MATH 5143 - Lecture 17



Some constructions
Some constructions
Prop Let
$$E = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + \dots + v_{n+1} = 0\} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$$

Write $E_{1_1}E_{2_1-2_1} = E_{n+1_1}$ for standard basis of \mathbb{R}^{n+1_1}
Define $\overline{\Phi}_{A_n} = \{E_{1_1} - E_{1_1}\} \mid 1 \leq i_{1_1} \leq n_{1_1} \mid i \neq j\}$
Then $\overline{\Phi}_{A_n}$ is a root system with base $\Delta_{A_n} = \{E_{1_1} - E_{1_1}\}^{i=1/2_{i-1_1}n}$
and Dynkin diagram
 $e_{1_1}e_{2_1} = E_{2_1}e_{2_2} = E_{2_1}e_{2_2} = E_{2_1}e_{2_2}e_{2$

Prop Let
$$\overline{\Phi}_{Bn} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$$
 be set of $2n + 4(2)$ vectors
 $\{\pm \sum_{i} | i = 1, 2, \dots, n] \sqcup [\pm \sum_{i} \pm \sum_{j} | 1 \le i \le j \le n]$.
Then $\overline{\Phi}_{Bn}$ is a next system with base
 $\Delta B_{n} = \{\sum_{i} -\sum_{i} \sum_{j < i} \sum_{j < n} \sum_{m = -\sum_{i} \sum_{j < n} \sum_{n = -\sum_{i} \sum_{j < n} \sum_{m < -\sum_{i} \sum_{n < -\sum_{i} \sum_{i} \sum_{i} \sum_{i} \sum_{i} \sum_{i < i} \sum_{i < i} \sum_{i < i} \sum_{i < -\sum_{i} \sum_{i < -\sum_{i} \sum_{i} \sum_{i}$

Prop Finally let
$$\overline{\oplus}_{D_n} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$
 be set of $4\binom{n}{2}$ vectors
 $[\pm \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j \mid i \le i \le j \le n]$. Then $\overline{\oplus}_{D_n}$ is a root system
with base $\Delta_{D_n} = [\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3] - [\varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n]$
and Dynkin diagram
 $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n$

The West group Won is an index two normal subgroup of WBn = Wcn (subgroup of even signed nxn permut. MBn = Wcn + (subgroup of even signed nxn permut. Methicer

€ An is irreducible ¥ h≥1 (Dynkin diagram is Connected) EB, = EA, as Dynkin diagrom is just an isoloted vertex So we only consider $\overline{\Phi}_{Br}$ for $h \ge 2$ 50 we only consider € cn for n ≥ 3. ---- $\overline{\Phi}_{D_1} \cong \overline{\Phi}_{A_{1,j}}$ $\overline{\Phi}_{D_2} \cong \overline{\Phi}_{A_1 \times A_1}$ (not irreducible) $\overline{\Phi}_{D_3} \cong \overline{\Phi}_{A_3}$ so we only consider $\overline{\Phi}_{D_n}$ for $h \ge 4$

This suppose I is an irreducible not system. Then the Dynkin diagram of $\overline{\Phi}$ is either isomorphic to the Dynkin dragran of IAn (some h ≥1), Ion (some h ≥2) $\overline{\Phi}_{c_n}$ (some $n \ge 3$), $\overline{\Phi}_{D_n}$ (some $n \ge 4$), or to one of 5 exceptional diagrams: F. E6 -G. 🗲 Ey andres Moreover, each of these exceptional 68 ----diagrams does arise as the Dynkin diagram of an (preducible) root System.

proof that every irreducible root system has one of these Dynkin diagrams - I see the relevant sections of textbook if interested

