.

**Proposition VIII 1.5.** Let

$$L = K([m]^{-1}E(K))$$

be the field in Proposition VIII 1.2., then

- (a)  $G_{L/K}$  is abelian and every element has order dividing  $m$ .
- (b)  $L/K$  is unramified at almost all prime ideals of  $R_K$ . (where  $R_K$  is the ring of algebraic integers in  $K$ ).

## Proof of (a).

By Kummer pairing

$$\kappa : E(K)/mE(K) \times G_{L/K} \rightarrow E[m]$$

Every  $\sigma \in G_{L/K}$  given a linear map

$$T_\sigma : E(K)/mE(K) \rightarrow E[m], \quad T_\sigma(P) = \kappa(P, \sigma)$$

$$T_\sigma \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(E(K)/mE(K), E[m])$$

SO we have an injective group homomorphism

$$G_{L/K} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(E(K)/mE(K), E[m]),$$

This  $G_{L/K}$  is abelian and  $\sigma^m = 1$  for all  $\sigma \in G_{L/K}$ .

We will skip (b) and just explain the meaning of the terminology used.

For a number field  $K$ , let  $R_K$  be the ring of algebraic integers in  $K$ . Then  $R_K$  is a Dedekind domain.

In any Dedekind domain, every non-zero ideal  $I$  can be factorized as a product of prime ideals in a unique way:

$$I = \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_n^{m_n}$$

Let  $K \subset E$  be a finite algebraic extension,  $R_E$  be the ring of algebraic integers in  $E$ , a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset R_K$  is **unramified** in  $E$  if in the factorization then the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}R_E$  of  $R_E$  can be factorized

$$\mathfrak{p}R_E = \mathfrak{q}_1^{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_n^{m_n}$$

$\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_n$  are distinct prime ideals of  $R_E$ , all  $m_i = 1$ .

Let  $K \subset L$  be an infinite algebraic extension, a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset R_K$  is **unramified** in  $L$  if it is unramified in  $E$  for every finite sub-extension  $K \subset E \subset L$ .

If  $C$  and  $D$  are smooth projective curves over some field  $K$  with  $\bar{K} = K$ . Let  $\phi : C \rightarrow D$  be a non-constant map, we have corresponding field extension

$$\phi^* : K(D) \rightarrow K(C).$$

Recall a point  $P \in C(K)$  is call unramified if  $\phi^*(t)$  is a uniformizer at  $P$  when  $t$  is a uniformizer at  $\phi(P)$ .

In this case, two notions of "being unrmified" agree.

**Proposition.** Let  $K$  be a number field,  $m$  be a positive integer. Suppose  $K \subset L$  is an abelian extension such that  $\sigma^m = 1$  for all  $\sigma \in G_{L/K}$  and almost all primes ideals in  $R_K$  are unramified in  $L$ , then  $L$  is a finite extension.



*Proof of Weak Mordell-Weil Theorem.*

We have perfect pairing,

$$\kappa : E(K)/mE(K) \times G_{L/K} \rightarrow E[m]$$

Since  $L$  is a finite extension of  $K$ ,  $G_{L/K}$  is a finite group, so  $E(K)/mE(K)$  is a finite group.

## VIII. §2. The Kummer Pairing via Cohomology.

If a group  $G$  acts on an abelian group  $A$  as automorphism ( $A$  is called a  $G$ -module), the fixed point

$$A^G = \{a \in A \mid \sigma \cdot a = a \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\}$$

is a subgroup of  $A$ .

However  $A \mapsto A^G$  doesn't preserve exact sequences:

If  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $G$ -modules.  
Then  $0 \rightarrow A^G \rightarrow B^G \rightarrow C^G$  is exact, but  
 $0 \rightarrow A^G \rightarrow B^G \rightarrow C^G \rightarrow 0$  is not exact in general.

The theory of group cohomology allows to define groups

$$H^i(G, M), i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

for a  $G$ -module  $M$  with  $H^0(G, M) = M^G$ ,

A short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

induces a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow A^G \rightarrow B^G \rightarrow C^G \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^1(G, B) \rightarrow H^1(G, C) \rightarrow H^2(G, A) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

For an elliptic curve  $E$  over  $K$ , we have exact sequence of  $G_{\bar{K}/K}$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow E[m] \rightarrow E(\bar{K}) \xrightarrow{[m]} E(\bar{K}) \rightarrow 0$$

It induces a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow E(K)[m] \rightarrow E(K) \xrightarrow{[m]} E(K) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H^1(G_{\bar{K}/K}, E[m]) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

It induces

$$0 \rightarrow E(K)/mE(K) \rightarrow H^1(G_{\bar{K}/K}, E[m])$$

In the case that  $E[m] \subset E(K)$ ,  $E[m]$  is a trivial  $G_{\bar{K}/K}$ -module,

$$H^1(G_{\bar{K}/K}, E[m]) = \text{Hom}(G_{\bar{K}/K}, E[m]).$$

This is the same as the map given by the Kummer pairing.

## VIII. §3. The Descent Procedure.

**Proposition VIII 3.1** (Descent theorem) Let  $A$  be an abelian group. Suppose there is a "height" function

$$h : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

with the following properties:

(1) Let  $Q \in A$ . There is a constant  $C_1$ , depending on  $Q$ , so that for all  $P \in A$ ,

$$h(P + Q) \leq 2h(P) + C_1$$

(2) There is an integer  $m \geq 2$  and a constant  $C_2$ , so that for all  $P \in A$ ,

$$h(mP) \geq m^2h(P) - C_2$$

(To be continued)

(3) For every constant  $C_3$ ,

$$\{P \in A \mid h(P) \leq C_3\}$$

is a finite set.

Suppose further that  $|A/mA| < \infty$ . Then  $A$  is finitely generated.



## VIII. §5. Heights on Projective Spaces.

For every point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{Q})$ , we can find  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$P = [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N]$$

such that

$$\gcd(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N) = 1.$$

We define the **height** of  $P$  to be

$$H(P) = \max(|x_0|, |x_1|, \dots, |x_N|).$$

**Example.**  $P = [\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{4}{5}, 1] \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Q})$ ,

$$P = [10, -12, 15]$$

$$H(P) = 15.$$

For arbitrary  $C$ , the set

$$\{P \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{Q}) \mid H(P) \leq C\}$$

is a finite set.

We want to define heights for arbitrary number field.

Let  $F$  be a field.

**Definition.** An absolute value on  $F$  is a function

$$|\cdot| : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

(1)  $|a| = 0$  iff  $a = 0$ .

(2)  $|ab| = |a| |b|$ .

(3)  $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$ .

(4)  $|F^*| \neq \{1\}$ .

Two absolute values  $|\cdot|_1$  and  $|\cdot|_2$  on  $F$  are equivalent if there exists  $r > 0$  such that

$$|a|_1^r = |a|_2$$

for all  $a \in F$ .

$$F = \mathbb{Q}.$$

$$|a|_{\infty} = \max(a, -a)$$

is an absolute value (the usual absolute value).

For each prime  $p$ , every  $a \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}$  can be written as

$$a = p^m \frac{b}{c}$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\gcd(b, p) = \gcd(c, p) = 1$ .

$$|a|_p = p^{-m}, \quad |0|_p = 0$$

$$|\cdot|_p : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

is an absolute value (call the  $p$ -adic absolute value).

The above absolute values are called **standard eigenvalues** on  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

# Ostrowski Theorem.

Every absolute value on  $\mathbb{Q}$  is either equal to  $|\cdot|_\infty$  or equivalent to  $|\cdot|_p$  for some prime  $p$ .

**End**