Integers, Prime Factorization, and More on Primes

October 25, 2013

Week 9-10

1 Integers

Definition 1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say that a divides b (or a is a factor of b) if b = ac for some integer c. When a divides b, we write $a \mid b$.

Proposition 2 (Division Algorithm). Let a be a positive integer. Then for any $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist unique integers q, r such that

$$b = qa + r, \quad 0 \le r < a.$$

The integer q is called the quotient and r is the remainder.

Proof. Consider the rational number $\frac{b}{a}$. Since $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [k, k+1)$ (disjoint), there exists a unique integer q such that $\frac{b}{a} \in [q, q+1)$, i.e., $q \leq \frac{b}{a} < q+1$. Multiplying through by the positive integer a, we obtain $qa \leq b < (q+1)a$. Let r = b - qa. Then we have b = qa + r and $0 \leq r < a$, as required. \Box

Proposition 3. Let $a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$, then $d \mid (ma + nb)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Since $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$, there exist integers c_1 and c_2 such that $a = c_1 d$ and $b = c_2 a$. Then for any integers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$ma + nb = mc_1d + nc_2d = (mc_1 + nc_2)d.$$

This means that d divides ma + nb.

2 Euclidean Algorithm

Definition 4. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, not all zero. A common divisor (or factor) of a and b is an integer which divides both a and b. The greatest common

divisor of a and b, written gcd(a, b), is the largest positive integer that divides both a and b.

Proposition 5. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. If b = qa + r, then

gcd(a, b) = gcd(a, r).

Proof. An integer c is a common divisor of a and b if and only if c is a common divisor of a and r. Thus the set of common divisors of a, b are the same as the set of common divisors of a, r.

Example 1. Find the greatest common divisor of 4346 and 6587.

Euclidean Algorithm: For integers a and b, and assume that a is positive. We write

b	=	$q_1a + r_1,$	$0 \le r_1 < a,$
a	=	$q_2r_1+r_2,$	$0 \le r_2 < r_1,$
r_1	=	$q_3r_2 + r_3,$	$0 \le r_3 < r_2,$
	÷		
r_{k-3}	=	$q_{k-1}r_{k-2} + r_{k-1},$	$0 \le r_{k-1} < r_{k-2},$
r_{k-2}	=	$q_k r_{k-1} + r_k,$	$0 \le r_k < r_{k-1},$
r_{k-1}	=	$q_{k+1}r_k + 0.$	

Then by Proposition 5,

$$gcd(a,b) = gcd(a,r_1) = gcd(r_1,r_2) = \cdots = gcd(r_{k-1},r_k) = r_k.$$

Theorem 6 (Euclidean Theorem). Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and d = gcd(a, b). Then there exist integers m and n such that

$$d = ma + nb.$$

Proof. By the Euclidean Algorithm above, we have $d = r_k$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_k &= r_{k-2} - q_k r_{k-1}, \\
 r_{k-1} &= r_{k-3} - q_{k-1} r_{k-2}, \\
 \vdots \\
 r_3 &= r_1 - q_3 r_2, \\
 r_2 &= a - q_2 r_1 \\
 r_1 &= b - q_1 a.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that r_k is a linear combination of a and b with integer coefficients.

Proposition 7. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then a positive integer d is the greatest common divisor of a and b if and only if

- (1) d divides both a and b;
- (2) If c divides both a and b, then c divides d.

Proof. If the above two conditions are satisfied by the integer d, it is clear that d is the largest one among all divisors of a and b.

Let d = gcd(a, b). The first condition is obviously satisfied. The second condition follows from the Euclidean Algorithm.

Definition 8. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. If gcd(a, b) = 1, we say that a and b are coprime each other.

Proposition 9. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(1) Let a and b be comprime each other. If $a \mid bc$, then $a \mid c$.

(2) Let p be a prime. If $p \mid ab$, then either $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$.