Constructions for En, Fu, G2

Suffices to construct $\overline{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{68}}$ as $\overline{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{6}}$, $\overline{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{6}}$, (on then be realized as subsystems: We can construct $\overline{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{68}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{8}}$ as the set of 240 vectors of the form

$$\begin{cases} \pm \varepsilon_{1} \pm \varepsilon_{1} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 8 \end{cases} \sqcup \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (a_{1}\varepsilon_{1} + a_{2}\varepsilon_{2} + \dots + a_{8}\varepsilon_{8}) \\ a_{1}a_{2}, \dots, a_{9} \in \varepsilon_{1} \end{pmatrix} \\ a_{1} \cdot a_{2} \cdot a_{3} - \dots a_{8} = +1 \end{cases}$$

This is a root system with base

$$\Delta E_8 = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6 - \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_8) \right\} \left\{ E_1 + \epsilon_2 \right\}$$

$$\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3, \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4, \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_5, \epsilon_5 - \epsilon_6, \epsilon_6 - \epsilon_7, \epsilon_7 - \epsilon_8$$

Recall that if Lis a semisimple Lie algebra (over an alg. clased, char. zero field IF), and HEL is a maximal toral subalgebra then there is a finite set J⊆ H* 1 [0] with L= H € € La where La = [x E L] [h,x] = a (h) x \He] = 0 for a E]. The set $\overline{\Phi}$ is a root system in $E = \mathbb{R} \Theta_{G} \Omega$ -span $[\Delta \in \overline{\Phi}]$ with the billhear form on H* duel to the killing form of L restricted to H.

Isomorphism and conjugacy theorems

Prop If Lis simple then & is irreducible Pf If $\overline{\Phi} = \overline{\Phi}_1 \cup \overline{\Phi}_2$ were reducible (with $\overline{\Phi}_1, \overline{\Phi}_1$ nonempty) and orthogonal and $\alpha \in \overline{\Phi}$, $\beta \in \overline{\Phi}_2$, then $\alpha + \beta$ is neither in $\overline{\Phi}$ (since $(\beta, \alpha + \beta) = (\beta, \beta) \pm 0$) nor in $\overline{\Phi}_2$ $(Smce (\alpha, \alpha + \beta) = (\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0)$ So $\alpha + \beta \notin \overline{\Phi}$ and it follows that the subalgebra of L generated by L_{α} for $\alpha \in \Phi$, is a proper nonzero ideal. $(since [La, LB] = 0 \forall a \in \Phi, B \in \Phi_2) \Box$

Prop If L = L, OL2 O ... Oln if the decomposition of L into simple ideals then the def HOL; is a maximal toral subalgebra of Li and the implacible root system $\overline{\Phi}_i$ determined by $H_i \leq L_i$ may be viened as a subsystem of I relative to which $\underline{\Phi} = \underline{\Phi}_{1} \cup \underline{\Phi}_{2} \cup \dots \cup \underline{\Phi}_{n}$ is the decomposition of I into impound le components. If see discussion in 314.1 of textbook. D

Thm Suppose L'is another somisimple Lie algebra with a maximal toral subalgebra H' and noot system of . Suppose there exists a root system isomorphism $f: \overline{\Phi} \rightarrow \overline{\Phi}'$ Extend f to a vector space isomorphism f: H-++ by setting $f(t_{\alpha}) = t_{f(\alpha)}$ where for $\alpha \in \Phi$, $\alpha' \in \Phi'$, the elements with $\begin{cases} \chi(t_{\alpha'}, h) = \alpha(h) \\ \chi(t_{\alpha'}, h') = \alpha'(h') \end{cases}$ Choose a base $\Delta \subseteq \overline{\Phi}$ along with isomorphisms between the 1-Jim root spaces $L_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\sim} L'_{f(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$. Then there is a unique Lie algebra : romorphism L-+L' extending f: H+H' and these chosen isomorphisms.

This theorem does require some proof -> see textbook.

proof in §14 of textbook does not establish existence of a semisimple Lie algebra corresponding to any Dynkin diagram. Existence of this is shown in §16, we may discuss in a few lectures. (For classical type ABCO, just use the classical algebras sen, on, rpn — main issue is a back exception/types)