Proof. (1) By the Euclidean algorithm, there exist integers m, n such that ma + nb = 1. Multiplying c to both sides we have mac + nbc = c. Since $a \mid bc$, i.e., bc = qa for some integer q, then

$$c = mac + nqa = (mc + nq)a,$$

which means that a is a divisor of c.

(2) If $p \nmid a$, then gcd(p, a) = 1. Thus by (1), we must have $p \mid b$.

Corollary 10. Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let p be a prime. If $p \mid a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$, then $p \mid a_i$ for some i.

Proof. Let P(n) denote the statement. We prove it by induction on n. For n = 1, P(1) says that if " $p \mid a_1$ then $p \mid a_1$," which is trivially true. Suppose it is true for P(n). Consider P(n+1). Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $a = a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$ and $b = a_{n+1}$. Then $p \mid ab$. If $p \mid a$, i.e., $p \mid a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$, by induction, we have some $i \ (1 \leq i \leq n)$ such that $p \mid a_i$. If $p \nmid a$, then by Proposition 9, we have $p \mid b$, i.e., $p \mid a_{n+1}$. Hence P(n+1) is true.

Definition 11. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, not all zero. A common multiple of a and b is a nonnegative integer m such that a|m and b|m. The very smallest one among all common multiples of a and b is called the least common multiple, denoted lcm(a, b).

Proposition 12. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, not all zero, if a, b are nonnegative, then

$$\operatorname{lcm}(a,b) = \frac{ab}{\operatorname{gcd}(a,b)}.$$

Proof. Let $d = \gcd(a, b)$, $a = dc_1$ and $b = dc_2$. It is clear that the integer

$$\frac{ab}{d} = dc_1c_2 = ac_2 = bc_1$$

is a common multiple of a and b, $gcd(c_1, c_2) = 1$. Let m be a common multiple of a and b, i.e., $m = ae_1$ and $b = be_2$. Then $m = ae_1 = dc_1e_1 = dc_2e_2$. It follows that $c_1e_1 = c_2e_2$. Since $gcd(c_1, c_2) = 1$, we have $c_1 \mid e_2$ and $c_2 \mid e_1$. Write $e_2 = c_1f_1$ and $e_1 = c_2f_2$, then $c_1c_2f_2 = c_2c_1f_1$. Thus $f_1 = f_2$. Therefore

$$m = ae_1 = dc_1e_1 = dc_1c_2f_2 = \frac{ab}{d}f_2,$$

which is a multiple of $\frac{ab}{d} = dc_1c_2$. By definition, $\frac{ab}{d}$ is the least common multiple of a and b.

3 Prime Factorization

Theorem 13. (a) Every integer $n \ge 2$ is a product of prime numbers, i.e., there exist primes p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k , where $p_1 \le p_2 \le \cdots \le p_k$, such that

$$n=p_1p_2\cdots p_k.$$

(b) The prime factorization in (a) is unique, i.e., if $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_l$, where p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k and q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_l are primes, $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_k$, $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \cdots \leq q_l$, then k = l and

$$p_1 = q_1, \quad p_2 = q_2, \quad \dots \quad p_k = q_k.$$

Proof. The existence of the prime factorization has been proved before. We only need to prove the uniqueness.

Suppose there is an integer n which has two different prime factorizations, say,

$$n=p_1p_2\cdots p_k=q_1q_2\cdots q_l,$$

where $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_k$, $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \cdots \leq q_l$, and the list of primes p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k is not the same as the list q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_l .

Now in the equation $p_1p_2 \cdots p_k = q_1q_2 \cdots q_l$, cancel any primes that are common to both sides. Since the two factorizations are different, not all primes will be canceled, and we end up with an equation

$$u_1u_2\cdots u_r=v_1v_2\cdots v_s,$$

where $\{u_1, u_2, ..., u_r\}$ is a sub-multiset of the multiset $\{p_1, p_2, ..., p_k\}, \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_s\}$ is a sub-multiset of $\{q_1, q_2, ..., q_l\}$, and $\{u_1, u_2, ..., u_r\} \cap \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_s\} = \emptyset$.

Now we have $u_1 \mid v_1v_2\cdots v_s$ and $v_1 \mid u_1u_2\cdots u_r$. By part (2) of Proposition 9, we see that $u_1 \mid v_j$ for some j and $v_1 \mid u_i$ for some i. It follows that $u_1 = v_j$ for some j and $v_1 = u_i$ for some i. This contradicts to that $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r\} \cap \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s\} = \emptyset$.

Corollary 14. (a) For any integer $n \ge 2$, there is a unique factorization

$$n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k},$$

where p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k are distinct primes, $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$, and e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k are positive integers.

(b) Let $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$, where $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$ are primes and all $e_i \geq 0$. If m is positive integer and $m \mid n$, then $m = p_1^{d_1} p_2^{d_2} \cdots p_k^{d_k}$ with $0 \leq d_i \leq e_i$ for all i.

Proof. (a) Collect the same primes and write them into powers.

(b) Since $m \mid n$, then n = mc for a positive integer c. Write m and c into the unique prime factorization forms $m = q_1^{f_1} q_2^{f_2} \cdots q_l^{f_l}$ and $c = u_1^{g_1} u_2^{g_2} \cdots u_r^{g_r}$. Then

$$p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k} = q_1^{f_1} q_2^{f_2} \cdots q_l^{f_l} u_1^{g_1} u_2^{g_2} \cdots u_r^{g_r}$$

By the unique prime factorization, the primes q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_l and u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r must be some of the primes p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k . Thus

$$m = p_1^{d_1} p_2^{d_2} \cdots p_k^{d_k}$$
 and $c = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$,

where $d_i \ge 0$ and $a_i \ge 0$ for all *i*. It follows that $e_i = d_i + a_i$ for all *i*. Therefore, $0 \le d_i \le e_i$ for all *i*.

Proposition 15. Let $a, b \geq 2$ be integers with the prime factorizations

$$a = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}, \quad b = p_1^{f_1} p_2^{f_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k},$$

where p_i are distinct primes and $e_i, f_i \ge 0$ for all *i*. Then

- (a) $gcd(a,b) = p_1^{\min(e_1,f_1)} p_2^{\min(e_2,f_2)} \cdots p_k^{\min(e_k,f_k)},$
- (b) $\operatorname{lcm}(a,b) = p_1^{\max(e_1,f_1)} p_2^{\max(e_2,f_2)} \cdots p_k^{\max(e_k,f_k)},$
- (c) $ab = \gcd(a, b) \cdot \operatorname{lcm}(a, b)$.

4 Some Consequences of the Prime Factorization

Proposition 16. Let n be a positive integer. Then \sqrt{n} is rational if and only if n is a perfect square, i.e., $n = m^2$ for some integer m.

Proof. When $n = m^2$ for an integer m, it is clear that m is a rational number and $\sqrt{n} = m$.

Suppose $\sqrt{n} = \frac{a}{b}$ is rational in reduced form, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Squaring both sides, we have $nb^2 = a^2$. Let $a = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$. Then a^2 has the unique prime factorization $a^2 = p_1^{2e_1} p_2^{2e_2} \cdots p_k^{2e_k}$, i.e., each prime in a^2 has an even power. Similarly, every prime in the unique factorization b^2 also has even power. So the prime in the unique factorization of n also has even power. Write $n = q_1^{2d_1} \cdots q^{2d_l}$, we have $n = m^2$ with $m = q_1^{d_1} \cdots q^{d_l}$.

Proposition 17. Let a and b be positive integers that are coprime each other.

- (a) If ab is a square, then both a and b are squares.
- (b) If ab is an nth power, then both a and b are also nth powers.

Proof. It is trivial if one of a and b is the integer 1. Let $a, b \ge 2$ and be factored into the products

$$a = p_1^{d_1} p_2^{d_2} \cdots p_k^{d_k}, \quad b = q_1^{e_1} q_2^{e_2} \cdots q_k^{e_k},$$

where $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$ and $q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_l$ are primes, $d_i > 0$ for all i and $e_j > 0$ for all j.

(a) Note that $ab = c^2$ for positive integer c. Let c be factored into the product $c = r_1^{f_1} r_2^{f_2} \cdots r_m^{f_m}$. Then $ab = c^2$ gives the equation

$$p_1^{d_1} p_2^{d_2} \cdots p_k^{d_k} q_1^{e_1} q_2^{e_2} \cdots q_k^{e_k} = r_1^{2f_1} r_2^{2f_2} \cdots r_m^{2f_m}$$

Since a and b are coprime to each other, none of the p_i are equal to any of the q_j . The unique Factorization Theorem implies that $\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_l\} = \{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m\}$ and the corresponding powers are the same. Thus the integers d_i and e_j are even numbers. Write $d_i = 2d'_i$ and $e_j = 2e'_j$. We then

have

$$a = \left(p_1^{d'_1} p_2^{d'_2} \cdots p_k^{d'_k} \right)^2, \quad b = \left(q_1^{e'_1} q_2^{e'_2} \cdots q_k^{e'_k} \right)^2.$$

So a and b are squares.

(b) The argument for (b) is the same as for (a). The condition $ab = c^n$ for some integer c gives an equation

$$p_1^{d_1} p_2^{d_2} \cdots p_k^{d_k} q_1^{e_1} q_2^{e_2} \cdots q_k^{e_k} = r_1^{nf_1} r_2^{nf_2} \cdots r_m^{nf_m}$$

The unique Factorization Theorem implies that the integers d_i and e_j are multiples of n. Hence a and b are both nth powers.

Example 2. Can a nonzero even square exceed a cube by 1? No.

Proof. If there is an even integer 2x whose square is equal to a cubic power of an integer y plus 1, then $(2x)^2 = y^3 + 1$. We are to show that the equation

$$4x^2 = y^3 + 1$$

has no integer solution (x, y) such that $x \neq 0$.

Suppose there is an integer solution (x, y) such that $4x^2 = y^3 + 1$. Then $4x^2 - 1 = y^3$. Thus

$$(2x+1)(2x-1) = y^3.$$

Let $d = \gcd(2x + 1, 2x - 1)$. Since 2x + 1 and 2x - 1 are odd numbers, it follows that d is an odd number. Certainly, d divides the difference of 2x + 1 and 2x - 1, which is 2. Hence d = 1; i.e., 2x + 1 and 2x - 1 are coprime. By Proposition 17(b), both 2x + 1 and 2x - 1 are cubes. Note that the list of cubes is

 $\ldots, -27, -8, -1, 0, 1, 8, 27, \ldots$

By inspection, a pair of cubes whose difference is 2 must be the pair (-1, 1). So we must have x = 0 and y = -1.

5 More on Prime Numbers

Theorem 18. There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Proof. Suppose the result is not true, i.e., there are only finite number of prime numbers, say, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n . Now consider the positive integer

$$N = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n + 1.$$

Since $N > p_i$ for all *i*, the integer *N* cannot be a prime number. By the Factorization Theorem we have $N = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_k$ for some prime numbers. Since p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n are the only prime numbers, then $q_1 = p_i$ for some *i*. Then $p_i \mid N$ by the factorization of *N*, but $p_i \nmid N$ by definition of *N*. This is a contradiction.

Question: Given a positive integer n, how many of the numbers 1, 2, ..., n are primes?

For a positive integer n, let $\pi(n)$ denote the number of primes in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. For instance, we have

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	•••
$\pi(n)$	0	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	•••

Theorem 19 (Prime Number Theorem).

$$\pi(n) \sim \frac{n}{\ln n}, \quad i.e., \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\pi(n)}{\frac{n}{\ln n}} = 1$$

Goldbach Conjecture: Every even positive integer that is greater than 2 is a sum of two primes.

Twin Primes Conjecture: Two prime numbers of the form p, p + 2 are called **twin primes**. There are infinitely many twin primes.